Notice of Submission on Proposed Selwyn District Plan

Resource Management Act 1991 - Form 5

Name of Submitter:	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)			
Physical Address:	200 Tuam Street, Christchurch, 8011			
Address for service:	Canterbury Regional Council PO Box 345 Christchurch 8140			
Contact Person:	Deidre Francis			
Email:	deidre.francis@ecan.govt.nz			
Telephone:	027 274 3948			

This is a submission on the Proposed Timaru District Plan

Environment Canterbury thanks the Timaru District Council (the Council) for the opportunity to provide a formal submission on the Proposed Timaru District Plan. We wish to acknowledge the extensive work that has been undertaken by the Council in preparing the Proposed District Plan, including the early engagement with Environment Canterbury at each stage of development.

Environment Canterbury's primary interest is in ensuring that the District Plan gives effect to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement. Without derogating from this general submission, we have attached general points on specific provisions within the District Plan. Environment Canterbury is very supportive of the District Plan review process that the Council has undertaken, and the proposed provisions are generally consistent with the regional planning framework. In acknowledgement of this the Environment Canterbury submission contains many submission points in full support of a number of proposed provisions.

Our submission also contains submission points that seek amendments to the proposed provisions. The submission points generally support the intent of the proposed provisions but are seeking amendments to better align with the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement and Canterbury regional planning framework.

These submission points have been included as a table in Appendix A to indicate the relevant provisions submitted on, the relief sought, and our reasons for seeking amendments. The order of the table follows the same structure of the Proposed Plan. Appendix A has also been included as an attached excel version (Attachment 1), as requested by your planning officers.

Where amendments have been sought, we have used <u>underlined</u> text to indicate recommended additions to the provisions and strikethrough to indicate recommendations for the removal of the proposed text.

Thank you again for this opportunity to provide a submission. For any clarification on the submission points contained within Appendix A, please contact Deidre Francis (deidre.francis@ecan.govt.nz) and she will be happy to assist the Hearing Officers.

We could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

We wish to retain the opportunity speak in support of our submission.

If others make a similar submission, we will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Jeff Smith Team Leader Strategy and Planning (Authorised under delegated authority from the Canterbury Regional Council) Date: 15/12/2022



Proposed Timaru District Plan - multiple submission point table

You can attach this table when making your submission via the online PDP submission form https://timaru.isoplan.co.nz/eplan Or by downloading our submission form https://www.timaru.govt.nz/_data/assets/pdf_file/0005/17987/636102-Template-Form-5-Submission-on-proposed-plan,-change-or-variation.pdf and then emailling it to pdp@timdc.govt.nz

Submitter Name:

Environment Canterbury (Canterbury Regional Council)

Please add a new row for every specific and unique point you would like to submit on.

Chapter / Sub-part	Specific provision / matter	Position	Reason for submission	Decisions requested / relief sought
Please identify what part of the plan your	Please identify the specific provision or	Please indicate whether	Please provide reasoning to support your position. This could be	Please indicate whether you are seeking to
submission point relates to – this could be a	matter your submission point relates to	you support, oppose, or	a detailed explanation, technical information, or simply stating	the provision, or are seeking amendment.
subpart or chapter heading within the plan.	 this could be a specific objective, 	seek to amend the specific	you support the intent of the provision. i.e. support the	this out using strikethrough to indicate del
i.e. General Rural Zone	policy, rule, standard, or a more	provision / matter. i.e.	direction or GRUZ-01 to provided for rural activities.	Retain GRUZ-O1 as notified
	general matter that relates to a whole	Support		
	chapter, topic, zone, or overlay. i.e.			
	GRUZ-01			
Interpretation/Definitions	Natural Hazard Mitigation Works Definition	Amend	The current definition of Natural Hazard Mitigation Works refers	Either
			to natural hazards mitigation as part of its definition in relation	(a) delete reference to "Natural Hazard Mi
			to different types of engineering work. This may cause	erosion protection works and drainage wo
			confusion and lacks clarity.	
				(b) define Natural Hazard Mitigation Work
			Natural hazard mitigation works encompasses flood and erosion	Canterbury Regional Policy Statement (CRI
			protection works and drainage works instead of natural hazard	are works intended to control the effects of
			mitigation works.	and the community. They include flood co
				stabilisation works such as tree planting or
			There is already a definition for flood protection works in the	
			proposed Plan (Referred to in ECO-R1). Building on this could	(c) Rewrite the current Natural Hazard Mit
			assist with providing greater clarity and certainty.	definition for "flood protection works", alr
				retaining walls required to control the effe
				Or adopt an alternative approach that pro-
Interpretation/Definitions	Earthquake awareness fault areas	Amend	They are not only mapped to ensure that landowners and	Amend the definition as follows:
			service providers are aware – there are rules to require mapping	1
			and avoidance in some cases.	means land located on either side of a a n i
				earthquake fault line that is mapped to en
				aware of the presence of a fault line befor
				deformed (ripped, buckled or warped) dur
Interpretation/Definitions	Improved Pasture	Support	This definition reflects the draft NPSIB. While the NPSIB	Retain
			definition may change before the NPSIB becomes operative, it	
			provides helpful guidance in lieu of a definition in the CRPS.	
Interpretation/Definitions	Indigenous Vegetation	Support	The definition reflects the definition contained within the draft	Retain
			NPS on Indigenous Biodiversity.	
				-

YOUR PLAN OUR FUTURE TIMARU DISTRICT PLAN REVIEW LAND USE PLAN



to retain the provision as notified in the PDP, delete t. If you are seeking to amend a provision please set leletion and underline to indicate additional text. i.e.

Mitigation Works" and instead refer to flood and works and then define those terms OR

orks to be consistent with the description in the CRPS) Issue 11.1.3: Natural Hazard mitigation works ts of natural events and provide benefits to people control works such as stop-banks, or land or retaining walls, OR

Aitigation Works definition to encompass the already defined in the Plan and broaden it to include ffects of natural events.

rovides greater clarity and certainty.

n identified active a known or suspected active ensure that land owners and service providers are ore they decide to build could be permanently luring an earthquake on that fault.

Interpretation/Definitions Liquefaction Awareness Area Amend Mediation where referenced. Amend the definition as follows: Interpretation/Definitions Liquefaction Awareness Area Amend Mediation and the section awareness area won't necessarily liquefaction awareness area won't mecossarily liquificance with respect to the section and the secti	Interpretation/Definitions	Natural hazard sensitive activities	Amend	Prefer a definition (like Kaikoura Plan) that is based on the physical characteristics of the building, rather than the use of the building. This would make it easier for applicants and council staff to determine if a given building meets the definition or not. The physical characteristics of the building are also less likely to change than the use of the building, and if they do change, would often require building consent. There is limited opportunity for the council to pick up change in building use. Would also be easy for people to work around this definition (e.g., I've got 100 staff in a building, but none of them are full time).	
Interpretation/Definitions Significant Natural Area or SMA Amend CRPS Policy 9.3.1 states that significant with respect to ecosystems and biodiversity will be determined by assessing area and hiddless against flisted matters. It continues that the copie of the to proposed Plan, Areas or highlicant time the actual level of risk to prove them in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria and hist the Appendix 3 or the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria and hist in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria and hist in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria and hist in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria and hist in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria and hist in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses the same criteria in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TIC) uses and the appendix 4 of the criteria for a ANA as decriteria in Appendix 4 of the criteria for a ANA as decriteria and the criteria in Appendix 4 of the criteria for a ANA as decriteria and the criteria in Appendix 4 of the criteria for a ANA as decriteria and the criteria in Appendix 4 of the criteria and the criteria for a	Interpretation/Definitions	Overland flow path	Delete	over land in a rain event on saturated ground. This term can be relied on for its natural meaning and does not require its own	stormwater flows over land in a rain event
Interpretation/Definitions Urban development definition Interpretation/Definitions Urban development definition Amend Definition of urban development relies on Urban Tares the definition of urban development relies on Urban Tares to A started A construction. Suggest new darking on the Managest and the Starter and Lagoritation of urban development definition relying on the size of the township. Suggest new darking on the Managest and the definition of urban development teles on Urban Tares to A started A construction. Suggest new darking on the Managest and Manages	Interpretation/Definitions	Liquefaction Awareness Area		necessarily liquefy during an earthquake (in most cases it won't), but the sediments underlying these areas are such that there could be liquefiable sands and silts within them, and a site	Liquefaction Awareness Area: means land
Act which is specific to Kainga Ora. That definition has been development within an area zoned as a forementioned zones. It also includes of the township. Suggest new rural residential activities. Urban Area similarly has a confined definition relying on the size of the township. Suggest new Mixed Use Zone, General Industrial Zones. definition relying in line with the definition of Urban in the CRPS and intensity, visual character and the dominint it does not include the provision of region	Interpretation/Definitions	Significant Natural Area or SNA	Amend	 ecosystems and biodiversity will be determined by assessing areas and habitats against 4 listed matters. It continues that the assessment of each matter will be made using the criteria listed in Appendix 3 of the CRPS (TDC uses the same criteria and lists them in Appendix 5 of the proposed Plan). Areas or habitats are considered to be significant if they meet one or more of the criteria in Appendix 3. SNAs are to be protected to ensure no net loss of indigenous biodiversity or indigenous biodiversity values as a result of land use activities. The proposed Plan defines SNAs as areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna, as set out in ECO-SCHED2 and shown on the Planning Maps. This definition of SNAs would only be consistent with the CRPS if all SNAs across the Timaru District had been mapped and listed in ECO-SCHED2. Environment Canterbury would support this definition if that were the case but until that happens the definition needs to include Significant Natural Areas that meet the criteria of Schedule 3 but have not yet been mapped or 	Means areas of significant indigenous vege that meet the criteria for a SNA as describe Natural Areas. (While areas meeting one o comprehensively identified across the enti are shown on the Planning Maps and set o OR amend the definition as follows: Means areas of significant indigenous vege fauna: • as shown on the Planning maps and • as set out in ECO-SCHED2 <u>and/or</u> f • that meet the criteria for a SNA as descri
	Interpretation/Definitions	Urban development definition	Amend	Act which is specific to Kainga Ora. That definition has been prepared for a specific purpose, but as drafted would pick up rural residential activities. Urban Area similarly has a confined definition relying on the size of the township. Suggest new drafting in line with the definition of Urban in the CRPS and ensure that there is a clear delineation between urban, rural,	Delete definition of urban development an <u>development within an area zoned as a Re</u> <u>Mixed Use Zone, General Industrial Zone, o</u> <u>aforementioned zones. It also includes der</u> <u>rural or rural-lifestyle character and is diffe</u> <u>intensity, visual character and the dominar</u> <u>it does not include the provision of regiona</u>

ikoura District Plan

How path: means the route along whichnt, and excludes permanent watercourses or

nd at risk from where liquefaction and lateral ake<u>,</u> but which requires site specific assessment to perty.

words to the same effect, so that all SNAs must meet essarily listed in ECO-SCHED2 &/or shown on the

egetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna ibed in APP5-Criteria for Identifying Significant e or more of the SNA criteria have not been ntire district, SNAs that have already been identified t out in ECO-SCHED2).

egetation and significant habitats of indigenous

cribed in APP5 – Criteria for Identifying Significant

and replace as follows: <u>Urban development: means</u> <u>Residential Zone, Settlement Zone, Commercial and</u> <u>e, or an Open Space Zone that is adjacent to the</u> <u>development outside of these zones which is not of a</u> <u>ifferentiated from rural development by its scale,</u> <u>nance of built structures. For the avoidance of doubt,</u> <u>onally significant infrastructure in Rural Zones.</u>

Interpretation/Definitions	Urban area	Amend	Definition applies to a number of provisions in the plan, including roading design, coastal environment, Energy and Infrastructure, and Versatile Soils. The definition does not sit comfortably in terms of the application of this term across these areas, and would be better tied to the definition of Urban Development	Amend the definition
Interpretation/Definitions	Rural residential development	Delete	This term is not used in the plan and has been superseded by the National Planning Standard provisions for the rural lifestyle zone	Delete the following definition: Rural Resid zoned residential development outside or low density residential activities, ancillary a
Interpretation/Definitions	Versatile Soils	Delete	The NPSHPL has now been released changing the focus to highly productive land. The TDC Plan will need to be amended to be consistent with this terminology and approach.	 Delete reference to versatile soils and in Adopt the definition for highly productive
Interpretation/Definitions	All activities referring to "facility" or "activity"	Amend	A number of definitions refer to either "facility" or "activity", and the terms are not used consistently. It is necessary to ensure that both the activity, and the buildings, are covered by the definitions.	Amend any definition containing "facility" of the building is covered by the definition.
General submissions	Whole plan	Amend	A large number of rules in the plan use variable terminology to define floor areas of buildings, often with the term undefined, so that it is not clear what is being measured. It is necessary to review all references to size of buildings, and consider whether a clear definition is required linking development to either the "building footprint" or "gross floor area", which are defined National Planning Standard terms, and then create exclusions from those terms within the rules if necessary.	Review all references to the size of building floor area which are defined terms in the N
General submissions	Whole plan	Amend	There are very few activities that propose to dispense with public or limited notification, whereas there are many activities where either public or limited notification is not warranted (for example, breach of outdoor living space provisions). It is recommended that all rules in the plan be considered as to whether limited or public notification can be dispensed with.	Review all rules in the plan and consider w dispensed with where resource consent is
General submissions	Whole plan	Amend	There are a number of inconsistencies across zones within the plan in terms of assessment criteria for activities, whereas the assessment criteria should be drafted the same, unless there is a good reason not to, for the same activity.	Review all assessment criteria across the p for activities across different zones are con
General submissions	Whole plan	Amend	Many references to "height" of buildings or structures do not make reference to where height is measured from (for example Open Space Zones and Rural Lifestyle Zone). Ensure that height for buildings and structures is measured from "ground level", which is a national planning standard term, with consistent expression of height rules across the plan.	Review all references to the height of build measured from ground level, with consiste

esidential development (CRPS definition) means or on the fringes of urban areas which for primarily ry activities and associated infrastructure.

d instead refer to highly productive land. ctive land contained in the NPSHPL.

y" or "activity" to ensure that both the land use and

lings and link to either building footprint or gross e National Planning Standards.

r whether public or limited notification can be is required.

e plan to ensure that the same assessment criteria consistent.

uildings across the plan to ensure that height is stent expression of height rules.

