

Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

Name of submitter:

WATERTON FARM Ltd. Chris Hampton.

[State full name]

This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan (the 'proposal'):

Submission on Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Mgmt Act 1991 (SASM)

[State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].

I ~~could~~/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

[*Select one.]

*I am/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

[*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.]

[†Select one.]

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]

Sites and Areas of Significance to Maori

My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

[If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]

We strongly support the Position of Federated Farmers of New Zealand and their Submission.

I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]

We are concerned that we don't know enough about this and so are also concerned how this will effect our farming at present and in the future.

It appears this is mainly historical dog gathering + walking paths. This area is our main access to the Sants west of our property. We graze stock + lambs ewes in this area so opening access would majorly effect the animals.

I wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of my submission.

[*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.]

[†Select one.]

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

[*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]

Federated Farmers will speak on our behalf.

Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]

Date 15-12-2022

Electronic address for service of submitter: cahampton@xtra.co.nz

Telephone: 0272025679

Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act):

50 Kerr Road, PO Box 23 CAVE

Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable] CHRIS HAMPTON

Note to person making submission

- 1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
- It is frivolous or vexatious:
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- It contains offensive language:
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.