

## Appendix 2 - Recommended Responses to Submissions and Further Submissions

**Table 1 – General Points for Hearing F**

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.4	General	General	General	Note across the whole plan, that references to "height" of buildings or structures do not make reference to where height is measured from (for example Open Space Zones and Rural Lifestyle Zone). Ensure that height for buildings and structures is measured from "ground level", which is a national planning standard term, with consistent expression of height rules across the plan.	Review all references to the height of buildings across the plan to ensure that height is measured from ground level, with consistent expression of height rules.	Reject
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.1	General	General	General	Notes that a large number of rules in the plan use variable terminology to define floor areas of buildings, often with the term undefined, so that it is not clear what is being measured. It is necessary to review all references to size of buildings and consider whether a clear definition is required linking development to either the "building footprint" or "gross floor area", which are defined National Planning Standard terms, and then create exclusions from those terms within the rules if necessary.	Review the entire plan so all references to the size of buildings, link to either building footprint or gross floor area which are defined terms in the National Planning Standards.	Reject
Helicopters South Canterbury 2015 Ltd	53.1	General	General	General	Supports the submission of NZAAA.	No specific relief sought.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per NZAAA submission
David and Judith Moore	100.2	General	General	General	Supports federated Farmers submission.	Relief sought as seen in Federated Farmers submission.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per Federated Farmers submission
Peel Forest Estate	105.1	General	General	General	Support Federated Farmers New Zealand and their submission	As relief sought in Federated Farmers submission.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per Federated Farmers submission
Kerry & James McArthur	113.1	General	General	General	Support Federated Farmer submission.	Consider the Federated Farmer recommendations.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per Federated Farmers submission
Zolve Environmental	164.1	General	General	General	Support Port Blakely Forestry submission in its entirety.	Relief sought as per Port Blakely Forestry submission.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per

							Port Blakely submission
Southern Wide Helicopters	213.1	General	General	General	Supports the submission made by the New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association.	Grant the relief sought in the submission made by the New Zealand Aviation Association.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per NZAAA submission

Table 2 – Light

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Rangitata Diversion Race Management	234.1	Planning Maps	All overlays		The Submitter opposes all overlays that cover the bed of the Rangitata River near the Klondyke intake. The submitter questions whether it is lawful for District Plan to manage the Rivers under s31 of the RMA, if it is lawful, the submitter is concerned that the overlays and rules attaching to them are not clear or appropriate.  [see original submission for full reason]	1. Remove all district Plan layers on the Rangitata River from the District Planning maps and; or  Make it clear within the Timaru District Plan provisions and mapping that any overlays are for information only and/or have no rules attaching to them.	Accept in part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.5	Definitions	Definitions	Glare	Supports the definition of Glare.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	44.1	Definitions	Definitions	Light Sensitive Area	Concerned that Wai taoka sites are defined as Light sensitive areas. Under the Lights chapter, Dairy sheds have outdoor artificial lighting operating prior to 7am so within a Wai taoka site would be a non-complying activity requiring resource consent.  The summary of threats and management needs for significant sites and areas listed within the AEC report on Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori listed disturbance of birds by night lighting within Wāhi tapu and Wāhi taoka sites, not Wai taoka sites. The preferred management approach is to restrict external lighting near habitat areas.  In the Section 32 report for the lighting provisions under the Approach to Evaluation (Page 20) notes that the degree of impact on/interest from Māori is of low significance. This seems at odds with the non-complying activity status.	Amend definition of <b>Light Sensitive areas</b> as follows:  <i>Includes land in the following areas outside of the Port Zone:</i> a. Wāhi tapu <u>and</u> Wāhi taoka <del>and Wai taoka</del> Overlays b. Significant Natural Areas Overlay c. Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay [...]	Accept in part
Dairy Holdings Limited	89.4	Definitions	Definitions	Light Sensitive Area	Considers it unnecessary to restrict lighting in areas where there are unlikely to be sensitive receivers. Lights are essential in rural areas for health and safety and should not be unnecessarily restricted where there is no or minimal benefit to others.	Amend the definition of <b>light sensitive areas</b> as follows:  <i>Includes land in the following areas outside of the Port Zone:</i> a. <del>Wāhi tapu, Wāhi taoka and Wai taoka</del> Overlays b. Significant Natural Areas Overlay c. Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay d. Visual Amenity Landscape Overlay e. the Rural Lifestyle Zone; and f. the Natural Open Space Zone.	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.15	Definitions	Definitions	Light Sensitive Area	Considers it is inappropriate that the Rural Lifestyle Zone is protected by this definition. As a rural zone, it should not be protected from typical rural effects, including light.	Amend the definition of <b>Light Sensitive Area</b> as follows:  <i>Includes land in the following areas outside of the Port Zone:</i> a. Wāhi tapu, Wāhi taoka and Wai taoka Overlays b. Significant Natural Areas Overlay c. Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay d. Visual Amenity Landscape Overlay; <u>and</u> e. <del>the Rural Lifestyle Zone;</del> <u>and</u> <u>ef.</u> the Natural Open Space Zone.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson,	166.8	Definitions	Definitions	Light Sensitive Area	Considers the definition should also include the Bat	Amend the definition of <b>Light Sensitive Area</b> as follows: -	Accept in

Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei					Protection Area overlay as lighting can negatively affect Long-Tailed bat activity and behaviour.  [see original submission for full reason]	Includes land in the following areas outside of the Port Zone: a. Wāhi tapu, Wāhi taoka and Wai taoka Overlays b. Significant Natural Areas Overlay c. Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay d. Visual Amenity Landscape Overlay e. the Rural Lifestyle Zone; f. <b>Bat Protection Area Overlay</b> ; and fg. the Natural Open Space Zone.	part
Fenlea Farms Limited	171.4	Definitions	Definitions	Light Sensitive Area	Opposes the definition of Light Sensitive Areas by overlays rather than on ecological assessment. The SASM Overlays are expansive and may cover areas where the control of light is unnecessary.  [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Amend the approach to <b>Light Sensitive Areas</b> , to one based on ecological evidence and limited accordingly and develop standards to that determine what a LSA is.  OR any alternative relief that would address concerns.	Accept in part
Silver Fern Farms	172.7	Definitions	Definitions	Light Sensitive Area	The definition simply lists land affected by the Light Sensitive Area Overlay but does not explain the meaning for the term. Amendments are sought to specify exactly which land cannot be defined as an “Light Sensitive Areas”,  OR refer in the definition to the “mapped” Light Sensitive Areas.	Amend the definition of <b>Light Sensitive Areas</b> to ensure the meaning of the term is expressed clearly and if specified in the definition, the spatial coverage of the overlay is represented more precisely.	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.16	Definitions	Definitions	Light Sensitive Area	Considers the definitions of ‘Light Sensitive Areas’ is clear, concise and easy to understand. But considers it appropriate to include farms as excluded from Light sensitivity for purpose of primary production. Submitter also concerned there is light sensitivity for the SNA areas. Farms need light to operate safely and conduct their business (e.g., harvesting). Opposes the inclusion of SNA in this definition.  [Refer to original submission for full details].	1. Amend the definition of <b>Light Sensitive Area</b> as follows: <i>Includes land in the following areas outside of the Port Zone <u>unless the land is needed for primary production, including but not limited to dairy sheds, pest control, rural aviation needs, security, harvesting of crops, primary production needs where seen fit, whist taking into consider the overlays:</u></i> a. Wāhi tapu, Wāhi taoka and Wai taoka Overlays b. Significant Natural Areas Overlay c. Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay d. Visual Amenity Landscape Overlay e. the Rural Lifestyle Zone; and f. the Natural Open Space Zone.  AND 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Reject
Horticulture New Zealand	245.12	Definitions	Definitions	Light Sensitive Area	Considers that the Rural Lifestyle Zone should not be classed as a light sensitive area as primary production activities can generate light as part of activities such as harvesting.	Amend the definition of <b>Light sensitive area</b> as follows:  <i>Includes land in the following areas outside of the Port Zone:</i> a. Wāhi tapu, Wāhi taoka and Wai taoka Overlays b. Significant Natural Areas Overlay c. Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay d. Visual Amenity Landscape Overlay <b>and</b> e. <b>the Rural Lifestyle Zone; and</b> f. <b>e.</b> the Natural Open Space Zone.	Accept in part
Fenlea Farms Limited	171.5	Definitions	Definitions	Outdoor Lighting	Opposes the definition of outdoor lighting as it is broad and is unclear whether this applies to fixed or unfixed lighting.	1. Amend the Definition of <b>Outdoor Lighting</b> to clarify whether the lighting identified is fixed and/or unfixed.  2. Any alternative relief that would address concerns.	Accept
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.9	Definitions	Definitions	Outdoor lighting	Oppose the definition including the reference to interior lighting that emits directly into the outdoor environment. The definition should be refined to exclude artificial light from vehicles.	Amend definition of <b>Outdoor Lighting</b> as follows : <i>means any exterior <del>or interior</del> lighting (excluding light emitted from vehicles) that emits directly into the outdoor environment.</i>	Accept in part
GJH Rooney	191.9	Definitions	Definitions	Outdoor lighting	Oppose the definition including the reference to interior	Amend definition of <b>Outdoor Lighting</b> as follows :	Accept in part