General submissions	Natural hazard mitigation works –	Amend	Canterbury Regional Council has a responsibility through the Soil	
	operation, maintenance, repair,		Conservation and Rivers Control Act (1941), Land Drainage Act	provides for all earthworks and vegetation
	replacement and upgrading of existing		(1908) and Local Government Act (2002) to protect	and erosion protection works operation, m
	works and other rules in the Plan		communities from the impacts of flooding, erosion and poor	
1	concerning earthworks and vegetation		drainage. There are a number of established River and Drainage	OR: Create a new rule to reflect the intent
	clearance.		Rating Districts set up under those enactments where	
			Canterbury Regional Council have an agreed level of service to	AND: Add an advisory note or heading not
			members of the Timaru district community, also protecting	including ECO-R5, NATC-R1-3 & CE R9 & R
			critical infrastructure and assets from flood hazards.	Rule and not these Rules that applies to ex operation, maintenance, repair, upgrading
			The delivery of public flood, erosion and drainage works	
			requires the integration of many "works" types, including	Either(a) Change the "natural hazard mitig
			structures, earthworks, and vegetation works. These "works"	OR(b) Change the definition of "natural ha
			may occur within the areas identified as established rating	on the definition.
			districts to ensure resilience to, or in response to, a variety of	
			erosion and flood risks. Agreements for these "works" are	
			recorded in the relevant Environment Canterbury Asset	
			Management Plans. The delivery of these "works" is planned in	
			accordance with the Canterbury Regional Code of Practice for	
			Defences Against Water and Drainage Schemes, which	
			addresses the broad range of potential effects that need to be	
			considered when planning this work. The Code of Practice	
			requires annual works plans to be generated that identify any	
			sensitive areas and particular work practices needed in to avoid	
			or minimise any adverse effects. Those annual plans are	
			discussed at annual hui with Papatipu Rūnanga and Fish and	
			Game.	
District Wide Matters	SD-01	Support	This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 5.2.1.	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Strategic Direction			Particularly support clauses ii & iii	
District Wide Matters	SD-O2	Amend	This Objective is consistent with CRPS objectives 8.2.4, 9.2.3,	Amend to include reference to the identifi
Strategic Direction			12.2.2 & 13.2.1. However, the objective only refers to important	
			landscapes and not outstanding natural features and landscapes	
			and is therefore inconsistent with CRPS Policy 12.2.1.	
District Wide Matters	SD-O3	Support	The Regional Council supports the specific consideration of	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Strategic Direction			climate change and an integrated management approach and	
			note it is consistent with CRPS Objective 11.2.3	
District Wide Matters	SD-O4	Support	This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 11.3.2 and	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Strategic Direction			Policies 11.3.8 & 11.3.9(1) and gives effect to the CCRPS natural	
5			hazards management hierarchy.	
District Wide Matters	SD-08	Support	This objective is consistent with CRPS Policy 5.2.2.	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Strategic Direction				
District Wide Matters	UFD-01	Amend	The Regional Council generally supports this objective but notes	Amend the objective clause vii as follows:
Urban Form and Development			that the reference to versatile soils needs to be changed to refer	
			to highly productive land to be consistent with the NPS for	
			highly productive land 2022. Objective UFD-O1 should be	All references in the Plan to "versatile soils
			amended to address housing choice to reflect Objective 5.2.1b	and the provisions made consistent with t
			of the CRPS.	
				Amend the objective to recognise housing

nis is an overarching permitted activity rule that ion clearance associated with existing public flood n, maintenance, repair, replacement and upgrading.
ent of this change
note to vegetation clearance and earthworks rules R14 to make it clear that it is the Natural Hazards existing public flood and erosion protection works ing and replacement.
itigation works" terminology hazard mitigation works" in line with our submission
al intent.
tification and protection of outstanding natural
al intent.
al intent.
al intent.
vs: protects highly productive land;
oils" should be changed to "highly productive land" h the NPSHPL2022.
ing choice as an outcome for settlement patterns.

	1			
District Wide Matters Urban Form and Development	Whole chapter	Amend	A number of the objectives and policies in the Future Development Area chapter are relevant at a strategic level, and should be incorporated in the Strategic Directions chapter, and/or the Urban Form and Development chapter. Those two chapters are extremely important when considering applications for private plan changes. In addition, more detail is required to ensure that the National Policy Statement on Urban Development is given effect, and meaning in the local context.	Reconsider the objectives and policies and policies from the Future Development Are and/or Urban Form and Development Cha NPS-UD and meaning is provided in the loc
District Wide Matters Urban Form and Development	New UFD-PX	Amend	There is no minimum yield for new urban areas in the plan. Minimum yields are an important part of ensuring that a range of housing choices are provided, that infrastructure is developed in an efficient manner, and that the rural land resource on the urban fringe is also developed so that it is maximised. This also contributes to a compact urban form, and ensuring that a range of densities are built also contributes to housing affordability.	Include a policy to ensure that housing in F minimum yield of 12 household per hectar of densities within the FDA to ensure that development areas.
Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Energy and Infrastructure	EI-O2	Support	This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 5.2.2 (2b).	Retain as notified or preserve the original i
Energy, Infrastructure and Transport Stormwater	SW-P2	Support	We particularly support the use of clause (1) to improve stormwater quality and hence improve water quality outcomes in a way that the regional council is unlikely to be able to do unless resource consent for stormwater discharge is required.	Retain as notified or preserve the original i
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	General submission	Amend	The natural hazards chapter could be significantly simplified to ensure that it is easily applicable to a similar range of activities to other district plans that have recently been through plan review processes (e.g. Kaikōura and Selwyn). Given the regional council's resourcing in identifying flood hazards, a consistent approach is highly beneficial for both the regional council, but also developers and designers who undertake work across local authority boundaries.	Without derogating from the more specific hazard management that is consistent with District Plan and proposed Selwyn District
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	General submission	Amend	The chapter uses various references to a 0.5% AEP rainfall event or flood event. This should be amended throughout the chapter to refer to a 0.5% AEP flood event, as rainfall can be variable within a catchment and does not necessarily address the hazard of concern, which is the flood, and associated flood heights.	
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	General submission	Amend	The certificates being issued, rather than assessing risk, assess the flood hazard impacting the site.	Amend all references in the chapter from ' Assessment Certificate''
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	General submission	Amend	Many of the restricted discretionary assessment matters in the Natural Hazards chapter address the same matters, but are ordered differently and worded slightly differently. They should be consistent.	Amend the restricted discretionary assess and provide consistent wording.
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	General submission	Amend	The definition of high hazard in the CRPS is wider than just fresh water flooding. The definition includes areas subject to coastal flooding and coastal erosion. These matters need to be addressed in a consistent manner across the plan, and the definition updated.	Amend the definition of "high hazard" to b consequential amendments in the Coastal treated in the same manner (except as req requirements on the provisions of coastal hazards in the Coastal Environment chapte
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-O1: Areas subject to natural hazards	Support	This Objective is consistent with Objective 11.2.1 in the CRPS	Retain
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-O2: Regionally Significant Infrastructure	Support	It is sensible to locate regionally significant infrastructure outside high hazard areas where practicable.	Retain

nd consider movement of relevant objectives and areas chapter to the Strategic Directions chapter hapter, and ensure the provisions give effect to the local context.

in Future Development Areas are developed with a tare over the area of an FDA, and provide for a range at housing choice is provided within new

al intent.

al intent.

ific points set out below, provide a framework for vith general framework set out in the Kaikōura ict Plans.

m "rainfall event" to "<u>flood event</u>"

m "Flood Risk Certificate" to "Flood Hazard

ssment matters so that they are in the same order,

o be consistent with the definition in the CRPS, with tal Environment chapter to ensure that activities are required by the NZCPS, which places some higher tal hazards). Include cross references to coastal pter.

	NUL 02			
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-O3: Natural hazard mitigation works NH-P3 Role of natural features and vegetation in hazard mitigation	Amend	We support the objective that these works reduce risks to people and property, with a preference for the use of natural features and buffers. It is noted that the Objective is similar to CE-O5, and that it would be preferable to align the two objectives so that they are saying the same thing. In addition we believe that a clearer way to address these activities is to either refer to flood and erosion protection works or to change the definition of Natural hazard mitigation works to be more consistent with the description in the CRPS Issue 11.1.3. The policy provides for protection, maintenance and restoration of natural features which is an important part of hazard prevention.	Amend NH-O3 to align with the wording in Either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitigation (b) Change the definition of "natural hazar the definition.
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-P4	Amend	NH-P4.4 would appear to require all buildings to achieve minimum floor levels, which is only a requirement for natural hazard sensitive activities.	Assuming natural hazard sensitive activities submission: Amend NH-P4.4 as follows: 4. <u>for natural hazard sensitive activities,</u> a flood level can be achieved;
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-P5	Amend	This policy is relatively strongly worded for liquefaction risk, and it is considered that the wording could be better drafted to recognise the level of risk associated with liquefaction. It is noted that the only control for liquefaction for regionally significant infrastructure is in the subdivision provision NH-R8.2, so it is questionable whether or not regionally significant infrastructure should be removed from the policy, and the subsequent assessment matter for NH-R8.2. Where regionally significant infrastructure does form part of a subdivision, the amendment by removing it would not restrict consideration of risk to the infrastructure as part of the subdivision assessment.	Delete NH-P5 and replace with the followi <u>Provide for subdivision in the Liquefaction</u> risk has been identified and assessed, and
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-P7 Slope stability and subsidence risk	Support	The policy recognises CRPS Policy 11.3.5, which references avoiding unacceptable risk from natural hazards. It is considered that avoiding significant hazard risk to people and property is appropriate, noting that this will need to be determined on a case by case basis.	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-P9 Natural hazard mitigation works	Amend	CRPS Policy 11.3.7 states that new physical works to mitigate natural hazards will be acceptable only where the natural hazard risk cannot reasonably be avoided and any adverse effects of those works on the natural and built environment and on the cultural values of Ngāi Tahu, are avoided, remedied and mitigated. This Policy is consistent with that. However either the definition or the use of the term "natural hazard mitigation works" needs to change to provide greater clarity concerning the activities covered.	Either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitigation (b) Change the definition of "natural hazar the definition.
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-P10	Support	Support the requirement that development does not increase reliance on emergency services in addition to echoing the CRPS policy.	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	Mapping	Amend	We consider that the areas identified as potentially subject to flooding are too narrow. Revised mapping is recommended.	Amend the planning maps to encompass a

in		
	CL-05.	

on works" terminology OR azard mitigation works" in line with our submission on

al intent.

ities definition is modified in line with our previous

_a minimum floor level above the 0.5% AEP design

wing, or to similar effect:

on Awareness Area Overlay, where the liquefaction nd can be appropriately remedied or mitigated.

al intent.

on works" terminology OR azard mitigation works" in line with our submission on

al intent.

ss a wider area potentially subject to flood hazard risk.

Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-R1: Earthworks, excluding land disturbance and for natural hazard mitigation works	Amend	The purpose of the Rule would be clearer if the title was amended to reflect that it applies to all earthworks except for those associated with natural hazards mitigation works and the land disturbance associated with those works. In addition, the same provisions for non-hazard sensitive buildings and structures can be covered by this rule. Amending the reference to natural hazard mitigation works or	Amend Rule title as follows: NH-R1: Earthworks, <u>and building and struc</u> excluding land disturbance and for natural <u>disturbance.</u> <u>Either</u> (a) Change the "natural hazard mitigation (b) Change the definition of "natural hazard
			amending the definition, in line with our submission on the definition of this term, will provide greater clarity about the activities this rule applies to.	the definition.
	NH-R1 Earthworks PER-1 , excluding land disturbance for natural hazard mitigation works	Amend	The rule need only capture areas of earthworks that are subject to flooding, rather than the whole site. This will reduce the number of consents that will need to be applied for.	Amend PER-1 as follows: PER-1 If the area <u>subject to the earthworks</u> site i <u>event</u> , NH-S2 is complied with; and
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-R1 PER-2	Amend	The definition of overland flow path is not sufficiently clear, and any area identified as an overland flow path will show up in an assessment of whether the site is impacted by a 0.5%AEP flood event. In addition, "overland flow path" would not necessarily pick up ponding areas, so it is better to refer to areas subject to flooding in a 0.5%AEP flood event. The addition of the words "If a" at the beginning of the standard does not indicate the status of the activity if no certificate has been issued, as the standard would then only apply if a certificate had been issued, and should be deleted.	If a A Flood Risk Certificate Flood Hazard A in accordance with NH-S1, and the certific land that is within an overland flow path. <u>s</u> high hazard area; and
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-R1 - New Per-3	Add	We consider this standard is desirable to ensure that earthworks that might be undertaken as a permitted activity do not have offsite flooding effects. This will ensure that even if earthworks are minor in nature, that the effects of the earthworks are retained within the site. It also ensures that compliance or enforcement action can be undertaken if offsite effects occur. It is important that the concept of not just diversion of overland flow paths is addressed, but also displacement of floodwaters, as these can have an impact in non-flow ponding areas.	Add new Per-3 as follows: PER-3 <u>The earthworks, or buildings and structure</u> <u>not worsen flooding on another property t</u> <u>water.</u>
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-R1 - Matters of discretion	Amend	Displacement of floodwaters (for example in ponding areas) can have off site impacts and that the effects of earthworks is not limited to overland flow paths. In addition, the assessment matters should include "any increased flood risk for people, property, or public spaces" which is a matter of discretion for flood-related matters elsewhere in the chapter.	Amend the matters of discretion for NH-R 1. any adverse effects on the rate of flow a 2. any adverse effects on property, from b path(s) or displacement of floodwater; and 3. any increased flood risk for people, prop 4. the effectiveness and potential adverse
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-R2 Matters of discretion	Amend	The assessment matters address potential effects, which can be simplified to "effects". That way, it covers the full set of effects which include actual or potential effects, and any cumulative effect. Grammar can be improved by changing "of" to "from".	Amend NH-R2 Matters of discretion as foll 1. the type of fencing and materials propo 2. any potential adverse effects of from di upstream and downstream flood risks; and 3. any increased flood risk for people, prop 4. the effectiveness and potential adverse
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-R3	Amend	NH-R3 and NH-R9 can be combined so that any Natural Hazard Mitigation Works are undertaken in a single location, regardless of whether it is for new hazard mitigation works, or operation, repair, maintenance or upgrade.	Amend the title of Rule NH-R3 as follows: Natural hazard mitigation works <u>mainten</u> associated earthworks and incidental vege

ructures for non-natural hazard sensitive activities, ral hazard mitigation works and associated land on works" terminology OR zard mitigation works" in line with our submission on e is subject to flooding in a 0.5% AEP rainfall flood d Assessment Certificate for the site has been issued ficate states that the activity is not located on a.subject to flooding in a 0.5% AEP flood event or ures for non-natural hazard sensitive activities, will ty through the diversion or displacement of flood -R1 to: w and direction of overland flow path(s); and blockage of or disturbance to the overland flow and roperty, or public spaces; se effects of any proposed mitigation measures. follows: posed and the potential to obstruct water flow; and diverting or blocking overland flow path(s), including and roperty, or public spaces; and se effects of any proposed mitigation measures. ance, replacement and upgradingincluding getation removal

Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-R3: Natural hazard mitigation works - maintenance, replacement and upgrading	Amend	the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure greater clarity and certainty for Plan users. Amending the reference to natural hazard mitigation works or amending the definition, in line with our submission on the	 Adopt the approach suggested in our general submission on natural hazard mitigation works to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new rule that provides for all earthworks and vegetation clearance associated with existing public flood and erosion protection works operation, maintenance, repair, replacement and upgrading. Adopt the approach suggested in our submission on the Natural Hazard Mitigation Works definition to either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitigation works" terminology OR (b) Change the definition of "natural hazard mitigation works".
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-R3-1	Amend	greater certainty and clarity for Plan users. Adopting this approach requires additional matters where compliance with the proposed new PER-2 are not met (from NH-R3), and utilisation of the RD assessment matters for maintenance, operation and upgrading, which are more comprehensive than the assessment matters for new natural hazard mitigation works.	Combine NH-3 and NH-9 to include a restricted discretionary activity for new hazard mitigation works. Add the following new standards which address new natural hazard mitigation works: Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-2: Restricted Discretionary - Where RDIS-1 The works are undertaken by or on behalf of the Crown, Regional Council or the Council. Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. the likely effectiveness of the natural hazard mitigation works and the need for them; and 2. the extent of any adverse social, cultural and environmental effects, including on any sensitive environments; and 3. any adverse effects from diverting or blocking overland flow path(s), including upstream and downstream flood risk; and 4. any increased flood risk for people, property, or public spaces; and 5. the extent to which alternative locations and options for the natural hazard mitigation works have been considered and the merits of those; and 6. any positive effects of the proposal on the community. Activity status where compliance with RDIS-1 not achieved: Discretionary
Hazards and Risks Natural Hazards	NH-R3-1	Amend	RD assessment matters require an update due to the recommended insertion of PER-1. The assessment matters address potential effects, which can be simplified to "effects". That way, it covers the full set of effects which include actual or potential effects, and any cumulative effect. Grammer can be improved by changing "of" to "from".	Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-1 or PER- <u>3</u> ² or PER- <u>4</u> ³ : Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. the likely effectiveness of the natural hazard mitigation works and the need for them; and 2. the extent of any adverse social, cultural and environmental effects, including on any sensitive environments; and 3. any potential adverse effects of from diverting or blocking overland flow path(s), including upstream and downstream flood risks; and 4. any increased flood risk for people, property, or public spaces; and 5. the extent to which alternative locations and options for the natural hazard mitigation works have been considered and the merits of those; and 6. any positive effects of the proposal on the community. Adopt the approach suggested in our submission on the Natural Hazard Mitigation Works definition to either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitigation works" terminology OR (b) Change the definition of "natural hazard mitigation works".

Hazards and Risks	NH-RX: Natural hazard mitigation	Amend	While we support the need to obtain resource consent when	Consider developing a permitted activity ru
Natural Hazards	works, including associated earthworks	Ameria	establishing new protection schemes, there is sometimes the	protect people and property and:
	– New		need for small scale one-off work to protect a particular area,	(i) is structured to prevent any consequent
	inciv		which would be captured by Rule NH-R3 (which is	not done well, and
			recommended to be combined with NH-R9).	(ii) is certain, and
				(iii) can only occur at an acceptable scale a
			Examples of activities that could be classified as new under this	
			rule however are small scale and have little environmental	
			effect include: proactive works where the movement of the	Adopt the approach suggested in our subm
			river is signalling potential for bank erosion or overtopping in	definition to either
			the next flood or repairs to areas where rivers have broken out	(a) Change the "natural hazard mitigation v
			in a flood.	(b) Change the definition of "natural hazard
			Amending the reference to natural hazard mitigation works or	
			amending the definition, in line with our submission on the	
			definition of this term, will provide greater clarity about the	
			activities this rule applies to.	
Hazards and Risks	NH-R4	Amend	The combination of NH-R4 and NH-R7 could be significantly	Amend NH-R4 as follows: Natural hazard se
Natural Hazards			simplified, and they are best located next to each other (which	such activities or structures with a ground
			would require consequential renumbering). It is recommended	sensitive activities with a building footprint
			to provide a clear description in the title of the Rule and utilising	activities that increase the building footpriv
			the National Planning Standard definition of "building	period, and change of use buildings greate
			footprint". Remove PER-3 as it will be covered by amended PER	-
			2.1. Amend PER-1 as this rule would require that the building	Activity status: Permitted
			can only be built to the minimum finished floor level, and not	
			above it. Simplify provisions so that anything that requires a	Where:
			Flood Hazard Assessment Certificate fall under a single	PER-1
			permitted standard. Similar to the provisions for earthworks, it	the building complies with is built to the m
			is recommended that a standard is included which ensures that	consent notice that is less than five years o
			any building will not worsen flooding through the diversion or	
			displacement of floodwater. It is recommended that this is	PER-2
			included as a new PER-3.	A Flood Risk Certificate Flood Hazard Asses
				in accordance with NH-S1; and
				PER 3
				The Flood Risk Certificate issued under PER
				that is within an overland flow path; and
				1. PER 4The Flood Risk Certificate issued u
				on land that is identified as a High Hazard a
				PER 5
		1		2. The building or structure complies with
				Z. The building of structure complies with
				Hazard Assesment Certificate The Flood Ris

y rule that provides for small scale one-off work to ential adverse effects that could occur if the work is e and rated protection scheme bmission on the Natural Hazard Mitigation Works

on works" terminology OR ard mitigation works".

I sensitive activities or structures and additions toad floor area of 30m2 or more<u>Natural hazard</u> rint over 30m2, extensions to natural hazard sensitive print by more than 30m2 in any continuous 5 year ater than 30m2 for natural hazard sensitive activities.

minimum finished floor level specified in an existing s old; or

essment Certificate for the activity has been issued

ER 2 states that the activity is not located on land-

d under PER 2 states that the activity is not located rd area; <u>or</u>

ith the minimum floor level specified in the Flood Risk Certificate issued under PER 2 stateshat is not subject to flooding in a 0.5% AEP rainfall-

Hazards and Risks	NH-R4	Amend	Changes to NH-R4 require changes to the restricted	Activity status where compliance not achie
Natural Hazards		Ameria	discretionary matters, in line with other submissions points.	rearry status where compliance not deme
				Matters of discretion are restricted to:
				1. any potential adverse effects of diverting
				upstream and downstream flood risks; and
				2. any increased flood risk for people, prop
				3. the effectiveness and potential-adverse
				4. any operational need or functional need
				and
				5. the extent to which it will require new o
				and
				6. the extent of any additional reliance on
				7. any positive effects of the proposal.
				Activity status where compliance not achie
				complying
Hazards and Risks	NH-R4.2	Delete	Using both a map and a definition to determine if a given site is	Delete Rule NH-R4.2
Natural Hazards			high hazard could create potential confusion (e.g., you look at	
			this rule, check the map, conclude you are not in the high	
			hazard area overlay, then later get told you are high hazard	
			based on a flood assessment, and that you are actually NC). This	
			rule (and the corresponding overlay) could be removed as High	
			Hazard areas will get picked up under NH-R4 anyhow. Indicative	
			information on High Hazard areas could still be made available	
			outside of the plan.	
Hazards and Risks	NH-R5 - Matters of discretion	Amend	Earthworks from infrastructure can displace flood storage	Amend the matters of discretion for NH
Natural Hazards			capacity (i.e. additional fill taking up flood storage space in	
			a ponding area). This will not always be disturbance to an	Matters of discretion are restricted to:
			overland flow path as defined in the plan, which is the	1. any adverse effects arising from loca
			route along which stormwater flows over land in a rain	this location; and
			event.	2. an y potentia l adverse effects of dive
				including upstream and downstream fl
				3. the effectiveness and potential adver
				measures; and
				4. alternative locations for the regional
				5. any positive effects of locating the re
				location; and
				6. the ability for the regionally significa
				after a hazard event; and
				7. the operational need or functional need
				location.
	-	-	-	-

hieved with PER-3: Restricted Discretionary

ting or blocking overland flow path(s), including and

roperty, or public spaces; and

se effects of any proposed mitigation measures; and eed for the activity to be established in this location;

v or upgraded public natural hazard mitigation works;

on emergency services; and

hieved with PER-1, <u>or </u>PER-2 or, PER-4 or Per 5.2: Non-

NH-R5 as follows:

o: cating the regionally significant infrastructure in

verting or blocking overland flow path(s), a flood risk<u>s or displacement of floodwat</u>er; and verse effects of any proposed mitigation

nally significant infrastructure; and regionally significant infrastructure at this

icant infrastructure to be efficiently recovered

I need for the activity to be established in this

Hazards and Risks	NH-R6.1	Amend	Simplify the provisions by removing reference to overland flow	PER-1
Natural Hazards			paths in line with previous submissions, and make PER-2 a	A Flood Hazard Assessment Certificate for
			subset of PER-1, with a new PER-1b to address flooding hazards,	
			incorporating the second part of the rule (NH-R6.2) which	
			relates to activities in overland flow paths.	PER 2
				The Flood Risk Certificate issued under PE
				that is within an overland flow path; and
1				PER 3
				1. The Flood Risk Certificate issued under
				that is not subject to flooding in a 0.5% AE
				PER-3
				2. The Flood Risk Certificate issued under
				that is subject to flooding in a 0.5% AEP flo
				1. The infrastructure is below ground; or
				2. The above ground infrastructure is less
				3. The infrastructure is located within a ro
Hazards and Risks	NH-R6.2	Delete	Delete NH-R6.2 and incorporate into NH-R6.1 so there is a single	Delete NH-R6.2
Natural Hazards			permitted rule. Otherwise there are multiple crossovers with	
			the rule e.g. infrastructure that is above ground, or it is more	
			than 10m2, which triggers RD activities requiring consent under	
			both rules.	
Hazards and Risks	NH-R6.3	Amend	Amend the title for the overlay to recognise the term Flood	Amend the trigger for the overlay as follow
Natural Hazards			Hazard Assessment Certificate	
				High Hazard Area Overlay
				High Hazard Area identified in a Flood Risk
				Certificate issued in accordance with NH-S
Hazards and Risks	NH-R7	Amend	Relocate NH-R7 so it can be read in conjunction with NH-R4	Relocate NH-R7 to NH-R5 with consequent
Natural Hazards				
Hazards and Risks	NH-R7	Amend	Amend NH-R7 to simplify it in line with NH-R4.	Make amendments to NH-R7 in line with t
Natural Hazards				whether this rule can be combined with N
Hazards and Risks	NH-R8	Delete	Natural Hazards are already an assessment matter for	Consider deletion of Rule NH-R8.
Natural Hazards			subdivision under SUB-R3 Matter of discretion 9(a). Natural	
			hazards are also a matter to be considered prior to grant of	
			consent under s106 , and where there is significant risk from	
			natural hazards, a subdivision can be declined. As such, the	
			additional rules for subdivision in the natural hazard chapter are	
			somewhat redundant, as all of the assessment matters	
			mentions fall within the scope of what is already being assessed.	
4				

for the activity has been issued in accordance with PER 1 states that the activity is not located on landder PER 1 states that the activity is located on land AEP rainfall-flood event.; or er PER 1 states that the activity is located on land flood event (but not a high hazard area) and: r ss than 10m2; or road corridor.

isk Certificate Flood Hazard Assessment

I-S1 ential re-numbering.

h the amendments made to NH-R4, and consider NH-R4.