					lighting that emits directly into the outdoor environment. The definition should be refined to exclude artificial light from vehicles.	<i>means any exterior <del>or interior</del> lighting (excluding light emitted from vehicles) that emits directly into the outdoor environment.</i>	
Rooney Group Limited	249.9	Definitions	Definitions	Outdoor lighting	Oppose the definition including the reference to interior lighting that emits directly into the outdoor environment. The definition should be refined to exclude artificial light from vehicles.	Amend definition of <b>Outdoor Lighting</b> as follows :  <i>means any exterior <del>or interior</del> lighting (excluding light emitted from vehicles) that emits directly into the outdoor environment.</i>	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.9	Definitions	Definitions	Outdoor lighting	Oppose the definition including the reference to interior lighting that emits directly into the outdoor environment. The definition should be refined to exclude artificial light from vehicles.	Amend definition of <b>Outdoor Lighting</b> as follows :  <i>means any exterior <del>or interior</del> lighting (excluding light emitted from vehicles) that emits directly into the outdoor environment.</i>	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.9	Definitions	Definitions	Outdoor lighting	Oppose the definition including the reference to interior lighting that emits directly into the outdoor environment. The definition should be refined to exclude artificial light from vehicles.	Amend definition of <b>Outdoor Lighting</b> as follows:  <i>means any exterior <del>or interior</del> lighting (excluding light emitted from vehicles) that emits directly into the outdoor environment.</i>	Accept in part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.9	Definitions	Definitions	Outdoor lighting	Oppose the definition including the reference to interior lighting that emits directly into the outdoor environment. The definition should be refined to exclude artificial light from vehicles.	Amend definition of <b>Outdoor Lighting</b> as follows :  <i>means any exterior <del>or interior</del> lighting (excluding light emitted from vehicles) that emits directly into the outdoor environment.</i>	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.172	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Submits that the impact of artificial light on native fauna should be considered in the plan.	Amend <b>LIGHT - Light Chapter</b> to ensure light on indigenous fauna is considered particularly in relation to the identified Bat Protection Area Overlay.	Accept in part
Fenlea Farms Limited	171.2	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Opposes, the Light Sensitive Overlay including any objectives, policies, rules, standards and schedules in respect of the overlay that relates to the submitter's property at 158 Prattley Road, Timaru and 94 Milford-Clandeboye Road: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the extent of the overlay was based on overlays, rather than ecological assessment.</li> <li>the provisions does not account for health and safety associated with ancillary activities to permanent activities and is at odds with LIGHT-P1 and LIGHT-P3.</li> </ul> [Refer to original submission for full reason].	1. Amend the approach to <b>Light Sensitive Areas</b> , to one based on ecological evidence, limited accordingly, and develop standards to that determine what a LSA is.	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.68	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Opposes the Light provisions as proposed. Considers the provisions are too extensive and restrictive and will make compliance as a permitted activity difficult. Proposed Plan Change 22 to the Mackenzie District Plan provides a more pragmatic framework.	Delete the proposed <b>LIGHT- Light</b> provisions and replace these provisions with those contained in Proposed Plan Change 22 of the Mackenzie District Plan.	Reject
Alastair Joseph Rooney	177.6	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Opposes Light Sensitive Areas being delineated by overlays, rather than on ecological assessment. The Wāhi tapu, Wāhi taoka and Wai taoka Overlays are expansive, particularly Wāhi taoka SASM-4B, and encompasses areas where the control of light is unnecessary to character and qualities of the surrounding area. Outdoor lighting is a broad definition which is unclear whether this applies to fixed or unfixed lighting.	Amend the PDP (including the LIGHT Chapter, the Planning Maps and definitions) as follows, in order of preference: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Light sensitive areas to be determined based on ecological evidence, and limited accordingly.</li> <li>Standards be developed to determine what constitutes a light sensitive area.</li> <li>Clarification of the definition of Outdoor Lighting to identify whether such lighting is fixed and/or unfixed.</li> <li>Any alternative relief that would address the submitter's concerns.</li> </ol>	Accept in part

Peter Bonifacio	36.12	LIGHT - Light	General	General	There is no justification for why outdoor artificial lighting that operates outside of the hours stated should constitute a resource consent process. Milking occurs prior to 7 am every day and requires outdoor artificial lighting for the safety of the staff and the cows. This requirement is onerous and unjustified and excessive in relation to any potential adverse effects the light may cause. The implementation of this rule contradicts the LIGHT-P1 Policy for the provision of lighting that 'provides for the safe and efficient use of the outdoors'.	Reconsider the time restriction on outdoor artificial lighting.	Accept in part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.109	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Seeks further consideration of the terminology used within the Light Chapter. 'Obtrusive light' addresses more types of lighting beyond just spill light, e.g. glare, upward light, luminous intensity and luminance of surface areas. The term obtrusive light aligns with AS/NZS4282; 2019	Further consideration of including 'obtrusive light' terminology from the AS/NZS4282;2019.	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.68	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Opposes the Light provisions as proposed. Considers the provisions are too extensive and restrictive and will make compliance as a permitted activity difficult. Proposed Plan Change 22 to the Mackenzie District Plan provides a more pragmatic framework.	Delete the proposed <b>LIGHT- Light</b> provisions and replace these provisions with those contained in Proposed Plan Change 22 of the Mackenzie District Plan.	Reject
Horticulture New Zealand	245.86	LIGHT - Light	General	General	The submitter believes the PDP approach to managing light will not enable a safe working environment in rural areas.  [refer to original submission for full reasons]	None specified.  [the submitter has made other related submission points on this matter]	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.68	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Opposes the Light provisions as proposed. Considers the provisions are too extensive and restrictive and will make compliance as a permitted activity difficult. Proposed Plan Change 22 to the Mackenzie District Plan provides a more pragmatic framework.	Delete the proposed <b>LIGHT- Light</b> provisions and replace these provisions with those contained in Proposed Plan Change 22 of the Mackenzie District Plan.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.68	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Opposes the Light provisions as proposed. Considers the provisions are too extensive and restrictive and will make compliance as a permitted activity difficult. Proposed Plan Change 22 to the Mackenzie District Plan provides a more pragmatic framework.	Delete the proposed <b>LIGHT- Light</b> provisions and replace these provisions with those contained in Proposed Plan Change 22 of the Mackenzie District Plan.	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.68	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Opposes the Light provisions as proposed. Considers the provisions are too extensive and restrictive and will make compliance as a permitted activity difficult. Proposed Plan Change 22 to the Mackenzie District Plan provides a more pragmatic framework.	Delete the proposed <b>LIGHT- Light</b> provisions and replace these provisions with those contained in Proposed Plan Change 22 of the Mackenzie District Plan.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.68	LIGHT - Light	General	General	Opposes the Light provisions as proposed. Considers the provisions are too extensive and restrictive and will make compliance as a permitted activity difficult. Proposed Plan Change 22 to the Mackenzie District Plan provides a more pragmatic framework.	Delete the proposed <b>LIGHT- Light</b> provisions and replace these provisions with those contained in Proposed Plan Change 22 of the Mackenzie District Plan.	Reject
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.35	LIGHT - Light	Introduction	Artificial outdoor lighting	Considers the final paragraph of the introduction accurately reflects the role of the Light Management Plan in managing lighting within the Port Zone and recognises the importance	Retain the <b>Introduction</b> as notified.	Accept in part

					of lighting for health and safety purposes for 24-hour operation of the Port.		
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.119	LIGHT - Light	Introduction	General	The submitter supports the intention to control outdoor lighting which could potentially disturb wildlife.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
PrimePort Limited	175.59	LIGHT - Light	Introduction	General	Considers the final paragraph of the introduction accurately reflects the role of the Light Management Plan in managing lighting within the Port Zone, and recognises the importance of lighting for health and safety purposes for 24 hour operation of the Port.	Retain <b>Introduction</b> as notified.	Accept in part
Synlait Milk Limited	163.5	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	General	Considers LIGHT-O1 and O2 confusing. Seeks to clarify objectives so that one is clearly dealing with the benefits of providing lighting which includes the health and safety of people within a site, while the other specifically deals with the design and management of lighting and its external effects on the character and qualities of the environment, road safety and the wellbeing of people external to the site.  [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend <b>LIGHT-O1 Artificial outdoor lighting</b> to read as follows:  <i>Artificial outdoor lighting is designed and located to minimise its adverse effects, <del>is compatible with on</del> the character and qualities of the surrounding <u>environment, area and protects the values and characteristics of</u> light sensitive areas, <u>and the health and safety of people external to the site, including road safety.</u></i>  AND  Amend <b>LIGHT-O2 Benefits of artificial lighting</b> as follows:  <i><u>The Artificial outdoor lighting b</u>enefits <u>and enables a range of outdoor night-time activities, of artificial lighting are recognised while any adverse effects generated do not compromise including</u> the health and safety of people <u>involved in those activities, and communities, including road safety.</u></i>  OR wording to similar effect.	Accept in part
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.50	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	General	Support these objectives as they provides for the protection of Kāti Huirapa's values.	Retain the <b>LIGHT-Light</b> Chapter Objectives as notified.	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.97	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	LIGHT-O1 Artificial outdoor lighting	It is appropriate that lighting is provided that responds to the different zone amenities	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.120	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	LIGHT-O1 Artificial outdoor lighting	The submitter supports the inclusion of the policies which seek to provide lighting that protects the identified values and qualities of light sensitive areas and to avoid adverse effects on existing light sensitive areas.  As noted in an earlier submission (submission point 166.6 - the definition of Light Sensitive Area), it is considered that the Bat Protection Overlay should be included in the definition of light sensitive areas so that controls are included for artificial outdoor lighting within areas of bat habitat.	As outlined in submission on the definition of Light Sensitive Areas to Include the Long Tail Bat Protection Area Overlay;  AND  Any consequential amendments to <b>LIGHT-O1</b> .	Accept in part
Ministry of Education	106.13	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	LIGHT-O1 Artificial outdoor lighting	Supports the inclusion of an enabling objective relating to outdoor lighting. Educational facilities often require outdoor lighting for wayfinding, sporting facilities, and general CPTED purposes.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part