Hazards and Risks	NH-R8.1	Amend	If NH-R8 is retained, make amendments to ensure that	Amend the subdivision matters in the
Natural Hazards			both access and building platforms are not subject to high hazard to ensure safety and wellbeing.	Activity status: Restricted Discretionar
				Where:
				RDIS-1
				A Flood Risk Certificate Flood Hazard A
				issued in accordance with NH-S1; and
				RDIS-2
				The site is Proposed building platforms
				consent notice) are not subject to high
				Certificate Flood Hazard Assessment C
Hazards and Risks	NH-R8.2	Amend	Depending on the final activity status for subdivision, if it is	 If the general activity status for subdivisio
Natural Hazards			changed to controlled, then consideration of liquefaction should	
			also be controlled, as there is always a technical engineering	
			solution.	
Hazards and Risks	NH-R9	Delete	It is recommended that all natural hazard mitigation works are	Delete Rule NH-R9
Natural Hazards			addressed under a single rule, NH-R3, which would result in this rule becoming redundant	
Hazards and Risks	NH-R9	Amend	Depending on whether NH-R3 and NH-R9 are combined, the	Amend the restricted discretionary criteria
Natural Hazards			assessment matters for new hazard mitigation works should be	
			the same as for NH-R3 for operation, mainetenance and	
			upgrade of hazard mitigation works	
Hazards and Risks	NH-R9 Matters of discretion	Amend	The assessment matters for new hazard mitigation works should	Amend the restricted discretionary criteria
Natural Hazards			be the same as for NH-R3 for operation, mainetenance and	
Hazards and Risks	NH-S1	Amend	upgrade of hazard mitigation works The standards can improved for clarity.	Amend the standards to ensure that the w
Natural Hazards				the plan, including ensuring freeboard leve
				account for all sources of flooding. This al
				definition (currently flood risk certificate,
				certificate) to remove reference to the dis
				of distance from the stop bank will be asso
Historical & Cultural Values	HH-01	Support	This objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 13.2.1	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Historic Heritage	Identification and documentation of			
	Historic Heritage Items			
Historical & Cultural Values	HH-O2 Distoction of unluss	Support	This objective is consistent with CRPS Policy 13.3.1	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Historic Heritage Historical & Cultural Values	Protection of values HH-P1	Support	This Policy is largely consistent with CRPS Policy 13.3.1, except	Retain
Historic Heritage	Identification and assessment of	Support	where the CRPS identifies "Traditional"as one of the matters on	
	Historic Heritage Items		which criteria are made, this Policy identifies "Craftmanship"	
Historical & Cultural Values	HH-P4	Support	This Policy contributes to giving effect to CRPS Objective 13.2.3	Retain
Historic Heritage	Maintenance, repairs and internal		and Policy 13.3.4	
	alterations to Historic Heritage Items			
Historical & Cultural Values	HH-P7	Support	Consistent with CRPS Policy 13.3.3	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Historic Heritage	Management of heritage settings			
		Support	This Rule contributes to giving effect to CRPS Objective 13.2.3	Retain
	HH-R1	Support		in the tank
Historical & Cultural Values Historic Heritage	Min-K1 Maintenance, repair or internal alterations of a Historic Heritage Item	Support	and Policy 13.3.4	

he Flood Assessment Overlay as follows:
nary
r <u>d Assessment Certificate</u> for the subdivision is nd
<u>rms and access to them (to be secured by way of a</u> nigh hazard flooding as stated in a Flood Risk- n <u>t Certificate</u> issued under RDIS-1.
ision is changed to controlled, amend the activity
teria to be consistent with NH-R3.
teria to be consistent with NH-R3.
he wording of the standard is consistent throughout levels are consistent, and climate change is taken into is also requires a consequential amendment to the ate, recommended to be flood hazard assessment e distance from stop banks, as any flood risk regardless assessed.
inal intent.
inal intent.
inal intent.

Historic and Cultural Values	SASM-01	Support	Environment Canterbury supports active involvement of mana	Retain as notified or preserve the original i
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Decision making		whenua in decision making in matters and areas that support	
			their tākiwā.	
Historic and Cultural Values	SASM-02	Support	Environment Canterbury supports providing for mana whenua	Retain as notified or preserve the original i
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Access and use		to access, maintain and use resources and areas of cultural	
			value	
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-03	Support	Environment Canterbury supports protection of the values of	Retain as notified or preserve the original in
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Protection of Sites and Areas of		identified areas and sites of significance to mana whenua.	
	Significance			
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-P1	Support	Environment Canterbury supports active involvement of mana	Retain as notified or preserve the original in
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Involvement of Kāti Huirapa in resource		whenua in decision making in matters and areas that support	
	management decisions		their tākiwā.	
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-P2	Support	Environment Canterbury supports the encouragement of	Retain as notified or preserve the original i
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Consultation and engagement with Kāti		landowner engagement with mana whenua.	
	Huirapa			
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-P3	Support	Environment Canterbury supports the facilitation of customary	Retain as notified or preserve the original in
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Use of sites and areas for cultural		harvest and other cultural practices	
	practices			
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-P4	Support	Environment Canterbury supports enhancing access for mana	Retain as notified or preserve the original in
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Cultural access		whenua to sites and areas of significance to them.	
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-P5	Support	Environment Canterbury supports the protection of the	Retain as notified or preserve the original in
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Protection of values of Sites and Areas		identified values of the sites and areas listed in SCHED6	
	of Significance to Kāti Huirapa			
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-R1: Earthworks not including	Amend	Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on	Adopt the approach suggested in our gener
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	quarrying and mining in the Wāhi		the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
	Tūpuna Overlay (excluding the Māori		greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	vegetation clearance associated with existi
	Purpose Zone)			operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
				this Rule to ensure consistency.
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-R1: Earthworks not including	Amend	Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on	Adopt the approach suggested in our gener
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	quarrying and mining in the Wāhi Taoka		the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
	and Wai Taoka Overlay		greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	vegetation clearance associated with existi
				operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
				this Rule to ensure consistency.
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-R3: Indigenous vegetation	Support	The rule adopts a practical approach. Particularly support PER 6	
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	clearance		& PER 7.	
	Wāhi taoka, wāhi tapu, wai taoka, and			
	wai tapu overlays			
Historical & Cultural Values	SASM-R5: Mining and quarrying	Amend	While we support the intention of TDC to clarify that gravel	Delete Permission clause 2: in the "2. Wai t
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Permission Clause 2		extraction in the beds of lakes and rivers requires Regional	authorised under the Regional Plan either a
	Wāhi tūpuna Overlay		Council resource consents. We believe that including it as a	consent having been obtained from the Ca
			permission clause may cause confusion because beds of lakes	instead include an advice note to the same
			and rivers are not under District Council jurisdiction.	Make this same amendment wherever refe
			in the are net analy biotrict counter jurisdiction.	consents occurs in the District Plan.
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and	ECO-01:	Amend	Support the intention of this Objective as its intent is consistent	Amend to:
Indigenous Biodiversity	Protection of significant indigenous		with CRPS objective 9.2.3 Protection of significant indigenous	The values of <u>areas</u> of significant indigenou
Indipendus biodiversity	biodiversity		vegetation and habitats, however ECO-O1 refers to "The values	indigenous fauna across the District are pro
	Siddiversity		of significant indigenous vegetation" rather than "The values	indigenous rauna across the District are pro
			of areas of significant indigenous vegetation "	
			or areas or significant indigenous vegetation	
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and	FC0-02:	Support	Objective is consistent with CRPS objective 9.2.1 Halting the	Retain as notified or preserve the original in
Indigenous Biodiversity	Maintenance and enhancement of	Support	decline of Canterbury's ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity	inclair as notified of preserve the original in
	indigenous biodiversity		decime of cancerbury s ecosystems and mulgenous biodiversity	
	indigenous biodiversity			

l intent.
l intent.
neral submission on natural hazard mitigation works w rule that provides for all earthworks and sting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading. Make consequential changes to
neral submission on natural hazard mitigation works w rule that provides for all earthworks and sting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading. Make consequential changes to
l intent.
i taoka overlay" - "in the bed of a river, which is r as a permitted activity, or through a resource Canterbury Regional Council" as part of the Rule and ne effect. Interence to Regional Plans and CRC resource
ous vegetation and and significant habitats of protected."
l intent.

Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and E Indigenous Biodiversity				
	ECO-O3: Recognition of Ngāi Tahu	Support	for the relationship of Ngāi Tahu whānui with indigenous	Retain the intent of this provision.
			biodiversity	
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and E Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P1: Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Support	Policy is consistent with CRPS Policy 9.3.1	Retain as notified or preserve the original in
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and E	ECO-P2: Appropriate indigenous	Amend	Policy adopts a practical approach while protecting SNAs,	Review ECO-P2 & ECO-P5 to ensure consist
	vegetation clearance in significant		however this Policy could be interpreted as being inconsistent	
	natural areas		with ECO-P5 which is to avoid clearance of indigenous	
			vegetation in SNAs.	
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and <u>E</u>	ECO-P3: Protection of	Support	Policy is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.1 Halting the decline	Retain as notified or preserve the original i
Indigenous Biodiversity in	ndigenous biodiversity in sensitive		of Canterbury's ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity and	
a	areas		CRPS Policy 9.3.5 wetland protection and enhancement.	
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and E	ECO-P4: Protection for long-tailed bats	Support	Policy is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.3 Protection of	Retain as notified or preserve the original in
Indigenous Biodiversity	C C		significant indigenous vegetation and habitats and CRPS Policy	
Ŭ ,			9.3.1 Protecting significant natural areas.	
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and E	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural	Amend	Policy is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.3 Protection of	Review ECO-P2 & ECO-P5 to ensure consist
Indigenous Biodiversity	Areas		significant indigenous vegetation and habitats and CRPS Policy	
F F			9.3.1 Protecting significant natural areas. However this Policy	
			could be interpreted as being inconsistent with ECO-P2 which	
			provides for appropriate indigneous vegetation clearance in	
			SNAs.	
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and E	ECO-R1: Clearance of indigenous	Amend	Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on	Adopt the approach suggested in our gener
Indigenous Biodiversity v	vegetation (except as provided for in		the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new I
E	ECO-R2 for flood protection works or		greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	vegetation clearance associated with existing
E	ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)			operation, maintenance, repair, replacement
1	1. Significant Natural Areas Overlay			this Rule to ensure consistency.
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and E	FCO-R1: Clearance of indigenous	Amend	The proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped	Amend the applicability of the Rule so that
	vegetation (except as provided for in		in the SNA Overlay. While Environment Canterbury	SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED2
	ECO-R2 for flood protection works or		congratulates TDC for the work they have done to identify and	the criteria in Appendix 5.
	ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)		map SNAs in the District, we believe there are SNAs that meet	the entena in Appendix 5.
	1. Significant Natural Areas Overlay		the critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or	This could be done by using the same refer
	in significant natural vicus overlay		mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not	Significant Natural Area
			protected by Rules in the District Plan.	
			One of the exiteria is Wedisensus suggestation on he bitst of	
			One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of	
			indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including	
			and the set for the set of the se	
			refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district.	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of	

l intent.

istency of Policy and avoid confusion.

l intent.

l intent.

sistency of Policy and avoid confusion.

eneral submission on natural hazard mitigation works ew rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading. Make consequential changes to

hat it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the IED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of

eference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a

Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and	ECO-R2: Clearance of indigenous	Amend	The proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped	Amend the applicability of the Rule so that
	vegetation for natural hazard mitigation	Ameria	in the SNA Overlay. While Environment Canterbury	SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED
-	works		congratulates TDC for the work they have done to identify and	the criteria in Appendix 5.
	Norks		map SNAs in the District, we believe there are SNAs that meet	
			the critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or	This could be done by using the same refer
			mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not	Significant Natural Area
			protected by Rules in the District Plan.	or Brancante Hattaria A a ca
			One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of	
			indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including	
			refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or	
			permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag	
			has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting	
			areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.	
			While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider	
			the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria	
			means that will not be possible. With Climate Change	
			distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their	
			ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or	
			uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district.	
			These are examples of changes that will affect classification of	
			SNAs.	
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and		Amend	Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on	Adopt the approach suggested in our gene
	vegetation for natural hazard mitigation		the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
	works		greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	vegetation clearance associated with existi
				operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
	1]	this Rule to ensure consistency.

hat it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the IED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of

eference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a

eneral submission on natural hazard mitigation works ew rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading. Make consequential changes to

Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and	ECO-R3: Clearance of indigenous	Amend	The proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped	Amend the applicability of the Rule so that
· · ·	vegetation associated with the National		in the SNA Overlay. While Environment Canterbury	SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED
	Grid		congratulates TDC for the work they have done to identify and	the criteria in Appendix 5.
			map SNAs in the District, we believe there are areas that meet	
			the critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or	This could be done by using the same refer
			mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not	Significant Natural Area
			protected by Rules in the District Plan.	
			One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of	
			indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including	
			refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or	
			resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or	
			permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag	
			has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting	
			areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.	
			While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider	
			the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria	
			means that will not be possible. With Climate Change	
			distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their	
			ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or	
			uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district.	
			These are examples of changes that will affect classification of	
			SNAs.	
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and		Amend	Sometimes a tree(s) within the Bat Protection Overlay may	Consider adding an additional clause to PER
Indigenous Biodiversity	Tailed Bat Protection Area		impact on the effective operation of a public flood or erosion	(4) "are impacting the effective operation of
			protection scheme. If the tree(s) are not roosting habitat for	administered by the Regional Council or Tir
			bats, it should be possible to remove them in these	provided by a suitably qualified ecologist th
			circumstances.	roosting bats".
			We support the need to have a suitably qualified ecologist make	
			this assessment but consider that this should be possible	
			through a permitted activity rule that requires a written	
			statement to confirm the ecologist's findings.	

hat it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the IED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of

ference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a

PER-1 as follows or words to this effect: on of a public flood or erosion protection scheme Timaru District Council, AND agreement has been at that the tree(s) are not currently utilised by

Indigenous BiodiversityNatural Areain the SNA Overlay, While Environment Canterbury corgratulates TDC for the work they have done to identify and mayed. Because they have not been mapped, they are not protected by Rules in the District, we believe there are areas that meet the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous regulation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.SAA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCH the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.SAA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCH the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous vegetation or habitat of permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.SAA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCH the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation.Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems andECO-86AmendThe proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mappedAmend the applicability of the Rule so the sontal states of the specifical district.	Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and	ECO-R5: Earthworks in a Significant	Amend	The proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped	Amend the applicability of the Rule so that
Neurist Environment ValuesNCI-C01SpaportThe Objective Values with CPS Objective V2.12 and roleSince all be done by using the same resume of the space with the resume resume of the space with the same resume of the space with th			A menu		SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED
http://tensionality.com/				congratulates TDC for the work they have done to identify and	the criteria in Appendix 5.
Nature I forwarment ValuesNature 1Nature				map SNAs in the District, we believe there are areas that meet	
Neural Environment Values/Ecosystems and Indigenous BiodiversityNo. 20-26AmendInterpretation of the District Plan. One of the criteria is "Indigenous Section." How is a source of the applicability of the Biology refuges from predation, or why biolation of indigenous Biology of on the here not been identified. It should be noted that there rooting areas are not necessarily on indigenous species. How is association of the applicability of the Biology of the not been identified. It should be noted that there rooting areas are not necessarily on indigenous species. How is associated and the here not been identified. It should be noted that there rooting areas are not necessarily on indigenous species. How is associated and the they are thereaded, and a distribution limits for species areas area. The necessarily on indigenous Biology and are set on its CO-364 within a distribution limits for species areas. How is a distribution limits for species areas areas the specific beam of the applicability of the Biole so the the root beam biology. While induces on the specific beam of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the root of the applicability of the Biole so the the roo				the critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or	This could be done by using the same refer
Natural Environment Values/ Natural Environment Values Nat-C-1 Natural Environment Values				mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not	Significant Natural Area
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and PLCOB PCOB Amond The Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.21 and Pala Amond Palae active is on the singendal district Natural Environment Values NATC O1 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.21 and Palae Betain a notified or preserve through Natural Environment Values NATC O1 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.21 and Palae Betain a notified or preserve through Natural Environment Values NATC O1 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.21 and Palae Betain an antified or preserve through Natural Environment Values NATC O1 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.21 and Palae Betain an antified or preserve through				protected by Rules in the District Plan.	
Natural Environment Values; Locosystems ECD-H6 Amend Integrands Amend Integrands Integrands Amend Integrands Amend Amend Integrands Amend Integrands Amend Amend Integrands Integrands Amend integrands Integrands Amend integrands Integrands Integrands Amend integrands I				One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of	
Natural Environment ValuesECO-16AmendPersonander ValuesAmend the sequence of the order of the sequence of the sequ				indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including	
Natural Environment Values/Loopsites sand Indigenous Biolither S Hould be level intentified. It should be near near on the consistent with a should be applicable with Change distribution limits for species are the nearest and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criterio and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criterio and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criterio and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criterio and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criterio and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criterio and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criterio and consider the criterio and consider the napped is the standard of the standard done is the standard done is the standard done is understandable, the nearest example of changes that will affect classification of SMAs.Amend the applicability of the Rules of the criterio and consider the criterio and considered the standard done is the standard done is the criterio and criterio and criterio and considered the criterio and considered the criterio and considered the criterio and considered the criterio and criterio an					
Natural Environment Values VC-01 Support This Objective is consistent with CIPS Objective 9.2.2 & 3.4 and Relation and consistent with a support of the sup					
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity ECO-R6 Amend Amend The proposed Plan only provides rules for SMAs that are mapping structure and structure and					
Natural Environment Values NATC-01 Nutural Environment Values Nutural En				-	
Natural Environment Values NAC-01 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and POID Retain as notified or preserve the origin Natural Environment Values NAC-01 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and POID Retain as notified or preserve the origin				While a desire to complete the manning exercise and consider	
Natural Environment Values NAC-01 Support This Objective Is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and POIR Relation and Support Natural Environment Values NAC-01 Support This Objective Is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and POIR Relation and Support Natural Environment Values NAC-01 Support This Objective Is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and POIR Relation and Support Natural Environment Values NAC-01 Support This Objective Is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and POIR Relation as notified or preserve thor origin					
Nutral Environment Values NaTC-01 Natural Environment Values NATC-01					
Natural Environment Values MTC-01 Natural Environment Values MTC-01 Natural Environment Values MTC-01 Result Character This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy Result Character MTC-01 Natural Environment Values MTC-22 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy Retain an oblified or preserve the origin This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.2 and Policy Natural Environment Values MTC-21 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.2 and Policy Retain an outlified or preserve the origin This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.2 and Policy					
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity ECO-86 Amend The proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overfax, While Environment Canterbury comparison and the applicability of the Rule so it SNA Overfax, While Environment Canterbury SNA Overfax, While					
Natural Environment Values/Lcosystems and ECO-R6 Amend The proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay. While Environment Canterbury comparaturates TOC for the work they have done to identify and mass et out in ECO-SCH to correct they have done to identify and mass set out in ECO-SCH to correct they have conte to identify and mass set out in ECO-SCH to correct they have done to identify and mass SANAs in the District, we believe there are SNAs that meet the originat Table or theories in Appendix 5. Amend the applicability of the Rules out SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCH to correct they have done to identify and mass SANAs in the District, we believe there are SNAs that meet the origina propendix 5. Amend the riteria in Appendix 5. One of the criteria is "Indigenous subgest provides important habitat (including refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pield shag has not the indigenous species, either sassanally or permanently. "Roosting habitat for species and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria in Appendix S. Natural Environment Values NATC-01 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy Retain as notified or preserve the origin. Natural Character Natural Environment Values NATC-01 Support This Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy Retain as notified or preserve the origin. Sutrain Environment Values Retain as notified or preserve the origin.					
Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and ECO-R6 Amend The proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapple ability of the Rules of the SNA Overlay. While Environment Canterbury congratulates TOC for the work they have done to identify and maps SNAs in the District, we believe thera era SNAs that meet the critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or maps SNAs in the District, we believe thera era SNAs that meet the critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or more the criteria is "Indigenous suggestation or habitat of indigenous suggestation thabitat for feeding. The District, we believe thera era SNAs in the District, we believe thera era SNAs that meet the criteria of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or more protected by Rules in the District Plan. Amend Amend This could be done by using the same rest out in ECO-SCH to criteria is "Indigenous suggestation or habitat of indigenous suggestation or habitat of indigenous suggestation or habitat of reduction or resting I for indigenous suggestation. Amend Amend are set out in ECO-SCH Amend Amend Amend				These are examples of changes that will affect classification of	
Indigenous BiodiversityIn the SNA Overlay. While Environment Canterbury comparizulates TDC for the work they have done to identify an map SNAs in the District, we believe there are SNAs that meet the critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been indentified or mapped. Because they have not been indentified or mapped. Because they have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been indentified or mapped. Because they have not been identified or preserve the origin. Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01SupportNA the PartNATC PartSupportNatural Environment Values 92.8.9.3.4 and Retain as notified or preserve the origin. T.3.1				SNAs.	
Indigenous BiodiversityIn the SNA Overlay. While Environment Canterbury cargarizulates TDC for the work they have done to identify an map SNAs in the District, we believe there are SNAs that meet the critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been indentified or 					
Indigenous BiodiversityIn the SNA Overlay. While Environment Canterbury comparizulates TDC for the work they have done to identify an map SNAs in the District, we believe there are SNAs that meet the critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been indentified or mapped. Because they have not been indentified or mapped. Because they have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been indentified or mapped. Because they have not been identified or preserve the origin. Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01SupportNA the PartNATC PartSupportNatural Environment Values 92.8.9.3.4 and Retain as notified or preserve the origin. T.3.1					
Natural Environment ValuesNATC-D1 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policyde criteria in Appendix 5.Natural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 andRetain as notified or preserve the origina	Natural Environment Values/Ecosystems and	ECO-R6	Amend	The proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped	Amend the applicability of the Rule so that
Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Poli Tis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 andRetain as notified or preserve the originNatural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 andRetain as notified or preserve the origin	Indigenous Biodiversity				SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED
he critera of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not protexted by Rules in the District Plan.This could be done by using the same re significant Natural AreaOne of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous species and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological atstus of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of SNAs.Retain as notified or preserve the originaNatural Environment Values Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01 reserved of natural characterSupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and PolicyRetain as notified or preserve the origina					the criteria in Appendix 5.
Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01SupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and PolicyRetain as notified or preserve the origin.Natural Environment ValuesNATC-92SupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 andRetain as notified or preserve the origin.					
Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and PolicyRetain as notified or preserve the origin.Natural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 andRetain as notified or preserve the origin.					
Image: http://www.image: http://					Significant Natural Area
Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01SupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and PolicyRetain as notified or preserve the origina 7.3.1Natural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 andRetain as notified or preserve the origina				One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of	
Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01SupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and PolicyRetain as notified or preserve the originaNatural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 andRetain as notified or preserve the origina				indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including	
permenently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of SNAs.Natural Environment Values Natural CharacterNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1Retain as notified or preserve the origina 7.3.1					
has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of SNAs.Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1Retain as notified or preserve the origina 7.3.1					
Natural Environment Values Natural CharacterNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1Retain as notified or preserve the origina Retain as notified or preserve the originaNatural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and Retain as notified or preserve the origina					
Natural Environment Values Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1Retain as notified or preserve the originarNatural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and This Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and Retain as notified or preserve the originar				_	
herehe				areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.	
Matural Environment Values Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupport SupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1Retain as notified or preserve the original Retain as notified or preserve the originalNatural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and Retain as notified or preserve the original				While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider	
Natural Environment Values Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1Retain as notified or preserve the original Retain as notified or preserve the o				the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria	
below					
Image: Natural Environment ValuesNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1Retain as notified or preserve the original 7.3.1Natural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and This Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 andRetain as notified or preserve the original Retain as notified or preserve the original					
LetterLette					
SNAs.SNAs.Natural Environment Values Natural CharacterNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupportThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1Retain as notified or preserve the origina 7.3.1Natural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and This Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and Retain as notified or preserve the origina					
Natural Environment Values Natural CharacterNATC-01 Protection of natural characterSupport Protection of natural characterThis Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1Retain as notified or preserve the original 7.3.1Natural Environment ValuesNATC-P2SupportThis Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and This Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and					
Natural Character Protection of natural character 7.3.1 Natural Environment Values NATC-P2 Support This Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and Retain as notified or preserve the original character is an objective serve the original character is an objectiter is an objective serve the original character is an				DINAS.	
Natural Character Protection of natural character 7.3.1 Natural Environment Values NATC-P2 Support This Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and Retain as notified or preserve the original character is an objective serve the original character is an objectiter is an objective serve the original character is an					
Natural Environment Values NATC-P2 Support This Policy is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and Retain as notified or preserve the original			Support		Retain as notified or preserve the original i
			a .		
Natural Character Policies 7.3.3 & 9.3.5			Support		Retain as notified or preserve the original i
	Natural Character	Restoration and enhancement		Policies 7.3.3 & 9.3.5	

hat it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the IED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of

eference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a

hat it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the IED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of

eference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a

al intent.

al intent.

Natural Environment Values	NATC-P5	Amend	Particularly support NATC-P5(1) but as per previous submissions	Either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitig
Natural Character	Anticipated activities in riparian		changes are required to clarify what activities this applies to.	definition of "natural hazard mitigation we
	margins			the definition of "natural hazard mitigation
Natural Environment Values	NATC-R1	Amend	Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on	Adopt the approach suggested in our gene
Natural Character	Vegetation clearance		the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
	Riparian margins of a river that is not an		greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	vegetation clearance associated with exist
	HNWB			operation, maintenance, repair, replacement
Natural Environment Values	NATC-R2: Vegetation planting	Amend	Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on	this Rule to ensure consistency. Adopt the approach suggested in our gene
Natural Character	NATC-N2. Vegetation planting	Amenu	the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
			greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	vegetation clearance associated with exist
			6. outer starter and container for than abore	operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
				this Rule to ensure consistency.
Natural Environment Values	NATC-R3: Earthworks	Amend	We support this Rule as it is consistent with CRPS Policy 10.2.1	If requests to change terminology re natu
Natural Character	1. Riparian margins of a river that is not			definitions) amend the wording of this PE
	an HNWB		If requested PER is accepted, there will need to be a	
	2. Riparian margins of an HNWB		consequential change amending the reference to natural hazard	
			mitigation works or amending the definition, in line with our	
			submission on the definition of this term. This will provide	
			greater clarity about the activities this rule applies to.	
Natural Environment Values	NFL-01	Support	Objective is consistent with CRPS Objective 12.2.1	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Natural Features and Landscapes	Outstanding Natural Features and			
	Outstanding Natural Landscapes			
Natural Environment Values	NFL-P1	Support	Specifically support clause (2), and the identification of values	Retain as notified or preserve the original
Natural Features and Landscapes	Identification of Outstanding Natural		for each site.	
	Features, Outstanding Natural			
	Landscapes and Visual Amenity		Consistent with CRPS Objective 12.2.1 & Policy 12.3.1	
	Landscapes			
Natural Environment Values	NFL-R2	Amend	Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	Adopt the approach suggested in our gene to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
Natural Features and Landscapes	Earthworks not listed in NFL-R1 , NFL- R3 or NFL-R4		greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	vegetation clearance associated with exist
	ONF overlay, ONL overlay		greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
	on overlay, one overlay			this Rule to ensure consistency.
Natural Environment Values	NFL-R2	Amend	Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on	Adopt the approach suggested in our gene
Natural Features and Landscapes	Earthworks not listed in NFL-R1 , NFL-		the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
	R3 or NFL-R4		greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	vegetation clearance associated with exist
	VAL overlay			operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
				this Rule to ensure consistency.
Natural Environment Values	NFL-R5 Tree planting, other	Clarify	Restoration and conservation purposes are not defined. This	Adopt the approach suggested in our gene
Natural Features and Landscapes	than plantation forestry		makes it unclear whether planting for natural hazard mitigation	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
	ONF overlay, ONL overlay		purposes is part of this activity.	vegetation clearance associated with exist
				operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
Natural Environment Values	NFL-R5 Tree planting, other	Clarify	Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on Restoration and conservation purposes are not defined. This	Adopt the approach suggested in our gene
Natural Features and Landscapes	than plantation forestry	cianty	makes it unclear whether planting for natural hazard mitigation	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
	VAL overlay,		purposes is part of this activity.	vegetation clearance associated with exist
				operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
			Adopting the approach suggested in our general submission on	
			the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would address our	
			concern and ensure greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	
Natural Environment Values		Support	Environment Canterbury supports the assessment of impacts on	Ensure Plantation Forestry provisions with
Natural Features and Landscapes	ONL overlay, ONF overlay & Val Overlay		Landscape Values when considering afforestation.	
Natural Environment Values		Support	Consistent with CRPS Objective 5.2.1,	Retain
Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-R9: Subdivision			
	ONF overlay, ONL overlay, VAL overlay			

itigation works" terminology OR (b) Change the works" in accordance with the submission made on ion works."