Horticulture New Zealand	245.87	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	LIGHT-O1 Artificial outdoor lighting	Supports an outcome that recognises the benefits of artificial lighting which can be a necessary component of primary production.  (Note related submission that seeks that Rural Lifestyle area is not included in the definition of light sensitive area).	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.98	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	LIGHT-O2 Benefits of artificial lighting	It is appropriate that the benefits of lighting are recognised.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Silver Fern Farms	172.100	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	LIGHT-O2 Benefits of artificial lighting	Supports recognising the benefits of artificial lighting.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.101	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	LIGHT-O2 Benefits of artificial lighting	Considers it is appropriate to recognise the benefits of artificial lighting.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.110	LIGHT - Light	Objectives	LIGHT-O2 Benefits of artificial lighting	Generally supports the objective, which recognises the benefits of artificial lighting, but an amendment is sought to replace road safety with 'transport and public areas'	Amend <b>LIGHT-O2</b> as follows:  <b>LIGHT-O2 Benefits of artificial lighting</b>  <i>The benefits of artificial lighting are recognised while any adverse effects generated do not compromise the health and safety of people and communities, including <del>road safety</del>. <u>the transport network and public areas</u>.</i>	Reject  -
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.51	LIGHT - Light	Policies	General	Support these policies as it provides for the protection of Kāti Huirapa's values.	Retain the <b>LIGHT-Light</b> Chapter Policies as notified.	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.99	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting	It is appropriate to provide for artificial lighting that ensures safety and supports economic wellbeing.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.121	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting	The submitter supports the inclusion of the policies which seek to provide lighting that protects the identified values and qualities of light sensitive areas and to avoid adverse effects on existing light sensitive areas.  As noted in an earlier submission (submission point 166.6 - the definition of Light Sensitive Area), it is considered that the Bat Protection Overlay should be included in the definition of light sensitive areas so that controls are included for artificial outdoor lighting within areas of bat habitat.	As outlined in submission on the definition of Light Sensitive Areas to Include the Long Tail Bat Protection Area Overlay;  AND  Any consequential amendments to <b>LIGHT-P1</b> .	Accept in part
Fenlea Farms Limited	171.7	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting	Opposes LIGHT-P1 as it should exempt artificial lighting required for health and safety reasons, ancillary activities to permanent activities.	1. Amend <b>LIGHT-P1</b> to ensure that artificial outdoor lighting is not restricted when it is necessary for health and safety. 2. Any alternative relief that would address concerns.	Reject
Alastair Joseph Rooney	177.5	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting	Opposes LIGHT-P1 as it should exempt artificial lighting required for health and safety reasons, ancillary activities to permanent activities.	1. Amend <b>LIGHT-P1</b> to ensure that artificial outdoor lighting is not restricted when it is necessary for health and safety. 2. Any alternative relief that would address concerns.	Reject
Peter Bonifacio	36.11	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting	Supports LIGHT-P1, lighting is necessary for the safe and efficient use of the outdoors for staff and animals around the milking shed.	Recognise the importance of lighting for the operation of a functional dairy farm.	Accept in part



Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.111	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting	An amendment is sought to change the word 'road' to transport and public area, and to change 'sky glow and light spill' to obtrusive light because sky glow is a cumulative area resultant from a combination of natural sky glow and artificial sky glow from varied light sources. Obtrusive light addresses more types of lighting beyond just spill light, e.g. glare, upward light, luminous intensity and luminance of surface areas. The term obtrusive light aligns with AS/NZS4282; 2019.	Amend <b>LIGHT-P1</b> as follows: <b>LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting</b> <i>Provide for lighting appropriate to its environment that:</i> [...] 3. <i>supports the social, cultural and economic wellbeing and health and safety of people and communities, including <del>road-safety</del> <u>the transport network and public areas</u>; and</i> <b>4. Minimises obtrusive light, and.....</b> [...]	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.178	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting	Amend LIGHT-P1 to give primary production the ability to function when required to use lighting to aid in primary production purpose. These could include harvesting, dairy sheds to maintain a health and safety for both animals and workers.	1. Amend <b>LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting</b> as follows: <i>Provide for lighting appropriate to its environment that: [...]; and</i> <b><u>6. activities associated with primary production.</u></b> AND 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Accept in part
Horticulture New Zealand	245.88	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting	Considers the policy would be improved by noting the need for appropriate artificial outdoor lighting for primary production and security.	Amend <b>LIGHT-P1</b> as follows: <b>LIGHT-P1 Appropriate artificial outdoor lighting</b> <i>Provide for lighting appropriate to its environment that:</i> 1. <i>provides for the safe and efficient use of the outdoors for a range of activities, including for night-time working, <u>primary production, security recreation and entertainment activities</u>; and</i> [...]	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.122	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P2 Intensity, location and direction of artificial outdoor lighting	The Submitter supports the inclusion of the policies which seek to provide lighting that protects the identified values and qualities of light sensitive areas and to avoid adverse effects on existing light sensitive areas.  As noted in an earlier submission (submission point 166.6 - the definition of Light Sensitive Area), it is considered that the Bat Protection Overlay should be included in the definition of light sensitive areas so that controls are included for artificial outdoor lighting within areas of bat habitat.	As outlined in submission on the definition of Light Sensitive Areas to Include the Long Tail Bat Protection Area Overlay;  AND  Any consequential amendments to <b>LIGHT-P2</b> .	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.100	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P3 Health and safety	Fonterra supports the exemption of lighting to meet the health and safety needs of people.	Retain as notified.	Reject
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.112	LIGHT - Light	Policies	LIGHT-P3 Health and safety	Supports the policy, which seeks to avoid all artificial outdoor lighting that does not meet the intensity, type, and direction requirements for light sensitive areas unless it is critical for health and safety reasons.	Retain as notified	Reject

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.123	LIGHT - Light	Rules	General	The submitter has requested the Long-Tailed Bat Protection area be included as a 'Light Sensitive Area'. As such, the rules would require amendment to mitigate adverse effects of artificial outdoor lighting on Long Tailed Bats and their habitat.	Amend the provisions to take into account appropriate controls to avoid adverse effects on Long Tailed Bats and their habitat (with the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area overlay becoming listed as a 'Light Sensitive Area').	Accept part	in
Fenlea Farms Limited	171.9	LIGHT - Light	Rules	General	<p>Oppose Table 22 and 23 and Figure 18 together with any other rules and standards relating to lighting standards, illuminance levels, increment and acceptable/unacceptable lighting because the limitations in these tables and figures are not practical, in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Opposes Table 22 which limits illuminance levels to times between 7am-10pm and prescribes low lux levels. These times and lux levels cannot be achieved when undertaking ancillary activities to permanent activities such as farming, where emergency clearance of stock may be required past 10pm.</li> <li>• Opposes Table 23 as it limits acceptable levels of Increment.</li> <li>• Opposes Figure 18 as the examples of acceptable fixtures are limited, and must all be fully shielded, this is not practicable for farming operations, where flood lights or other exposed lighting might be required to safely operate the permanent activity.</li> </ul>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Removal of illuminance time restrictions in Table 22;</li> <li>2. Removal of illuminance time restrictions in Table 22 insofar as they relate to matters of health and safety (including ancillary activities to permanent activities that occur on site)</li> <li>3. Limits on acceptable illuminance levels, increment, and acceptable/unacceptable lighting be removed for matters of health and safety for an ancillary activity of a permanent activity that occurs on site.</li> <li>4. Any alternative relief that would address Fenlea Farm's concerns, and enable farming operations to continue with necessary lighting.</li> </ol>	Reject	
Fonterra Limited	165.101	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	<p>Considers a consequential change is needed to reflect the new LIGHT rule proposed to specifically address the SPZ-SRI.</p> <p>Considers that lighting exemptions comparable to the Port Zone provisions (LIGHT [1] R1.2) should also apply to the Fonterra Clandeboye site</p>	<p>Amend <b>LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>LIGHT-R1</b></p> <p><b><i>1.All zones other than Port Zone and the Strategic Rural Industry Zone outside Light Sensitive Areas</i></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Add a new rule as follows:</p> <p><b><i>LIGHT-R1. 3 Strategic Rural Industry Zone Activity status: Permitted</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Where:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>PER-1 All exterior lighting must be oriented so that light is emitted away from any adjoining and adjacent zone; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>PER-2 LIGHT S-2 is complied with; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>PER-3 The horizontal and vertical illuminance levels (above the background level) at the notional boundary of the General Rural Zone between 10pm - 7am do not exceed 5 lux; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>PER-4 The vertical illuminance level at a window of an adjoining property in the General Rural Zone between 10pm and 7am does not exceed 5 lux.</i></b></p>	Reject	

Silver Fern Farms	172.101	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Considers that this standard can be interpreted as requiring artificial light to be compliant with the Light Sensitive Areas illuminance levels at the source, regardless of whether the illumination actually affects the Light Sensitive Area.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas</b> to only require outdoor lighting to comply with the Light Sensitive Areas standards to the extent that the artificial light spills into a Light Sensitive Area.	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.69	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Opposes PER-3 of LIGHT-R1.1.Considers the performance standard is essentially extending the Light Sensitive Area. If a site is outside of the Light Sensitive Area, then only PER-1 and PER-2 should apply.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R1</b> as follows:  <b>LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas Activity status: Permitted</b>  <b>PER-1 [....] PER-3</b>  <i>If the outdoor artificial light is located adjoining a Light Sensitive Area, it must:</i>  <i>1.be fully shielded (see Figure 18—Lighting Fixtures); and</i>  <i>2.have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 3000K (warm white); and</i>  <i>3.be installed in a manner that precludes operation between 10pm and 7am the following day; and</i>  <i>4.meet the illumination levels set out in Table 22, when measured at boundary of the Light Sensitive Area.</i>	Accept
PrimePort Limited	175.60	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Supports the exclusion of the Port Zone from this rule, as Port lighting is more appropriately managed under LIGHT-R1.2.  Light R1.2 provides appropriate flexibility for night time Port operations whilst ensuring that exterior lighting does not unduly adversely affect adjoining residential zones.	Retain the exclusion of the Port Zone from <b>LIGHT-R1.1</b> and <b>LIGHT-R1.2</b> as notified.	Accept
Barkers Fruit Processors Limited	179.20	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Considers that for health and safety, and site security reasons, it is too restrictive for the site operations to meet the Light Sensitive Area standards as at the boundary of the Light Sensitive Area.	1. Amend <b>LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas</b> as follows:  <b>1. All zones other than Port Zone, and the General Industrial Zone at 72 Shaw Road, Geraldine (Lot 3 DP58403), outside Light Sensitive Areas.</b>  OR  2. Amend rules to exclude <b>72 Shaw Road, Geraldine (Lot 3 DP58403)</b> from compliance with the Light Sensitive Area requirements.	Accept in part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.113	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Seeks further clarification on the criteria of PER-2, as the submitter considers that PER-3 will sufficiently specifies the requirements of lighting adjoining Light Sensitive Areas. The use of 'is visible' from a Light Sensitive Area could require assessment from an extended distance.	Further clarification on the different requirements of <b>PER-2</b> and <b>PER-3</b> .	Accept in part
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.36	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Supports the exclusion of the Port Zone from this rule, as Port lighting is more appropriately managed under LIGHT-R1.2. And considers the rule provides appropriate flexibility for night time Port operations whilst ensuring that exterior lighting does not unduly adversely affect adjoining residential zone	Retain the exclusion of the Port Zone from <b>LIGHT-R1.1</b> as notified.	Accept
GJH Rooney	191.69	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting	Opposes PER-3 of LIGHT-R1.1.Considers the performance standard is essentially extending the Light Sensitive Area. If	Amend <b>LIGHT-R1</b> as follows:	Accept