eneral submission on natural hazard mitigation works ew rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading. Make consequential changes to

eneral submission on natural hazard mitigation works ew rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading. Make consequential changes to

tural hazards mitigation works (see submission to PER accordingly.

al intent.

al intent.

eneral submission on natural hazard mitigation works ew rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading. Make consequential changes to

eneral submission on natural hazard mitigation works ew rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading. Make consequential changes to

eneral submission on natural hazard mitigation works ew rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading.

eneral submission on natural hazard mitigation works ew rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading.

ithin the Plan are consistent with the NESPF

Natural Environment Values Public Access	PA-P2 Requirements for public access	Support	Consistent with CRPS Provisions	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Natural Environment Values Public Access	PA-P4 Limiting public access	Support	Consistent with CRPS Provisions	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Natural Environment Values Versatile soils	Entire Chapter including all provisions	Amend	The NPSHPL has now been released changing the focus to highly productive land. The TDC Plan will need to be amended to be consistent with this terminology and approach.	Amend this chapter to give effect to the N Remove reference to Versatile Soils and re
Natural Environment Values Versatile soils	VS-P1: Identification of versatile soils	Amend	The NPSHPL has now been released changing the focus to highly productive land. The TDC Plan will need to be amended to be consitent with this terminology and approach.	Remove reference to Versatile Soils and re In particular add reference to LUC 3 land, a LUC 1, 2 or 3.
Subdivision	SUB-O2: Infrastructure	Support	This objective is consistent with the CRPS because it provides for infrastructure in a coordinated and integrated way.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Subdivision	SUB-P2: Subdivision of land within sensitive environments	Support	This policy provides for the protection of the quality of the environment.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Subdivision	SUB-P4: Quality of the environment and amenity	Support	This policy provides for the protection of the quality of the environment.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Subdivision	SUB-P5 Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Consistent with CRPS Chapter 5 including Policy 5.3.12.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Subdivision	SUB-P6: Infrastructure	Support	This policy provides for the protection of the quality of the environment.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Subdivision	SUB-P15 Rural Lifestyle Zone	Support	Support the requirement for new Rural lifestyle allotments to connect to a reticulated system or else have a larger minimum allotment size.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Subdivision	SUB-R3:Subdivision not listed in SUB-R1	Amend	CRPS Objective 11.2.1 is to Avoid new subdivision, use and	Add an additional matter of discretion to S
Subarrision	and SUB-R2			going delivery of existing public flood or er
	All Zones		hazards.	
			Sometimes land adjacent to flood protection or drainage works is subdivided from rural sized blocks to tight housing. This limits access or ability to continue to provide public flood protection and drainage works. This should be a matter that the Council is able to consider when evaluating a subdivision application. Canterbury Regional Council's FPD Bylaw provides some protection in this space but	
			this issue should be identified and addressed earlier in the subdividing process.	
Subdivision	SUB-R3: Subdivision not listed in SUB- R1 and SUB-R2 All Zones	Support	The requirement to comply with the Chapter's standards and in particular SB-R4 will ensure that consideration of wastewater disposal and servicing can be undertaken at the time of resource consent for the subdivision. In particular support SB-4 Standard 2. Rural Zones.	Retain reference to standards as notified o
General District Wide Matters Activities on the Surface of Water	ASW-O1: Protecting the values of the District's rivers	Support	Consistent with CRPS	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	General	Amend	There appear to be a number of gaps in relation to the provisions for activities in the coastal environment, for example, implementation of Policy 11 relating to indigenous biological diversity. It is recommended that the chapter is reviewed in light of the NZCPS to ensure that it gives effect to all of the requirements of it. It is relevant to note that at the time of the development of the CRPS, it was not drafted to give effect to the NZCPS, which was notified part way through the development of the CRPS.	Include provisions in the chapter to ensure NZCPS 2010.

tent.
itent.
NPSHPL replace with reference to Highly Productive Land.
replace with reference to Highly Productive Land.
d, as the NPSHPL refers to highly productive soils as
tent.
itent.
itent.
tent.
tent.
itent.
o SUB-R3: "the impact of the subdivision on the on-
erosion protection or drainage works".
d or preserve original intent.
itent.

ure that it gives effect to all the requirements of the

General District Wide Matters	General	Amend	The certificates being issued, rather than assessing risk, assess	Amend any reference to "Flood Risk Certificate" to "F
Coastal Environment			the flood hazard impacting the site.	
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	General	Amend	The Timaru District Plan does not recognise that "high hazard" as defined CRPS Policy 11.3.1 includes areas subject to coastal erosion, and coastal inundation. The planning framework required by 11.3.1 is not reflected in the coastal environment chapter	Amend the chapter to recognise areas subject to high erosion and coastal inundation, and provide a framew CRPS.
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	General	Amend	It is not clear how the provisions provide for the "bottom line" provisions in the NZCPS Policies 11, 13 and 15, which require an approach of "no adverse effects" on certain significant resources. This includes infrastructure, and the framework of the chapter provides a very permissive framework.	Ensure that appropriate rules are included, including adverse effects" are created in relation to those reso 13(1)(a) and 15(a) of the NZCPS. In relation to the se that the policy framework provides for "no significan
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-O1: Coastal natural character	Support	Preservation of the natural character of the coastal environment is a matter of national importance under s6 RMA91. This objective is consistent with NZCPS Policy 13, and Policy 8.3.4 CRPS.	
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-O2: Quality of the Coastal Environment	Support	Maintenance and enhancement of public access to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers is a matter of national importance under s6 RMA91. NZCPS Policy 19 includes the need to recognise public expectation of and need for walking access to and along the coast, the need to maintain and enhance public walking access to, along and adjacent to the coastal marine area and lists the circumstances under which a restrction on public walking access can be imposed. Policy 20 NZCPS considers vehicle access.	
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-O3: Kāti Huirapa values	Support	Recognising and providing for the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga is a matter of national importance under s6 RMA91	Retain as notified or preserve original intent.
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-O4: Coastal hazards	Amend	This objective could be better drafted to reflect Objective 5 of the NZCPS, including recognition of the impacts of climate change	Amend CE-O4 to reflect Objective 5 of the NZCPS
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-P1: Identifying the Coastal Environment	Support	By identifying and mapping the extent, areas, elements and characteristics that comprise the coastal environment, this objective provides for giving effect to the NZCPS Policy 1, ensuring the coastal environment elements within the district will be recognised.	Retain as notified or preserve original intent.
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-P2: Identifying areas of high coastal natural character	Support	Identifying natural character is an essential step towards preserving natural character as required by the RMA91. This policy is an essntial step towards giving effect to NZCPS Objective 2 and Policy 13. This policy is also consistent with giving effect to CRPS Policy 8.3.4.	Retain as notified or preserve original intent.
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-P3: Identifying coastal hazards	Amend	Identifying coastal hazards is consistent with NZCPS policy 24 and is necessary to ensure alignment with CRPS Policy 11.3.1 avoidance of inappropriate development in high hazard areas.	Retain as notified or preserve original intent.
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-P4: Role of natural features and vegetation	Support	This Policy contributes to the implementation of NZCPS Policy 26. However, the NZCPS Policy is to "Provide where apporiate for the protection, restoration or enhancement" while the TDC Policy is "Protect and maintain where practicable restore " The Policy does not provide for "enhancement" as the NZCPS Policy does.	
General District Wide Matters	CE-P5: Coastal natural character	Support	This Policy reflects NZCPS Policy 13(2)	Retain as notified or preserve original intent.
Coastal Environment General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-P6: Kāti Huirapa values	Support	This policy is consistent with s6 RMA91 and NZCPS Policy 2.	Retain as notified or preserve original intent.

tificate" to "Flood Hazard Assessment Certificate"
ubject to high hazard include areas subject to coastal
vide a framework consistent with Policy 11.3.1 of the
nde a framework consistent with Folicy 11.5.1 of the
ed, including for infrastructure, to ensure that "no
o those resources addressed in Policies 11(a),
tion to the secondary parts of those policies, ensure
no significant adverse effects".
tent.
lent.
tent
tent.
t
tent.
NIZCDC
ne NZCPS
tent.
tent.
tent.
tent.
tent.
ithin the Policy.
tann the roney.
tent.
tent.

		-		
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE:P7: Restoration or rehabilitation of natural character	Support	This policy is consistent with NZCPS Policy 14 and CRPS Policy 8.3.4	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-P8: Maintain or enhance the quality of the coastal environment	Amend	The drafting of this policy uses very permissive language ("enable"), whereas the structure of the NZCPS, particularly in relation to Policy 7, is to consider how and when to provide for development in the coastal environment, and to identify where development is inappropriate.	Amend CE-P8 as follows: Outside of urban areas, enable <u>ensure</u> sub- and/ or enhances the following qualities the enjoyment of the coastal environment:
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-P9: Anticipated activities	Amend	The drafting of this policy uses very permissive language ("enable"), whereas the structure of the NZCPS, particularly in relation to Policy 7, is to consider how and when to provide for development in the coastal environment, and to identify where development is inappropriate.	Amend CE-P9 as follows: EnableProvide for activities that are a scale
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-P10: Preserving the natural character of the Coastal Environment	Amend	The drafting of this policy uses very permissive language ("enable"), whereas the structure of the NZCPS, particularly in relation to Policy 7, is to consider how and when to provide for development in the coastal environment, and to identify where development is inappropriate.	Amend CE-P10 as follows: EnableManage subdivision use and develop character <u>so</u> that <u>it</u> :
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R4.4 - Buildings and structures and extensions (excluding Regionally Significant Infrastructure and fences)	Amend	Amend the date in PER-1 to reflect the date that the plan becomes operative, as the rule does not currently have legal effect. It is noted the rule does not address whether an area is subject to high hazard, and there is no policy response that directs how high hazards are to be addressed. It is not clear why 25m2 is used as the threshold for additions in this chapter, while 30m2 is used for additions in the Natural Hazards flooding provisions. The Regional Council is concerned that under PER- 2, large and/or high value buildings will be allowed under this rule, as they do not meet the 'natural hazard sensitive activity' definition, and there is no obvious mechanism to control their use after they have been built. It is also concerned that PER- permits any building to be constructed within areas subject to seawater inundation so long as it is made of watertight materials. A PA works well for raised floors, as the floor level (and thus compliance with the rule) is easily measurable. It is noted that this approach is not used for freshwater flooding. If the rule is to facilitate development at the port, the rule could apply to just the port area/zone.	Amend CE-R4.4 to be consistent with the a chapter, in particular high hazard activities, 11.3.2 and the NZCPS. If Port specific activ an appropriate new rule that provides for F consistent with the date the plan becomes the rules in this chapter do not have legal e conversion of existing buildings to a natura
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R4.5 - Buildings and structures and extensions (excluding Regionally Significant Infrastructure and fences)	Amend	Amend the date in PER-1 to reflect the date that the plan becomes operative, as the rule does not currently have legal effect. It is noted the rule does not address whether an area is subject to high hazard, and there is no policy response that directs how high hazards are to be addressed. It is not clear why 25m2 is used as the threshold for additions in this chapter, while 30m2 is used for additions in the Natural Hazards flooding provisions. The Regional Council is concerned that under PER- 2, large and/or high value buildings will be allowed under this rule, as they do not meet the 'natural hazard sensitive activity' definition, and there is no obvious mechanism to control their use after they have been built.	Amend CE-R4.5 to be consistent with the a chapter, in particular high hazard activities, 11.3.2 and the NZCPS. Update the date in becomes operative, rather than the date of have legal effect. Include provisions in any to a natural hazard sensitive activity.

tent.

ubdivision, use and development where it-maintains that contribute to the quality, and the public's

ale and type that:

elopment outside of areas of coastal high natural

e approach for activities in the Natural Hazards ies, in order to give effect to Policy 11.3.1 and Policy ctivities require a more generous approach, include or Port Activities. Update the date in PER-1 to be nes operative, rather than the date of notification, as al effect. Include provisions in any new rule for the ural hazard sensitive activity.

e approach for activities in the Natural Hazards ies, in order to give effect to Policy 11.3.1 and Policy in PER-1 to be consistent with the date the plan e of notification, as the rules in this chapter do not any new rule for the conversion of existing buildings

General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R4.6 - Buildings and structures and extensions (excluding Regionally Significant Infrastructure and fences)	Amend	Amend the date in PER-1 to reflect the date that the plan becomes operative, as the rule does not currently have legal effect. It is noted the rule does not address whether an area is subject to high hazard, and there is no policy response that directs how high hazards are to be addressed. It is not clear why 25m2 is used as the threshold for additions in this chapter, while 30m2 is used for additions in the Natural Hazards flooding provisions. The Regional Council is concerned that under PER- 2, large and/or high value buildings will be allowed under this rule, as they do not meet the 'natural hazard sensitive activity' definition, and there is no obvious mechanism to control their use after they have been built.	Amend CE-R4.6 to be consistent with the a chapter, in particular high hazard activities NZCPS. Update the date in PER-1 to be con rather than the date of notification, as the Include provisions in any new rule for the o sensitive activity.
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R7.1		Amend the date in PER-2 to reflect the date that the plan becomes operative, as the rule does not currently have legal effect. The Regional Council is concerned that the proposed rule would allow new buildings as a permitted activity if they are less than 200 m2, or they don't accommodate a natural hazard sensitive activity, or they are built above the flood level (noting that there may not be any flooding issues, but could be significant erosion issues), or they are watertight. Many of these types of activities that fall within these thresholds as a permitted activity should require some form of assessment. In addtion, the rule does not appear to address risk to any of those activities from coastal erosion, which is identified in the CCRPS as a high hazard.	Include provisions in any new rule for the osensitive activity.
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R8.1		Amend the date in PER-1 to reflect the date that the plan becomes operative, as the rule does not currently have legal effect. The Regional Council is concerned that the proposed rule would allow new buildings as a permitted activity if they are less than 200 m2, or they don't accommodate a natural hazard sensitive activity, or they are built above the flood level (noting that there may not be any flooding issues, but could be significant erosion issues), or they are watertight. Many of these types of activities should that fall within these thresholds as a permitted activity should require some form of assessment. In addition, the rule does not appear to address risk to any of those activities from coastal erosion, which is identified in the CRPS as a high hazard.	Include provisions in any new rule for the osensitive activity.