				outside light sensitive areas	a site is outside of the Light Sensitive Area, then only PER-1 and PER-2 should apply.	<p><b>LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas Activity status:</b> <b>Permitted</b></p> <p><del>PER-1 [...]</del> <b>PER-3</b></p> <p><i>If the outdoor artificial light is located adjoining a Light Sensitive Area, it must:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>1. be fully shielded (see Figure 18 – Lighting Fixtures); and</del></li> <li><del>2. have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 3000K (warm white); and</del></li> <li><del>3. be installed in a manner that precludes operation between 10pm and 7am the following day; and</del></li> <li><del>4. meet the illumination levels set out in Table 22, when measured at boundary of the Light Sensitive Area.</del></li> </ol>	
Rooney Group Limited	249.69	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Opposes PER-3 of LIGHT-R1.1.Considers the performance standard is essentially extending the Light Sensitive Area. If a site is outside of the Light Sensitive Area, then only PER-1 and PER-2 should apply.	<p>Amend <b>LIGHT-R1</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas Activity status:</b> <b>Permitted</b></p> <p><b>PER-1</b> [...]</p> <p><del>PER-3</del></p> <p><i>If the outdoor artificial light is located adjoining a Light Sensitive Area, it must:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>1. be fully shielded (see Figure 18 – Lighting Fixtures); and</del></li> <li><del>2. have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 3000K (warm white); and</del></li> <li><del>3. be installed in a manner that precludes operation between 10pm and 7am the following day; and</del></li> <li><del>4. meet the illumination levels set out in Table 22, when measured at boundary of the Light Sensitive Area.</del></li> </ol>	Accept
Rooney Farms Limited	250.69	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Opposes PER-3 of LIGHT-R1.1.Considers the performance standard is essentially extending the Light Sensitive Area. If a site is outside of the Light Sensitive Area, then only PER-1 and PER-2 should apply.	<p>Amend <b>LIGHT-R1</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas Activity status:</b> <b>Permitted</b></p> <p><b>PER-1</b> [...]</p> <p><del>PER-3</del></p> <p><i>If the outdoor artificial light is located adjoining a Light Sensitive Area, it must:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>1. be fully shielded (see Figure 18 – Lighting Fixtures); and</del></li> <li><del>2. have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 3000K (warm white); and</del></li> </ol>	Accept

						<p><del>3.—be installed in a manner that precludes operation between 10pm and 7am the following day; and</del>  <del>meet the illumination levels set out in Table 22, when measured at boundary of the Light Sensitive Area.</del></p>	
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.69	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Opposes PER-3 of LIGHT-R1.1.Considers the performance standard is essentially extending the Light Sensitive Area. If a site is outside of the Light Sensitive Area, then only PER-1 and PER-2 should apply.	<p>Amend <b>LIGHT-R1</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas Activity status: Permitted</b></p> <p><del>PER-1 [...]</del> <b>PER-3</b></p> <p><del>If the outdoor artificial light is located adjoining a Light Sensitive Area, it must:</del></p> <p><del>1. be fully shielded (see Figure 18 – Lighting Fixtures); and</del>  <del>2. have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 3000K (warm white); and</del>  <del>3. be installed in a manner that precludes operation between 10pm and 7am the following day; and</del>  <del>4. meet the illumination levels set out in Table 22, when measured at boundary of the Light Sensitive Area.</del></p>	Accept
Timaru Developments Limited	252.69	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas	Opposes PER-3 of LIGHT-R1.1.Considers the performance standard is essentially extending the Light Sensitive Area. If a site is outside of the Light Sensitive Area, then only PER-1 and PER-2 should apply.	<p>Amend <b>LIGHT-R1</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>LIGHT-R1 Artificial outdoor lighting outside light sensitive areas Activity status: Permitted</b></p> <p><b>PER-1</b></p> <p>[...]</p> <p><del>PER-3</del></p> <p><del>If the outdoor artificial light is located adjoining a Light Sensitive Area, it must:</del></p> <p><del>4.—be fully shielded (see Figure 18 – Lighting Fixtures); and</del>  <del>5.—have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 3000K (warm white); and</del>  <del>6.—be installed in a manner that precludes operation between 10pm and 7am the following day; and</del>  <del>7.—meet the illumination levels set out in Table 22, when measured at boundary of the Light Sensitive Area.</del></p>	Accept
Fonterra Limited	165.102	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	The Port Zone is a 24-hour port operation and in such circumstances, lighting is an important health and safety feature. The rule should be directed at ensuring such lighting is directed away from residential properties rather than properties associated with Industrial or Port Activities within the Port Zone	<p>Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>Activity status: Permitted Where:</b></p> <p><b>PER-1</b></p> <p>All exterior lighting must be oriented so that light is emitted away from any adjoining and adjacent <del>zones</del> <del>properties</del>; and</p> <p>[...]</p>	Accept

Fenlea Farms Limited	171.8	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Opposes LIGHT-R2 PER-2 as it does not account for permanent activities or existing uses that require artificial lighting in the ordinary course of business to protect the health and safety of stock/plant/personnel and is at odds with LIGHT-P1.  Additionally, PER-3 is at odds with policy LIGHT -P3. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 - Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety</b> to:  1. Provide for outdoor artificial light for health and safety as a permitted activity for an ancillary activity to a permanent activity that occurs on site;  2. Amend PER-3 to clearly be not applicable to lighting required for health and safety (including for ancillary activities to permeant activities occurring at the site).  3. Any alternative relief that would address the submitters concerns and enable farming operations to continue with necessary lighting.	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.102	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	The entirety of the submitter's Smithfield site is within the Light Sensitive Areas Overlay corresponding to the Wāhi taoka Overlay.  The submitter undertakes activities that require lighting for health and safety purposes that are not temporary activities and therefore not a permitted activity (PER-2), so lighting on the site for health and safety purposes would require a consent as a non-complying activity. The submitter considers this is not appropriate for an industrial site with night-time activities.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R2</b> as follows:  <b>LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health Light Sensitive Areas</b>  <b>Activity status: Permitted Where:</b>  <b>PER-1</b>  <i>The lighting is for health and safety purposes; <del>and</del></i>  <b>PER-2</b>  <i>1. The lighting is for: a permitted temporary activity; or</i> <i>2. any other temporary activity that has a duration of no longer than six months;</i> <i>and</i>  <b>PER-3</b>  [...]	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.70	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Considers LIGHT-R2 should be extended to provide for permitted activities, not just a temporary activity. Temporary activities are limited as defined.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 PER-2</b> to provide for any temporary activity.	Accept in part
Alastair Joseph Rooney	177.7	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Opposes LIGHT-R2 PER-2 as it does not account for permanent activities or existing uses that require artificial lighting in the ordinary course of business to protect the health and safety of stock/plant/personnel and is at odds with LIGHT-P1.  Additionally, PER-3 is at odds with policy LIGHT-P3. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 - Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety</b> to:  1. Provide for outdoor artificial light for health and safety as a permitted activity for an ancillary activity to a permanent activity that occurs on site;  2. Amend PER-3 to clearly be not applicable to lighting required for health and safety (including for ancillary activities to permeant activities occurring at the site).  3. Any alternative relief that would address the submitters concerns and enable farming operations to continue with necessary lighting.	Accept in part
Barkers Fruit Processors Limited	179.21	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Considers that for health and safety, and site security reasons, it is too restrictive for the site operations to meet the Light Sensitive Area standards at the boundary of the Light Sensitive Area.	1. Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety</b> as follows: <b>2. Port Zone, and the General Industrial Zone at 72 Shaw Road, Geraldine (Lot 3 DP58403)</b> <i>outside Light Sensitive Areas.</i>  OR  2. Amend rules to exclude <b>72 Shaw Road, Geraldine (Lot 3 DP58403)</b> from compliance with the Light Sensitive Area requirements.	Accept in part



Peter Bonifacio	36.13	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Opposes LIGHT-R2 as milking is undertaken for longer than 6 months of the year and requires lighting for health and safety purposes. To undergo a resource consent purely because the activity lasts longer than six months is unjustified.	Reconsider the arbitrary time constraint on activities listed within rule <b>LIGHT-R2</b> .	Accept in part
GJH Rooney	191.70	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Considers LIGHT-R2 should be extended to provide for permitted activities, not just a temporary activity. Temporary activities are limited as defined.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 PER-2</b> to provide for any temporary activity.	Accept in part
Rooney Group Limited	249.70	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Considers LIGHT-R2 should be extended to provide for permitted activities, not just a temporary activity. Temporary activities are limited as defined.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 PER-2</b> to provide for any temporary activity.	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.70	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Considers LIGHT-R2 should be extended to provide for permitted activities, not just a temporary activity. Temporary activities are limited as defined.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 PER-2</b> to provide for any temporary activity.	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.70	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Considers LIGHT-R2 should be extended to provide for permitted activities, not just a temporary activity. Temporary activities are limited as defined.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 PER-2</b> to provide for any temporary activity.	Accept in part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.70	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R2 Outdoor artificial lighting for health and safety	Considers LIGHT-R2 should be extended to provide for permitted activities, not just a temporary activity. Temporary activities are limited as defined.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R2 PER-2</b> to provide for any temporary activity.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.124	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in LIGHT-R2	The submitter supports R3. PER-2 and the requirement to have outdoor artificial lighting fully shielded is fully supported.  However, a new rule or the current rule for sensitive light areas should be amended to consider controls for outdoor artificial lighting where there are bats present. It is recommended that the lux level controls are reviewed with an ecologist and lighting expert for areas within the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area. Artificial lighting can adversely affect the behaviour of Long Tailed Bats reducing the area available to bats for foraging and commuting.  [refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend <b>LIGHT-R3</b> or include a new rule that includes appropriate controls for artificial outdoor lighting within the Bat Protection Area addressing: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Minimising lighting: lighting only the object or area intended, lights point down (emit zero direct upward light), fully shielded and are close to the ground.</li> <li>2. Using the lowest intensity lighting appropriate (i.e lux levels should be minimised as much as possible based on ecologist guidance) - Lux levels and threshold increment specified in Table 22 &amp; 23 should be reviewed.</li> <li>3. Use of lights with reduced or filtered blue, violet and UV wavelength (lights should emit little in the wavelengths below 540nm and their 'colour temperature' is a maximum of 2700K (warm white)).</li> </ol>	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.103	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in LIGHT-R2	The entirety of the submitter's Smithfield site is within the Light Sensitive Areas Overlay corresponding to the Wāhi taoka Overlay.  The submitter undertakes activities requiring lighting for health and safety purposes 24 hours per day, so lighting on the site would require a consent as a non-complying activity. This is not appropriate for an industrial site with night-time activities.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R3</b> as follows:  <b>LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in LIGHT-R2 Light Sensitive Areas</b>  <b>Activity status: Permitted Where:</b>  <b>PER-1</b>  <i>LIGHT-S1 and LIGHT-S2 are complied with; and</i>  <b>PER-2</b>  <i>The outdoor artificial lighting must:</i>  <i>1. be fully shielded (see Figure 18 - Lighting Fixtures); and</i>	Accept in part

						<p>2. have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 3000K (warm white); and</p> <p>3. <del>be installed in a manner that precludes operation between 10pm and 7am the following day.</del></p> <p><b>Activity status when compliance not achieved: Non-complying.</b></p>	
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.71	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in light-R2	Opposes LIGHT-R3. PER-2(3) and considers it too restrictive and not practicable for many activities including primary production activities. Considers the rule should also provide for sensor lighting to be an option.	<p>Amend <b>LIGHT-R3</b> to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- provide for lighting in relation to primary production activities; and</li> <li>- to also provide for sensor lighting as a performance standard; and</li> <li>- reduce the time restriction period to recognise that many activities that require artificial light commence before 7am.</li> </ul>	Accept in part
Peter Bonifacio	36.14	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in LIGHT-R2	<p>Opposes LIGHT-R3 as there is no justification for why outdoor artificial lighting that operates outside of the hours stated should constitute a resource consent process. Milking occurs prior to 7 am every day and requires outdoor artificial lighting for the safety of the staff and the cows.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reasons].</p>	Reconsider the time restriction on outdoor artificial lighting in <b>LIGHT-R3</b> .	Accept in part
Dairy Holdings Limited	89.14	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in LIGHT-R2	<p>The proposed Light Sensitive Areas include a large number of existing dairy farms where lights are necessary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• on some types of irrigators that operate through the night; and</li> <li>• at milking sheds from early hours in the morning.</li> </ul> <p>This lighting is an established and critical aspect of these farming activities to enable the safety and wellbeing of people and animals.</p>	<p>Amend <b>LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in LIGHT-R2</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>Activity status: Permitted Where:</b></p> <p><b>PER-1</b></p> <p><i>LIGHT-S1 and LIGHT-S2 are complied with; and</i></p> <p><b>PER-2</b></p> <p><i>The outdoor artificial lighting must:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. be fully shielded (see Figure 18 - Lighting Fixtures); and</li> <li>2. have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 3000K (warm white); and</li> <li>3. be installed in a manner that precludes operation between 10pm and 7am the following day; <u>or</u></li> <li>4. <del>be necessary for health and safety.</del></li> </ol>	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.71	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in light-R2	Opposes LIGHT-R3. PER-2(3) and considers it too restrictive and not practicable for many activities including primary production activities. Considers the rule should also provide for sensor lighting to be an option.	<p>Amend <b>LIGHT-R3</b> to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- provide for lighting in relation to primary production activities; and</li> <li>- to also provide for sensor lighting as a performance standard; and</li> <li>- reduce the time restriction period to recognise that many activities that require artificial light commence before 7am.</li> </ul>	Accept in part
Rangitata Island Dairy Ltd	221.4	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in LIGHT-R2	<p>Considers the artificial lighting rules for light sensitive area which includes the SASM overlay would have a profound effect on the operation of farming business as operation in darkness will not be possible. This will create animal welfare, logistical and financial issues.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	No specific relief sought.	Accept in part





Rooney Group Limited	249.71	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in light-R2	Opposes LIGHT-R3. PER-2(3) and considers it too restrictive and not practicable for many activities including primary production activities. Considers the rule should also provide for sensor lighting to be an option.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R3</b> to: - provide for lighting in relation to primary production activities; and - to also provide for sensor lighting as a performance standard; and - reduce the time restriction period to recognise that many activities that require artificial light commence before 7am.	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.71	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in light-R2	Opposes LIGHT-R3. PER-2(3) and considers it too restrictive and not practicable for many activities including primary production activities. Considers the rule should also provide for sensor lighting to be an option.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R3</b> to: - provide for lighting in relation to primary production activities; and - to also provide for sensor lighting as a performance standard; and - reduce the time restriction period to recognise that many activities that require artificial light commence before 7am.	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.71	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in light-R2	Opposes LIGHT-R3. PER-2(3) and considers it too restrictive and not practicable for many activities including primary production activities. Considers the rule should also provide for sensor lighting to be an option.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R3</b> to: - provide for lighting in relation to primary production activities; and - to also provide for sensor lighting as a performance standard; and - reduce the time restriction period to recognise that many activities that require artificial light commence before 7am.	Accept in part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.71	LIGHT - Light	Rules	LIGHT-R3 Outdoor artificial lighting within Light Sensitive Areas not listed in light-R2	Opposes LIGHT-R3. PER-2(3) and considers it too restrictive and not practicable for many activities including primary production activities. Considers the rule should also provide for sensor lighting to be an option.	Amend <b>LIGHT-R3</b> to: - provide for lighting in relation to primary production activities; and - to also provide for sensor lighting as a performance standard; and - reduce the time restriction period to recognise that many activities that require artificial light commence before 7am.	Accept in part
Alastair Joseph Rooney	177.8	LIGHT - Light	Standards	General	Oppose Table 22 and 23 and Figure 18 together with any other rules and standards relating to lighting standards, illuminance levels, increment and acceptable/unacceptable lighting because the limitations in these tables and figures are not practical, in particular:  • Opposes Table 22 which limits illuminance levels to times between 7am-10pm and prescribes low lux levels. These times and lux levels cannot be achieved when undertaking ancillary activities to permanent activities such as farming, where emergency clearance of stock may be required past 10pm.  • Opposes Figure 18 as the examples of acceptable fixtures are limited, and must all be fully shielded, this is not practicable for farming operations, where flood lights or other exposed lighting might be required to safely operate the permanent activity.	1. Remove the illuminance time restrictions in Table 22. 2. Remove the illuminance time restrictions in Table 22 insofar as they relate to matters of health and safety (including ancillary activities to permanent activities that occur on site) 3. Remove the limits on acceptable illuminance levels, increment, and acceptable/unacceptable lighting for matters of health and safety for an ancillary activity of a permanent activity that occurs on site. 4. Any alternative relief that would address submitter's concerns, and enable farming operations to continue with necessary lighting.	Reject
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.52	LIGHT - Light	Standards	General	Supports these standards as they provides for Kāti Huirapa's values as part of the definition of 'light sensitive areas'.  Although consider that in order to give effect to the Objectives and Policies that effects on the light sensitive areas are added as matter of discretion to the standards.	Amend <b>LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards</b> as follows:  <b>Matters of discretion restricted to:</b>  [...]  <u>5. the actual and potential effects on values and attributes of light sensitive areas;</u>  <u>6. the potential of any adverse effects on the spiritual and cultural values and beliefs of Kāti Huirapa, including measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects.</u>	Reject

Fonterra Limited	165.103	LIGHT - Light	Standards	LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards	Supports the exemption of the Port Zone from LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards. Considers the exemption should also apply to the SRIZ.	Amend <b>LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards</b> as follows:  <b>LIGHT-S1</b>  <b>All zones (excluding Port Zone <u>and the Strategic Rural Industry Zone</u>).</b>	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.125	LIGHT - Light	Standards	LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards	The Submitter supports this rule however, as submitted above the Long-Tailed Bat Protection area should be included as a 'Light Sensitive Area'. The rules would require amendment to mitigate adverse effects of artificial outdoor lighting on Long Tailed Bats and their habitat.  However, a new rule or the current rule for sensitive light areas should be amended to consider controls for outdoor artificial lighting where there are bats present. It is recommended that the lux level controls are reviewed with an ecologist and lighting expert for areas within the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area. Artificial lighting can adversely affect the behaviour of Long Tailed Bats reducing the area available to bats for foraging and commuting.  [refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend <b>LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards</b> to take into account appropriate controls to avoid adverse effects on Long Tailed Bats and their habitat (with the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area overlay becoming listed as a 'Light Sensitive Area').	Accept in part
PrimePort Limited	175.61	LIGHT - Light	Standards	LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards	Supports Port Zone exclusion from this standard, lighting standards are addressed in the adopted Light Management Plan for the Port.	Retain the exclusion of the Port Zone from <b>LIGHT-S1</b> as notified.	Accept
Barkers Fruit Processors Limited	179.22	LIGHT - Light	Standards	LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards	Considers that for health and safety, and site security reasons, it is too restrictive for the site operations to meet the Light Sensitive Area standards as at the boundary of the Light Sensitive Area.	1. Amend <b>LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards</b> as follows:  <b>All zones (excluding Port Zone <u>and the General Industrial Zone at 72 Shaw Road, Geraldine (Lot 3 DP58403)</u>).</b>  OR  2. Amend rules to exclude <b>72 Shaw Road, Geraldine (Lot 3 DP58403)</b> from compliance with the Light Sensitive Area requirements.	Reject
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.114	LIGHT - Light	Standards	LIGHT-S1 General lighting standards	Generally supported. While it is acknowledged that the standard requires calculations to be made by a person who is professionally qualified and competent in the discipline, further clarification is sought on the parameters of measurements e.g. 1.5m above finished ground level with no influences such as fences, hedges or trees or moon light, night sky, etc.	Further clarification is sought on the parameters of measurements required under <b>LIGHT-S1</b> .	Reject
Fonterra Limited	165.104	LIGHT - Light	Standards	LIGHT-S2 Traffic safety on roads	Considers it is appropriate to add the SRIZ to Table 23.	Amend Table 23 (righthand column) as follows:  <b>Town Centre Zone;</b>  <b>Local Centre Zone;</b>  <b>Large Format Retail Zone; City Centre Zone;</b>  <b>Sports and Active Recreation Zone; General Industrial Zone;</b>	Reject