e approach for activities in the Natural Hazards ies, in order to give effect to Policy 11.3.1 and the consistent with the date the plan becomes operative, he rules in this chapter do not have legal effect. he conversion of existing buildings to a natural hazard

e approach for activities in the Natural Hazards ies, in order to give effect to Policy 11.3.1 and the consistent with the date the plan becomes operative, he rules in this chapter do not have legal effect. he conversion of existing buildings to a natural hazard

e approach for activities in the Natural Hazards ies, in order to give effect to Policy 11.3.1 and the consistent with the date the plan becomes operative, he rules in this chapter do not have legal effect. he conversion of existing buildings to a natural hazard

General District Wide Matters	CE BQ: Natural bazard mitigation works	Amond	To add clarity, regarding when these works require resource	Add on advisons note to the offect that use
Coastal Environment	CE-R9: Natural hazard mitigation works, including earthworks - maintenance,	Amena	consent from the Regional Council rather than the District	Add an advisory note to the effect that wo water springs and/or work within the beds
Coastal Environment	replacement and upgrading		Council, an advisory note would be useful.	the Regional Council and will require resource
	Coastal Environment Overlay		council, an auvisory note would be useful.	permitted activity for them.
	Coastal Environment Overlay		Amending the reference to natural hazard mitigation works or	permitted activity for them.
			amending the definition, in line with our submission on the	Either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitig
1			definition of this term, will provide greater clarity about the	definition of "natural hazard mitigation wo
			activities this rule applies to.	the definition of "natural hazard mitigation wo
			activities this rule applies to.	
1				
1				
General District Wide Matters	5	Amend	Aligning the approach suggested in our general submission on	Align the approach suggested in our genera
Coastal Environment	including maintenance, replacement		the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
1	and upgrading		greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.	vegetation clearance associated with existi
				operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
			The title of CE-R9, through the use of the word "including"	approach across hazard mitigation works.
			would appear to apply to all natural hazard mitigation works, so	1
1			if two rules are retained (one being maintenance, replacement	Delete all of the words after "Natural hazar
			and upgrading, and the other being new), this word should be deleted.	for the exception for planting of vegetation
				Amend CE-R9 permitted standards as follo
			CE-R9 and CE-R12 can be combined so that any natural hazard	
			mitigation works are addressed in a single rule. Support the	Consider how best to identify the relations
			permitted activity status for Council to maintain, repair and	other rules that could be interpreted to co
			upgrade existing structures for flood and erosion protection,	activity such as associated earthworks and
			however this rule should also include "operation" and make it	and certainty for Plan users.
			clear that earthworks and vegetation clearance associated with	
			this activity are also permitted, so that this rule becomes an	Activity status: Permitted
			over-riding rule for this activity. Raising proposed PER-3 to PER-	
			1 makes it clearer that this permitted activity rule only applies to	o Where:
			the Crown, CRC & TDC or people acting on their behalf.	PER- <u>1</u> 3
				The activity is undertaken by or on behalf of
			The delivery of public flood, erosion and drainage works	Council; and
			requires a wider works programme that just maintaining the	
			structures and devices The extent of existing works undertaken	PER-2
			in accordance with the Soil Conservation and Rivers Control Act	The natural hazard mitigation works are fo
			1941 and Land Drainage Act 1908 is recorded within the	upgrading; and
l l			relevant Environment Canterbury Asset Management Plans.	

works in the coastal marine area i.e. below mean high eds of lakes and rivers are within the jurisdiction of source consents unless a Regional Plan provides a

itigation works" terminology OR (b) Change the works" in accordance with the submission made on ion works."

neral submission on natural hazard mitigation works ew rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading to ensure consistency of ts.

azard mitigation works" in the title of the rule (except tion).

llows:

onship between this permitted activity rule and any cover activities that are an integral part of this nd incidental vegetation removal, to ensure clarity

If of the Crown, Canterbury Regional Council or the

for operation, maintenance, replacement or

General District Wide Matters	CE-R9	Amond	Aligning the approach suggested in our general submission on	Align the approach suggested in our gener
Coastal Environment	CE-R9	Amend	the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure	to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new
			greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.;	vegetation clearance associated with exist
			Breater elancy and certainty for han aberei,	operation, maintenance, repair, replaceme
			Combining CE-R9 and CE-R12 requires additional matters where compliance with the proposed new PER-2 are not met, and	
			utilisation of the RD assessment matters for maintenance,	Add the following new standards which ad
			operation and upgrading, which are more comprehensive than the assessment matters for new natural hazard mitigation work	Activity status where compliance not achie
				Where RDIS-1
				The works are undertaken by or on behalf
				Matters of discretion are restricted to:
				1. the likely effectiveness of the natural ha
				2. the extent of any adverse social, cultura sensitive environments; and
				3. any adverse effects from diverting or blo
				and downstream flood risks; and
				4. any increased flood risk for people, prop
				5. the extent to which alternative locations works have been considered and the merit
				6. any positive effects of the proposal on t
				7. any relevant matter in the New Zealand
				Activity status where compliance with RDI
General District Wide Matters	CE-R9	Amend	RD assessment matters require an update due to the	Activity status where compliance not achie
Coastal Environment			recommended insertion of PER-1. The assessment matters	Discretionary
			address potential effects, which can be simplified to "effects". That way, it covers the full set of effects which	Matters of discretion are restricted to:
			include actual or potential effects, and any cumulative	1. the likely effectiveness of the natural ha
			effect. Grammar can be improved by changing "of" to "from".	2. the extent of any adverse social, cultura
				sensitive environments; and
				3. any potential adverse effects of <u>f</u>rom div
				upstream and downstream flood risks; and
				 any increased flood risk for people, prop the extent to which alternative locations
				works have been considered and the merit
				6. any positive effects of the proposal on t
General District Wide Matters	CE-R9	Amend	The rule could benefit from a note recognising that works in the	
Coastal Environment			CMA may require consent or assessment under the Regional	water springs and/or work within the beds
			Coastal Environment Plan. Clarification also required around the definition of natural hazard mitigation works in line with	the Regional Council and will require resou permitted activity for them.
			submissions on that definition.	Fither (a) Chapte the "natural hazard mitic
				Either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitig definition of "natural hazard mitigation wo
				the definition of "natural hazard mitigation
	CE 244			
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R11	Delete	Intensification within the Sea Water Inundation Overlay as a restricted discretionary activity does not reflect the high hazard	Consider making subdivision in the Sea Wa discretionary.

neral submission on natural hazard mitigation works aw rule that provides for all earthworks and isting public flood and erosion protection works ment and upgrading to ensure consistency of as.

address new natural hazard mitigation works: hieved with PER-2: Restricted Discretionary

alf of the Crown, Regional Council or the Council.

hazard mitigation works and the need for them; and ural and environmental effects, including on any

blocking overland flow path(s), including upstream

roperty, or public spaces; and ons and options for the natural hazard mitigation erits of those; and n the community.; and nd Coastal Policy Statement 2010.

DIS-1 not achieved: Discretionary

hieved with PER-1 or PER-<u>3</u>2 or PER-<u>4</u>3: Restricted

hazard mitigation works and the need for them; and ural and environmental effects, including on any

diverting or blocking overland flow path(s), including and

roperty, or public spaces; and

ons and options for the natural hazard mitigation

erits of those; and

n the community.

works in the coastal marine area i.e. below mean high eds of lakes and rivers are within the jurisdiction of source consents unless a Regional Plan provides a

itigation works" terminology OR (b) Change the works" in accordance with the submission made on ion works."

Water Inundation Overlay non-complying or fully

Conoral District Wid- Matter	CE 011	Amond	There is no consideration for minimum floor levels for based	If restricted discretion
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R11	Amend	There is no consideration for minimum floor levels for hazard sensitive activities in the Sea Water Inundation Overlay. If restricted discretionary activity status is retained, RDIS standards should be included to require compliance with minimum floor levels, and access, with default to a non- complying activity status if not complied with.	If restricted discretionary status for subdiv amend as follows: Activity status: Restricted Discretionary - Where:
				RDIS-1 A Flood Hazard Assessment Certificate for S1; and RDIS-2 Proposed building platforms and access to are not subject to high hazard flooding as s issued under RDIS-1. Activity status when compliance with RDIS
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R12: Natural hazard mitigation works, including earthworks - New Coastal High Natural Character Area Overlay, Coastal Erosion Overlay, Sea Water Inundation Overlay	Delete	It is recommended that all natural hazard mitigation works are addressed under a single rule, CE-R9, which would result in this rule becoming redundant	Delete Rule CE-R12
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R12: Natural hazard mitigation works, including earthworks - New Coastal High Natural Character Area Overlay, Coastal Erosion Overlay, Sea Water Inundation Overlay	Amend	Depending on whether CE-R12 and CE-R9 are combined, the assessment matters for new hazard mitigation works should be the same as for CE-R9 for operation, mainetenance and upgrade of hazard mitigation works	If CE-R12 is retained, amend the restricted
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R12: Natural hazard mitigation works, including earthworks - New Coastal High Natural Character Area Overlay, Coastal Erosion Overlay, Sea Water Inundation Overlay	Amend	Delete CE-R12	If CE-R12 is retained, add an advisory note i.e. below mean high water springs and/or within the jurisdiction of the Regional Cou Regional Plan provides a permitted activity Either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitig definition of "natural hazard mitigation wo the definition of "natural hazard mitigatior
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-R14: Quarrying/Mining Activity (excluding for natural hazard mitigation works or reclamation within or adjacent the Port Zone) Coastal Environment Area Overlay, Coastal High Natural Character Area Overlay	Amend	To add clarity, regarding when these works require resource consent from the Regional Council rather than the District Council, an advisory note would be useful. Amending the reference to natural hazard mitigation works or amending the definition, in line with our submission on the definition of this term, will provide greater clarity about the activities this rule applies to.	Add an advisory note to the effect that wo water springs and/or work within the beds the Regional Council and will require resou permitted activity for them. Either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitig definition of "natural hazard mitigation wo the definition of "natural hazard mitigation
General District Wide Matters Coastal Environment	CE-S2	Amend	It is not clear how this standard relates to the requirement for all buildings to be not more than 150m2 under rule CE-R4. It is recommended that the lower limit apply. The definition of site coverage under the plan also includes impervious surfaces, which are not addressed by the rule.	Clarify the relationship of Standard CE-S2 Review the rule with reference to impervio coverage", and include appropriate standa

division is retained in the Flood Assessment Overlay,

for the subdivision is issued in accordance with NH-

to them (to be secured by way of a consent notice) as stated in a Flood Hazard Assessment Certificate

DiS 1 and RDIS 2 is not achieved: non-complying

ed discretionary criteria to be consistent with CE-R9.

ote to the effect that works in the coastal marine area /or work within the beds of lakes and rivers are Council and will require resource consents unless a vity for them.

itigation works" terminology OR (b) Change the works" in accordance with the submission made on ion works."

works in the coastal marine area i.e. below mean high eds of lakes and rivers are within the jurisdiction of source consents unless a Regional Plan provides a

itigation works" terminology OR (b) Change the works" in accordance with the submission made on ion works."

2 with Rule CE-R4 and apply the lower threshold. vious surfaces as per the definition of "site dards.