						<b>Port Zone</b> <b>Strategic Rural Industry Zone</b>																																																			
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.115	LIGHT - Light	Standards	LIGHT-S2 Traffic safety on roads	Supports the standard to manage outdoor artificial lighting on any site between sunset and sunrise on any state highway, arterial or principal road and that all exterior lighting shall be orientated away from any state highway or road or oncoming traffic.	Retain as notified.	Accept																																																		
Horticulture New Zealand	245.89	LIGHT - Light	Standards	Table 22 - Horizontal and vertical illuminance levels	The lux values are reduced below current provisions and do not take into account the need for light for health and safety for primary production activities, including in the Rural Lifestyle Zone. Changes are sought to better reflect these activities.	Amend <b>Table 22 - Horizontal and vertical illuminance levels</b> as follows: <table border="1" data-bbox="1813 510 2694 1858"> <tr> <td></td> <td colspan="4">Zones and Areas</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>General Rural Zone;</td> <td></td> <td>Town Centre Zone;</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><del>Rural Lifestyle Zone;</del></td> <td>Settlement Zone; Open Space Zone;</td> <td>General Residential Zone;</td> <td>Local Centre Zone;</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Natural Open Space Zone;</td> <td></td> <td>Space Zone;</td> <td>Medium Density Residential Zone;</td> <td>Large Format Retail Zone;</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Light Sensitive Areas</td> <td></td> <td>Māori Purpose Zone;</td> <td></td> <td>City Centre Zone;</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><del>Rural Lifestyle Zone</del></td> <td>Neighbourhood Centre Zone</td> <td>Sports and Active Recreation Zone;</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>General industrial Zone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Horizontal and vertical illuminance above the background level at a site boundary</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Times: 7am - 10pm</td> <td>2 lux</td> <td><del>5</del> 10 lux</td> <td>10 lux</td> <td>25 lux</td> </tr> </table>		Zones and Areas						General Rural Zone;		Town Centre Zone;		<del>Rural Lifestyle Zone;</del>	Settlement Zone; Open Space Zone;	General Residential Zone;	Local Centre Zone;	Natural Open Space Zone;		Space Zone;	Medium Density Residential Zone;	Large Format Retail Zone;	Light Sensitive Areas		Māori Purpose Zone;		City Centre Zone;			-					<del>Rural Lifestyle Zone</del>	Neighbourhood Centre Zone	Sports and Active Recreation Zone;					General industrial Zone	Horizontal and vertical illuminance above the background level at a site boundary					Times: 7am - 10pm	2 lux	<del>5</del> 10 lux	10 lux	25 lux	Accept in part
	Zones and Areas																																																								
		General Rural Zone;		Town Centre Zone;																																																					
	<del>Rural Lifestyle Zone;</del>	Settlement Zone; Open Space Zone;	General Residential Zone;	Local Centre Zone;																																																					
Natural Open Space Zone;		Space Zone;	Medium Density Residential Zone;	Large Format Retail Zone;																																																					
Light Sensitive Areas		Māori Purpose Zone;		City Centre Zone;																																																					
		-																																																							
		<del>Rural Lifestyle Zone</del>	Neighbourhood Centre Zone	Sports and Active Recreation Zone;																																																					
				General industrial Zone																																																					
Horizontal and vertical illuminance above the background level at a site boundary																																																									
Times: 7am - 10pm	2 lux	<del>5</del> 10 lux	10 lux	25 lux																																																					

						<p>Horizontal and vertical illuminance above the background level at a site boundary</p> <p>Times: 10pm - 7am</p> <p>0.5 lux      <del>1</del> <u>5</u> lux      2 lux      5 lux</p>	
						<p>Vertical illuminance at a window of an adjoining property in a residential zone</p> <p>Times: 7am - 10pm</p> <p>1 lux      <del>2</del> <u>10</u> lux      5 lux      15 lux</p>	
						<p>Vertical illuminance at a window of an adjoining property in a residential zone</p> <p>Times: 10pm - 7am</p> <p>0 lux      <del>1</del> <u>5</u> lux      2 lux      3 lux</p>	
Fenlea Farms Limited	171.3	Planning Maps	Light Sensitive Area Overlay		<p>Opposes the inclusion of the submitter's properties in the overlay because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the extent of the layer was based on overlays (where some were extensive in area), rather than ecological assessment.</li> <li>The overlay does not account for the critical need for light for health and safety associated with activities within the overlay.</li> </ul> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason].</p>	<p>Delete <b>Light Sensitive Area Overlay</b> from land located at 158 Prattley Road, Timaru and 94 Milford-Clandeboye Road, as per attached maps.</p> <p>OR reduce the extent of the overlay.</p> <p>OR any alternative relief to address these concerns.</p>	Accept in part



						  <p>Figure 1: 158 Prattley Road, Timaru</p> <p>Figure 2: 94 Milford-Clandeboy Road</p>
Alastair Joseph Rooney	177.4	Planning Maps	Light Sensitive Area Overlay		<p>Opposes the inclusion of the submitter’s properties in the overlay because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the extent of the layer was based on overlays (where some were extensive in area), rather than ecological assessment.</li> <li>The overlay does not account for the critical need for light for health and safety associated with activities within the overlay.</li> <li>The rules in this chapter do not allow critical health and safety lighting past 10pm.</li> </ul> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason].</p>	<p>Delete the <b>Light Sensitive Area Overlay</b> from land located at 32 and 48 Milford-Clandeboy Road, as shown below:</p> <p>32 Milford-Clandeboy Road</p>  <p>48 Milford-Clandeboy Road</p>  <p>OR</p> <p>2. reduce the extent of the overlay. OR</p>

<p>Barkers Fruit Processors Limited</p>	<p>179.5</p>	<p>Planning Maps</p>	<p>Light Sensitive Area overlay</p>		<p>The submitter considers it would be practicable for plan implementation purposes, that the for the Light Sensitive Area overlay to follow the site boundary.</p> 	<p>3. any alternative relief to address these concerns.</p> <p>Amend the <b>Light Sensitive Area overlay</b> to reflect the site boundary of 72 Shaw Road, Geraldine.</p>	<p>Accept in part</p>
---	--------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------	--	--	---	-----------------------

Table 3 – Noise

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Horticulture New Zealand	245.7	Definitions	Definitions	Bird scaring device	Support the definition proposed except add 'and' between gas guns and avian distress alarms.	Amend the definition of <b>Bird scaring device</b> as follows:  <i>Means a device used for the purpose of disturbing or scaring birds including gas guns <u>and</u> avian distress alarms when being used specifically for bird scaring.</i>	Accept
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.9	Definitions	Definitions	Noise Sensitive Activity	Supports definition of Noise Sensitive Activity.	Retain as notified.	Accept
New Zealand Defence Force	151.2	Definitions	Definitions	Noise Sensitive Activity	Supports the definition.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Radio New Zealand Limited	152.13	Definitions	Definitions	Noise Sensitive Activity	Supports the definition of "noise sensitive activity" as notified.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Fonterra Limited	165.16	Definitions	Definitions	Noise sensitive activity	Community facilities are also sensitive to noise and likely to give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Submitter would also be agreeable to the term 'place of assembly' instead of 'community facility' as it is acknowledged that this is already a term defined in the PDP.	Amend definition of <b>Noise sensitive activity</b> as follows: <i>Noise sensitive activity means: [...]</i>  <i>d. Healthcare activities; <del>and</del></i> <i>e. Marae (building only); <del>and</del></i> <i>f. Community facility.</i>	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.9	Definitions	Definitions	Noise sensitive activity	Considers it is appropriate to define these activities in order to implement the provisions of the Noise chapter, including the required mitigation of noise from industrial zones and activities.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.8	Definitions	Definitions	Noise Sensitive Activity	Considers it is appropriate to define these activities in order to implement the provisions of the Noise chapter, including the required mitigation of noise from industrial zones and activities.	Retain as notified.	Accept
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.6	Definitions	Definitions	Noise sensitive activity	Seeks amendment to the definition of Noise Sensitive Activity to list all noise sensitive activities to assist with clear interpretation.	Amend the definition of <b>Noise Sensitive Activity</b> as follows:  <i>Means <u>any lawfully established</u>:</i>  <i><u>a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation, including boarding houses, residential visitor accommodation and papakāinga;</u></i> <i><u>b. Educational educational activity;</u></i> <i><u>c. health care activity, including hospitals;</u></i> <i><u>d. congregation within any place of worship; and</u></i> <i><u>e. activity at a marae.</u></i>  <i><del>a.—Residential activities;</del></i> <i><del>b.—Visitor accommodation;</del></i> <i><del>c.—Educational facility;</del></i> <i><del>d.—Healthcare activities; and</del></i> <i><del>e.—Marae (building only).</del></i>	Reject

Horticulture New Zealand	245.16	Definitions	Definitions	Noise sensitive activity	Submits that the noise sensitive activity should be the buildings used for the activity, not open area around the building.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Horticulture New Zealand	245.28	Definitions	Definitions	Special audible characteristic	Considers it is appropriate that the National Planning Standard definition for special audible characteristics which is relevant when assessing sound from frost fans is included in the Plan.	Retain as notified.	Accept
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.4	NOISE - Noise	General	General	Considers that the NOISE - Noise Chapter does not appropriately give effect to the NPS- HPL, in so far that priority land uses on HPL as set out in CI3.9(3), CI3.12 and CI3.13 are not provided for. The PDP generally fails to give effect to NPS- HPL.  This includes NOISE-O1, NOISE-O2, NOISE-P1, NOISE-P5, NOISE-R1, NOISE-R9, NOISE-S2, NOISE S3, TABLE 24, 25 and 26.	Amend the objectives, policies and methods and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.	Reject
Fonterra Limited	165.105	NOISE - Noise	Introduction	General	It is important that key industry and employment generators are recognised as being constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from inappropriately located sensitive activities.	Amend the Introduction to the <b>NOISE-Noise Chapter</b> as follows:  <i>[...] This is a particular concern for <b>key industry and employment generators</b>, important services and community facilities, including the Airport, Raceway, State Highway, Railway Corridor and the Port, which could be constrained if reverse sensitivity effects arise [...]</i>	Reject
Fonterra Limited	165.106	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise	It is considered appropriate that noise effects are appropriate to the zone.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Silver Fern Farms	172.102	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise	Considers the objective should clarify that noise only needs to be managed to the extent that it is received in a zone.	Amend <b>NOISE-O1</b> as follows:  <b><i>NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise</i></b>  <i>Noise effects generated by activities are compatible with the purpose, character and qualities of each <b>receiving</b> zone and do not compromise the health and well-being of people and communities.</i>	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.104	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise	Seeks an amendment for clarification as noise only needs to be managed to the extent that it is received in a zone.	Amend <b>NOISE-O1</b> as follows:  <b><i>NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise</i></b>  <i>Noise effects generated by activities are compatible with the purpose, character and qualities of each <b>receiving</b> zone and do not compromise the health and well-being of people and communities.</i>	Accept
Helicopters South Canterbury 2015 Ltd	53.14	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise	Considers noise effects being compatible with the zone is appropriate but it needs to be recognised that the rural zone is not a 'quiet' area.	Amend the plan, to ensure that the rural zones are not described as 'quiet' and that noise associated with primary production activities is anticipated.	Reject
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	86.5	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise	Supports the recognition that noise effects of activities need to be compatible with the purpose, character and qualities of each zone. Noise from agricultural aviation and other	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

					agricultural activities is expected in rural zones and is part of the zones' character.		
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	132.18	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise	Supports noise effects being compatible with the zone as appropriate but it needs to be recognised that the rural zone is not a 'quiet' area.	Amend <b>NOISE-O1</b> to ensure that the rural zones are not described as 'quiet' and that noise associated with primary production activities is anticipated.	Reject
Foodstuffs South Island Limited	193.4	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise	Supports NOISE-O1	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Horticulture New Zealand	245.91	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise	Recognising that some activities generate noise effects which vary according to zones is supported.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.5	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise	The objective does give effect to the policy direction in the NPS-HPL to prioritise land based primary production on highly productive soils and avoid reverse sensitivity effects, which may include noise.	1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL. AND 2. Amend <b>NOISE-O1 Activities that generate noise</b> as follows: <i>Noise effects generated by activities are compatible with the purpose, character and qualities of each zone and do not compromise the health and well-being of people and communities <u>where sensitive activities are prioritised in a location.</u></i>	Reject
Synlait Milk Limited	163.6	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Supports intent of NOISE-O2, but is concerned that the objective is not limited to existing industrial activities, but also the potential future development capacity of land within industrial zones. The word 'constrained' should also be further qualified with reference to the possible loss of development rights, which is a more significant outcome than a hampering or restraint on activities and development which is implied in 'constraint'.	Amend <b>NOISE-O2 Reverse Sensitivity</b> to read as follows <i>The Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines, <del>and</del> the Port and activities <u>and development potential located</u> within commercial, mixed use and industrial zones are not constrained <u>or lost as a consequence of by</u> reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i> OR wording to similar effect.	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.107	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Considers that the SPZ-SRI is explicitly recognised in this objective. Furthermore, it is considered more appropriate for reverse sensitivity effects to be avoided.	Amend <b>NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows: <i>The Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines, <del>and</del> the Port, <u>the Strategic Rural Industry Zone</u> and activities located within commercial, mixed use and Industrial zones are <u>protected from not constrained by</u> reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i>	Reject
Road Metals Company Limited	169.34	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Requests NOISE-O2 is amended to address lawfully established activities.	Amend <b>NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows: <i>The Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines and the Port and activities located within commercial, mixed use, <u>rural</u> and Industrial zones, <u>and other lawfully established activities</u> are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i>	Reject
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.34	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Requests NOISE-O2 is amended to address lawfully established activities.	Amend <b>NOISE- O2 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows: <i>The Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines and the Port and activities located within commercial, mixed use, <u>rural</u> and Industrial zones, <u>and other lawfully established activities</u> are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i>	Reject



Silver Fern Farms	172.103	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Considers it is appropriate to recognise and protect activities with inherent noise-generating elements, from reverse sensitivity.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.105	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Considers it is appropriate to recognise and protect activities with inherent noise-generating elements, from reverse sensitivity.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
PrimePort Limited	175.62	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	The objective appropriately recognises the potential for the Port to be adverse affected by reverse sensitivity effects, so as not to compromise its operation.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Helicopters South Canterbury 2015 Ltd	53.15	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Considers that primary production activities in the Rural Zone should not be constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.	Amend <b>NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows: <i>The Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines and the Port and activities located within commercial, mixed use and Industrial zones <u>or primary production activities in rural zones</u>, are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i>	Reject
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	86.6	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Seek that primary production and supporting activities be included in the clause to avoid typical agricultural noise generating activities from being constrained by reverse sensitivity effects.	Amend as <b>NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows <i>The Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines and the Port and activities located within commercial, mixed use and Industrial zones <u>or primary production activities in rural zones</u>, are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i>	Reject
Lineage Logistics NZ Limited	107.15	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Considers it is appropriate for the Plan to ensure that regionally significant infrastructure such as the Port and also industrial activities are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	132.19	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Primary production activities in the Rural Zone should not be constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.	Amend <b>NOISE-O2</b> as follows: <b>NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity</b> <i>The Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines and the Port and activities located within commercial, mixed use and Industrial zones <u>or primary production activities in rural zones</u>, are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i>	Reject
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.116	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Supports the intent of the policy as it recognises that the state highway should not be constrained by reverse sensitivity effects. However, protecting human health is considered to be the primary approach for managing reverse sensitivity effects. It is recommended that either the rule be amended to explicitly set out to protect human health for the noise sensitive activities in high noise environments	Amend <b>NOISE-O2</b> as follows: <b>NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity</b> <i>Noise sensitive activities shall avoid reverse sensitivity effects to protect human health from noise generating activities such as <del>T</del>the Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines and the Port and activities located within commercial, mixed use and Industrial zones are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i>	Reject
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.75	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Supports recognition of the need to protect railway lines from reverse sensitivity effects from noise sensitive activities.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part



Foodstuffs South Island Limited	193.5	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Supports NOISE-O2.	Retain as notified.	
Horticulture New Zealand	245.92	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity	Supports an objective for reverse sensitivity, but it should not be limited to the activities stated in the objective.	Amend <b>NOISE-O2 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows:  <i>The Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines and the Port and activities located within commercial, mixed use and Industrial zones <u>or primary production activities in the rural zones</u> are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i>	Reject
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.6	NOISE - Noise	Objectives	NOISE-O2 Reverse Sensitivity	The objective is generally supported. However, it is unclear why primary production activities in general are not included.  The Objective fails to give effect to the NPS-HPL insofar as the protection from reverse sensitivity effects does not include primary production activities in the rural land resource.	1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.  AND 2. Amend <b>NOISE-O2 Reverse Sensitivity</b> as follows:  <i>The Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines, <del>and</del> the Port, <u>land based primary production activities on the rural land resource</u>, and activities located within commercial, mixed use and Industrial zones are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.</i>	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.173	NOISE - Noise	Policies	New	Submits that the impacts of noise on native fauna should be considered.	Amend <b>NOISE - Noise Chapter</b> to include a policy that ensures that the impact of noise on native species is avoided or minimised.	Reject
Horticulture New Zealand	245.94	NOISE - Noise	Policies	New	Seeks specific inclusion of a policy that provides for noise from primary production activities to ensure that there is recognition and awareness of the noise environment of the rural area.	Add new Policy to the <b>NOISE Chapter</b> as follows:  <b><u>NOISE-PX</u></b>  <i>To recognise that noise associated with primary production activities is appropriate for the working nature of the rural environment by exempting it from the noise limits. The operation of noisy equipment (in particular rural airstrips, audible bird scaring devices and frost fans) is provided for <u>subject to appropriate controls</u>.</i>	Reject
Fonterra Limited	165.108	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	It is considered appropriate that noise effects are appropriate to the zone.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Silver Fern Farms	172.104	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	Considers it is appropriate to provide for noise-generating activities commensurate with the zoning that applies.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.106	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	Considers it is appropriate to provide for noise-generating activities commensurate with the zoning that applies.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Helicopters South Canterbury 2015 Ltd	53.16	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	Noise effects being compatible with the zone is appropriate but it needs to be recognised in the description of the rural zone that it is not a 'quiet' area.	Amend the plan to ensure that the rural zones are not described as 'quiet', and that noise associated with primary production activities is anticipated.	Reject
Ballance Agri-	86.7	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	Supports the policy which recognises that noise in some zones, such as rural zones, is appropriate and typical of the character of the zones.	Retain as notified.	Accept

Nutrients Limited							
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	132.20	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	Considers noise effects being compatible with the zone is appropriate but it needs to be recognised in the description of the rural zone that it is not a 'quiet' area.	Amend <b>NOISE-P1</b> to ensure that the rural zones are not described as 'quiet' and that noise associated with primary production activities is anticipated.	Reject
Radio New Zealand Limited	152.50	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	Support with amendments to NOISE-P1. Supports a policy to enable noise generation at appropriate levels. However, it considers the policy should also recognise circumstances where Lifeline Utilities are required to undertake activities that generate noise. As a lifeline utility it is critical the submitter can continue to maintain and operate the generator to ensure uninterrupted operations during emergencies. An enabling policy is therefore appropriate.	Amend <b>NOISE-P1</b> to be an enabling policy for noise generation at appropriate levels.	Reject
Foodstuffs South Island Limited	193.6	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	Supports NOISE-P1	Retain as notified.	Accept
Horticulture New Zealand	245.95	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	Supports the enabling of noise generation but it should be where it is consistent with the nature of the zone - not that it is appropriate.  The sensitivity of the environment is reflected in the character of the zone.	Amend <b>NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities</b> as follows:  <i>Enable the generation of noise when it is of a type, character and level that is appropriate, having regard to:</i>  <i>Enable the generation of noise that is consistent with the purpose, character and qualities of the zone that the activity is located in, having regard to:</i>  <i>1. the purpose, character and qualities of the zone that the activity is located in;</i> <i>2. 1. the nature, scale, frequency and duration of the noise generating activity;</i> <i>3. 2. methods of mitigation; and</i> <i>4. the sensitivity of the surrounding environment.</i>	Reject
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.7	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities	The policy is generally accepted, but does not give effect to the NPS-HPL insofar as priority is not given to land based primary production land uses, and their associated noise on highly productive soils.	1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.  AND  2. Amend <b>NOISE-P1 Maintenance of zone character and qualities</b> as follows:  <i>Enable the generation of noise when it is of a type, character and level that is appropriate, having regard to:</i>  <i>1. the purpose, character and qualities of the zone that the activity is located in;</i> <i>2. the nature, scale, frequency and duration of the noise generating activity;</i> <i>3. methods of mitigation; and</i> <i>4. the sensitivity of the surrounding environment. and</i> <i>5. the priority given to land based primary production activities on highly productive land.</i>	Reject