General District Wide Matters	Mapping - Coastal Erosion Overlay	Amend	The coastal erosion overlay is based on the Jacobs future	Move the Coastal Erosion Overlay landwa
Coastal Environment			shoreline modelling, but because Caroline Bay and South Beach	demand. Include a coastal erosion overlay
			are both accreting the erosion overlay doesn't exist (South	demand.
			Beach) or is well beyond the current shoreline (Caroline Bay).	Contachum, Danianal Council con activities
			Therefore, the potential storm erosion/short term erosion	Canterbury Regional Council can assist in d
			which is still a hazard on these beaches is not represented.	
General District Wide Matters	EW-P1: Benefits and necessity	Amend	Support the Policy particularly the recognition of natural hazard	Retain the recognition of the necessity of t
Earthworks			mitigation works.	
			Amounding the reference to not well because within the weeks of	Either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitig
			Amending the reference to natural hazard mitigation works or amending the definition, in line with our submission on the	definition of "natural hazard mitigation wo the definition of "natural hazard mitigatior
			definition of this term, will provide greater clarity about the	The definition of matural hazard mitigation
			activities this rule applies to.	
General District Wide Matters	EW-P4: Infrastructure	Support	CRC supports the protection of regionally significant	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Earthworks		support	infrastructure from adverse effects as this is consistent with the	
			CRPS.	
General District Wide Matters	EW-R1: Earthworks, excluding	Amend	Support that this Rule adds no additional requirements for	Retain intent of this Rule in relation to floo
Earthworks	earthworks: (specified in a-h)		flood, erosion and drainage works.	
				Either (a) Change the "natural hazard mitig
			Amending the reference to natural hazard mitigation works or	definition of "natural hazard mitigation wo
			amending the definition, in line with our submission on the	the definition of "natural hazard mitigation
			definition of this term, will provide greater clarity about the	
			activities this rule applies to.	
General District Wide Matters	DWP-O1: Protect drinking	Support	Consistent with the CRPS	Retain as notified or preserve original inte
Drinking Water Protection	water supplies			
General District Wide Matters	DWP-P1: Drinking Water Protection	Support	Consistent with the CRPS	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Drinking Water Protection	Area Overlay	Support	Consistant with the CRDS	Patain as patified or presence original inte
General District Wide Matters Drinking Water Protection	DWP-P2: Protect drinking water supplies	Support	Consistent with the CRPS	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
General District Wide Matters	DWP-R1: Camping grounds	Support	Rules aimed at protecting the safety of drinking water are	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Drinking Water Protection		Support	consistent with the CRPS	inclair as notified of preserve original inter
General District Wide Matters	DWP-R2: Subdivision not connected to	Support	Rules aimed at protecting the safety of drinking water are	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Drinking Water Protection	a community sewage system		consistent with the CRPS	······································
General District Wide Matters	DWP-R3: Mining or quarrying, including	Amend	Mining can include gravel extraction. Clarification is needed to	Add an advisory note to the effect that wo
Drinking Water Protection	prospecting and exploration		make it clear that gravel extraction within the beds of lakes and	jurisdiction of the Regional Council and wil
	DWPA - for Community Drinking Water		rivers is under the jurisdiction of the Regional Council.	provides a permitted activity for them.
	Supply			
	DWPA - within 100m from a private			
	drinking water supply			
General District Wide Matters	Noise-R8	Amend	The Regional Coastal Environment Plan also includes noise	Consider amendments to ensure alignmen
Noise			provisions for the Port Activity Area. Canterbury Regional	Canterbury Regional Coastal Environment
			Council would like to get a better understanding of the	
			integration of the proposed rules with the provisions in the	
Area Specific Matters: General Rural Zone	Whole chapter	Amend	RCEP Rule 8.21. For many activities built form standards are only referenced in	Amend the activity rules to ensure that the
Area Specific Matters, General Rural 2010		Amenu	some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to	1 · · · ·
			all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an	regardless of activity status.
			important part of rural character and the permitted baseline.	
			important part of fural character and the permitted baseline.	
Area Specific Matters: General Rural Zone	GRUZ-O3: Protecting primary	Support	Consistent with protecting the productive capacity of rural land,	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
	production		including highly productive land.	
Area Specific Matters: General Rural Zone	GRUZ-P1: Primary production activities	Support	Contributes to fulfilling Policy 5.3.12 CRPS.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter

ard at Caroline Bay to include short term storm y at South Beach to include short term storm
,
determining appropriate positions.
al
these earthworks.
igation works" terminology OR (b) Change the orks" in accordance with the submission made on on works.".
ent.
od, erosion and drainage works.
igation works" terminology OR (b) Change the orks" in accordance with the submission made on on works.".
ent.
orks in the beds of lakes and rivers are within the
ill require resource consents unless a Regional Plan
nt, where possible, with Rule 8.21 of the
t Plan.
ne built form standards apply to all activities,
ent.
ent.

Area Specific Matters: General Rural Zone	GRUZ-R16: Quarries and quarrying	Amend	While we support the intention of TDC to clarify that gravel	Delete the second listed point in the title
	activities:		extraction in the beds of lakes and rivers requires Regional	"2. in the bed of a river, which is authorise
			Council resource consents. We believe that this may cause confusion because beds of lakes and rivers are not under	activity, or through a resource consent hav Council" and instead
			District Council jurisdiction.	add an advisory note to the effect that wo
			bistilet council jurisdiction.	jurisdiction of the Regional Council and wil
				provides a permitted activity for them.
Area Specific Matters: General Rural Zone	GRUS-SX	Amend	There is no limit to building coverage in the General Rural Zone.	Include a new standard for buildings in the
			This is an important component of rural character.	10% of the net site area, with appropriate
				found in other zones.
Area Specific Matters: Rural Lifestyle Zone	RLZ-O2: Character and qualities of the	Amend	While we support this Objective, we are concerned that clause	Reconsider having a clause in the Objective
	Rural Lifestyle Zone		(4) from the draft Plan Objective, which related to a coordinated	a co-ordinated pattern of development and
			pattern of development and an appropriate density level with	consistent with the NPS-HPL.
			reticulated network connections, has been removed	
Area Specific Matters: Rural Lifestyle Zone	Whole chapter	Amend	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in	Amend the activity rules to ensure that the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to	
			all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an	
			important part of rural character and the permitted baseline.	
	DIZ CO. Weter surely	Course and		
Area Specific Matters: Rural Lifestyle Zone	RLZ-S9: Water supply Rural Lifestyle Zone	Support	We support the need for a safe water supply and sufficent water for fire fighting.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Area Specific Matters: Settlement Zone	Whole chapter	Amend	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in	Amend the activity rules to ensure that the
		/ unond	some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to	
			all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an	
			important part of character for the zones and the permitted	
			baseline.	
Area Specific Matters: Settlement Zone	SETZ-O3: Servicing in the Settlement	Support	We particularly support clause (1) which relates to the provision	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
	Zone		of servicing in such a way that access to safe drinking water	
			supplies is maintained.	
Area Specific Matters: Settlement Zone	SETZ-S5: Water supply	Support	Consistent with the CRPS in terms of maintaining safe reticulated water supplies.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Area Specific Matters: Settlement Zone	SETZ: S6: Sewage treatment and	Amend	Support the requirement to connect to a reticulated sewerage	
	disposal		system. Clause 1 ensures that connecting to a reticulated	
	-		sewerage system is the preference, with onsite disposal	
			occurring only where there is not an available reticulated	
			network. However the wording makes it unclear as to if a	
			certificate of compliance is required if the activity is permitted	
			under the Regional Plan.	
			0	
	M/h = l = = h = = h = =	Among		Replace "approved" with "permitted"
Area Specific Matters: All residential zones	Whole chapter	Amend	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in	
Area Specific Matters: All residential zones	Whole chapter	Amend	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to	
Area Specific Matters: All residential zones	Whole chapter	Amend	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an	
Area Specific Matters: All residential zones	Whole chapter	Amend	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to	
Area Specific Matters: All residential zones Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones	Whole chapter LFRZ-O2: Character and qualities of the		For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an important part of settlement character and the permitted	
Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones			For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an important part of settlement character and the permitted baseline. Particularly support clause (5) in relation to management of biodiversity and cultural values within and adjacent to	
	LFRZ-O2: Character and qualities of the		For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an important part of settlement character and the permitted baseline. Particularly support clause (5) in relation to management of	
Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones Large Format Retail Zone	LFRZ-O2: Character and qualities of the Large Format Retail Zone	Support	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an important part of settlement character and the permitted baseline. Particularly support clause (5) in relation to management of biodiversity and cultural values within and adjacent to Taitarakihi Creek (as well as its flood-carrying capacity)	
Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones Large Format Retail Zone Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones	LFRZ-O2: Character and qualities of the Large Format Retail Zone LFRZ-P3:		For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an important part of settlement character and the permitted baseline. Particularly support clause (5) in relation to management of biodiversity and cultural values within and adjacent to Taitarakihi Creek (as well as its flood-carrying capacity) Recognises values of Taitarakihi Creek including maintaining its	
Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones Large Format Retail Zone Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones	LFRZ-O2: Character and qualities of the Large Format Retail Zone	Support	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an important part of settlement character and the permitted baseline. Particularly support clause (5) in relation to management of biodiversity and cultural values within and adjacent to Taitarakihi Creek (as well as its flood-carrying capacity)	
Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones Large Format Retail Zone Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones Large Format Retail Zone	LFRZ-O2: Character and qualities of the Large Format Retail Zone LFRZ-P3: Effects on values of Taitarakihi Creek	Support Support	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an important part of settlement character and the permitted baseline. Particularly support clause (5) in relation to management of biodiversity and cultural values within and adjacent to Taitarakihi Creek (as well as its flood-carrying capacity) Recognises values of Taitarakihi Creek including maintaining its amenity, biodiversity, cultural values and maintaining the capacity of Taitarakihi Creek as a floodway.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones Large Format Retail Zone Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones Large Format Retail Zone Area Specific Matters: Natural Open Space	LFRZ-O2: Character and qualities of the Large Format Retail Zone LFRZ-P3: Effects on values of Taitarakihi Creek NOSZ-O2: Character and qualities of the	Support Support	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an important part of settlement character and the permitted baseline. Particularly support clause (5) in relation to management of biodiversity and cultural values within and adjacent to Taitarakihi Creek (as well as its flood-carrying capacity) Recognises values of Taitarakihi Creek including maintaining its amenity, biodiversity, cultural values and maintaining the capacity of Taitarakihi Creek as a floodway. This objective is consistent with protecting or managing values	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Area Specific Matters: Mixed Use Zones	LFRZ-O2: Character and qualities of the Large Format Retail Zone LFRZ-P3: Effects on values of Taitarakihi Creek	Support Support	For many activities built form standards are only referenced in some rules. It is important to ensure that the standards apply to all activities regardless of consent status, as these form an important part of settlement character and the permitted baseline. Particularly support clause (5) in relation to management of biodiversity and cultural values within and adjacent to Taitarakihi Creek (as well as its flood-carrying capacity) Recognises values of Taitarakihi Creek including maintaining its amenity, biodiversity, cultural values and maintaining the capacity of Taitarakihi Creek as a floodway.	

ised under the Regional Plan either as a permitted having been obtained from the Canterbury Regional

works in the beds of lakes and rivers are within the will require resource consents unless a Regional Plan

the General Rural zone limiting building coverage to te restricted discretionary assessment matters as

tive concerning reticulated network connections and and ensure the approach to Rural Lifestyle Zoning is

the built form standards apply to all activities,

tent.

the built form standards apply to all activities,

tent.

tent.

tent.

tent.

tent.

Area Specific Matters: Open Space	PREC4-P1: The character and qualities of the Holiday Hut Precinct	Support		Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Area Specific Matters: Open Space	PREC4-P2: Buildings and structures in the Holiday Hut Precinct	Amend	We support the avoidance of buildings within the high hazard areas where there is a risk of loss of life or significant damage to structures or property. This is consistent with the natural hazards provisions of the CRPS.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Area Specific Matters: Open Space	OSZ-R10: Buildings and structures 2. Holiday hut precinct	Support	The proposed rule gives effect to the CRPS by providing for development in hazard prone areas where mitigation can be undertaken but setting a higher bar for development in high hazard areas.	Retain as notified or preserve original inter
Future development areas	Whole chapter	Amend	A number of the objectives and policies are relevant at a strategic level, and should be incorporated in the Strategic Directions chapter, and/or the Urban Form and Development chapter. Those two chapters are extremely important when considering applications for private plan changes. In addition, more detail is required to ensure that the National Policy Statement on Urban Development is given effect, and meaning in the local context.	Reconsider the objectives and policies and policies to the Strategic Directions chapter and ensure the provisions give effect to the context.
Future development areas	Mapping	Amend	A significant amount of land has been identified in the Planning Maps for Future Urban Development, along with sequencing. This is likely to lead to pressure to develop land ahead of time. It is recommended that only land that is identified as necessary in the short to medium term, as defined in the NPS-UD, is mapped and identified, with those other areas in the long term identified only in the relevant Future Development Strategy.	Amend the planning maps to only identify required in the short to medium term as de
Appendices	APP5 – Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas	Support	Reflects criteria in the CRPS	Retain as notified.
Schedules	Schedule 6: Schedule of Sites and Areas of Significance to Kāti Huirapa	Support	Environment Canterbury supports the recognition and protection of sites of significance to mana whenua. This is consistent with objectives and policies in CRPS chapter 13 and in particular Policy 13.3.2	
Schedules	Schedule 7: Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	Support	Environment Canterbury acknowledges the effort that TDC has made in identifying SNAs and congratulates them for doing this. Inclusion of this Schedule is consistent with supporting CRPS Objectives 9.2.1 & 9.2.3 and Policy 9.3.1. However, not all SNAs that fit the criteria in Appendix 5 have been identified. There should be some recognition that the listed sites will be added to over time.	Retain and add a sentence to make it clear the critertia in APP5, it should be treated a identified.
Schedules	Schedule 8: Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	Support	Inclusion of this Schedule is consistent with CRPS Objective 12.2.1 and Policy 12.3.1	Retain
Schedules	Schedule 9: Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	Support	Inclusion of this Schedule is consistent with CRPS Objective 12.2.1 and Policy 12.3.1	Retain and ensure all outstanding natural f significance listed in the geopreservation ir
Schedules	Schedule 13: Fish Spawning Areas	Support	Inclusion of Fish Spawning Areas is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.1 & 9.2.3 and Policy 9.3.1.	Retain and add any other spawning areas io

~	-	٠	
ρ	n	т	

tent.

tent.

nd consider movement of relevant objectives and ter and/or Urban Form and Development Chapter, the NPS-UD and meaning is provided in the local

ify land as a future development area where is it s defined in the NPS-UD.

ear that this is not a definitive list. If an area meets d as a SNA. More sites will be added as they are

al features of international, national and regional n inventory are included in the schedule

as identified through the submission process

Jane Marine

From:	Deidre Francis <deidre.francis@ecan.govt.nz></deidre.francis@ecan.govt.nz>
Sent:	Thursday, 15 December 2022 4:55 pm
To:	Megan Geng
Subject:	Submission to TDC Plan
Attachments:	Submission to TDC proposed District Plan December 2022.xlsx; TDC Submission Cover
	letter_signed.docx

Hi Megan

The submission won't load in the database, so this is just to make sure you get it before 5pm. Will sort the database later.

Thanks so much

Ngā mihi

Deidre

Deidre Francis

Principal Planner Environment Canterbury Christchurch Office

+64 27 274 3948 Deidre.Francis@ecan.govt.nz



PO Box 345, Christchurch 8140 Customer Services: 0800 324 636 24 Hours: 0800 76 55 88 ecan.govt.nz