New Zealand Defence Force	151.12	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P2 Noise from Temporary Military Training Activities	Supports NOISE-P2 as it seeks to mitigate noise effects from TMTA, through managing their proximity to noise sensitive activities.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	131.13	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P3 Noise from temporary events	Support NOISE-P3 in relation to temporary events.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Synlait Milk Limited	163.7	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Supports NOISE-P5 for any noise sensitive activities which do get approval to locate in the General Industrial Zone to provide acoustic mitigation.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.109	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	This policy does not relate to reverse sensitivity, rather it relates to effects on incompatible activities. Accordingly, the policy title should be amended. Supports the reference to the Port Noise Inner Control Boundary Overlay. The new Clandeboye Noise Control Boundary needs including in this policy to ensure that the new noise controls are tied to appropriate policy	Amend <b>NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows: <del>Reverse sensitivity</del> <b>Effects on incompatible activities</b>  <i>Require noise sensitive activities located in higher noise environments to be located and designed so as to minimise adverse effects on the amenity values and health and safety of occupants and minimise sleep disturbance from noise, while taking into account: ...</i>  <i>For the purpose of this Policy, higher noise environments include: [...]</i>  <u>4. that part of the General Rural Zone located within the Clandeboye Noise Control Boundary.</u>	Reject
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.35	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Requests NOISE-P5 is amended to address lawfully established activities.	Amend <b>NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity</b> to provide for lawfully established activities, as follows:  <i>Require noise sensitive activities located in higher noise environments to be located and designed so as to minimise adverse effects on the amenity values and health and safety of occupants and minimise sleep disturbance from noise, while taking into account:</i>  1. [...]  <i>For the purpose of this Policy, higher noise environments include:</i>  1. Commercial and Mixed Use Zones; and 2. Residential zones in close proximity to any General industrial zone and areas within the Port Noise Outer Control Boundary and within that part of the Medium Density Residential Zone and City Centre Zone located within the Port Noise Inner Control Boundary; <del>and</del> 3. locations in close proximity to a State Highway or the railway line.; <del>and</del> <u>4. in close proximity to lawfully established activities.</u>	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.105	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Considers it is appropriate to require that the burden of mitigation falls to sensitive activities seeking to locate in/near noisy environments rather than the reverse.  Also notes that “higher noise environments” includes  “Residential zones in close proximity to any General industrial zone...” this is considered acceptable.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

Alliance Group Limited	173.107	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Considers it is appropriate to require that the burden of mitigation falls to sensitive activities seeking to locate in/near noisy environments rather than the reverse.  Also notes that “higher noise environments” includes “Residential zones in close proximity to any General industrial zone...” this is considered acceptable.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
PrimePort Limited	175.63	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	The policy appropriately reflects the need to protect the Port from potential adverse reverse sensitivity effects, so as not to compromise its operation.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Helicopters South Canterbury 2015 Ltd	53.17	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Considers reverse sensitivity effects are not limited to the ‘higher noise environments’ listed in the policy.  Seeks to have noise sensitive activities managed in rural zones to ensure that reverse sensitivity effects on primary production.	1. Amend <b>NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows:  <i>Require noise sensitive activities <del>located in higher noise environments</del> to be located and designed so as to minimise adverse effects on the amenity values and health and safety of occupants and minimise sleep disturbance from noise, while taking into account:</i>  <i>1. the type of noise generating activity; and</i> <i>2. other noise sources in the area; and</i> <i>3. the nature and occupancy of the noise sensitive activity; and</i> <i>4. mitigation measures, including acoustic insulation, screening and topography.</i>  <i>For the purpose of this Policy, higher noise environments include:</i>  <i>1. Commercial and Mixed Use Zones; and</i> <i>2. Residential zones in close proximity to any General industrial zone and areas within the Port Noise Outer Control Boundary and within that part of the Medium Density Residential Zone and City Centre Zone located within the Port Noise Inner Control Boundary; and</i> <i>3. locations in close proximity to a State Highway or the railway line.</i>  OR  2. Add a specific policy for reverse sensitivity from noise sensitive activities in rural zones.	Reject
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	86.8	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Supports the recognition of the potential effects of reverse sensitivity on lawfully established activities. However, this policy does not address all activities or zones where reverse sensitivity effects on established noisy activities could take place. It should be recognised that noise from primary production activities will be generated in rural zones.	Amend <b>NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity</b> by either:  1. Removing reference to "higher noise environments" in the policy and include rural zones. OR 2. Developing a separate policy to address reverse sensitivity from noise sensitive activities in rural zones.	Reject
Lineage Logistics NZ Limited	107.16	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Considers it is appropriate for the Plan to ensure that regionally significant infrastructure such as the Port and also industrial activities are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
New Zealand Agricultural	132.21	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Considers that reverse sensitivity effects are not limited to the ‘higher noise environments’ listed in the policy. Noise sensitive activities should be managed in rural zones to	Amend <b>NOISE-P5</b> to delete reference to ‘higher noise environments’ OR	Reject

Aviation Association					ensure that reverse sensitivity effects on primary production is avoided.	Include a specific policy for reverse sensitivity from noise sensitive activities in rural zones.	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.117	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Supports the policy as it seeks to address reverse sensitivity by controlling new and altered noise sensitivity activities through location and design. This is an appropriate approach for managing effects of noise on human health	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.76	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Supports the recognition of higher noise environments within close proximity to a railway line. The submitter seeks amendment to identify that noise and vibration effects are felt within 100m of a railway line and this should be specified in policy.  Considers clauses 1-4 in first part are not required and would weaken the intent of the policy to meet NOISE-O2 and protect railway lines from reverse sensitivity effects.	Amend <b>NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows:  <i>Require noise sensitive activities located in higher noise environments to be located and designed so as to minimise adverse effects on the amenity values and health and safety of occupants and minimise sleep disturbance from noise, <del>while taking into account:</del></i>  <i><del>1. the type of noise generating activity; and</del></i> <i><del>2. other noise sources in the area; and</del></i> <i><del>3. the nature and occupancy of the noise sensitive activity; and</del></i> <i><del>4. mitigation measures, including acoustic insulation, screening and topography.</del></i>  <i>For the purpose of this Policy, higher noise environments include:</i>  <i>1. Commercial and Mixed-Use Zones; and</i> <i>2. Residential zones in close proximity to any General industrial zone and areas within the Port Noise Outer Control Boundary and within that part of the Medium Density Residential Zone and City Centre Zone located within the Port Noise Inner Control Boundary; and</i> <i>3. Locations <u>within 100m of in close proximity to</u> a State Highway or <del>the</del> railway line.</i>	Reject
Foodstuffs South Island Limited	193.7	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	The policy does not fully implement Noise-O2 because it does not provide for the protection of existing noisy activities from noise sensitive activities, located in a different zone immediately adjacent to the zone containing the existing higher noise environment.	Amend <b>Noise-P5 Reverse Sensitivity</b> as follows:  <i>Require noise sensitive activities located in <u>or adjacent to</u> higher noise environments to be located and designed so as to minimise adverse effects on the amenity values and health and safety of occupants and minimise sleep disturbance from noise, while taking into account:</i>  <i>[...]</i>	Reject
Kāinga Ora	229.57	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Supports this policy as it focuses on managing adverse effects.	Retain <b>NOISE-P5</b> as notified.	Accept in part
Horticulture New Zealand	245.96	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	Considers that reverse sensitivity isn't limited to 'higher noise environments' as defined in the policy. Reverse sensitivity from noise sensitive activities occurs in the rural zones and needs to be provided for.	Amend <b>NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows:  <i>Require noise sensitive activities <u>located in higher noise environments</u> to be located and designed so as to minimise adverse effects on the amenity values and health and safety of occupants and minimise sleep disturbance from noise, while taking into account:</i>  <i>1. the type of noise generating activity; and</i> <i>2. other noise sources in the area; and</i> <i>3. the nature and occupancy of the noise sensitive activity; and</i> <i>4. mitigation measures, including acoustic insulation, screening and topography.</i>	Reject



						<p><i>For the purpose of this Policy, higher noise environments include:</i></p> <p><del>1.—Commercial and Mixed Use Zones; and</del></p> <p><del>2.—Residential zones in close proximity to any General industrial zone and areas within the Port Noise Outer Control Boundary and within that part of the Medium Density Residential Zone and City Centre Zone located within the Port Noise Inner Control Boundary; and</del></p> <p><del>3.—Locations in close proximity to a State Highway or the railway line.</del></p>	
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.8	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity	The policy is generally supported, but does not give effect to the NPS-HPL insofar as priority is not given to land based primary production land uses on highly productive land by not appropriately managing reverse sensitivity effects on land based primary production activities on highly productive land.	<p>1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Amend <b>NOISE-P5 Reverse sensitivity</b> as follows:  <i>Require noise sensitive activities located in higher noise environments to be located and designed so as to minimise adverse effects on the amenity values and health and safety of occupants and minimise sleep disturbance from noise, while taking into account:</i></p> <p>[...]</p> <p><i>For the purpose of this Policy, higher noise environments include:</i></p> <p>[...]</p> <p>3. <i>locations in close proximity to a State Highway or the railway line.; and</i></p> <p>4. <i>land deemed highly productive pursuant to the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land, and;</i></p> <p>5. <i>land within 300m of an existing or consented frost fan.</i></p>	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.110	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P7 Noise sensitive activities within noise control boundaries	Supports the reference to the Port Noise Inner Control Boundary Overlay, however it also seeks that the proposed Clandeboye Noise Control Boundary be included in the Policy. It is important that noise sensitive activities do not result in reverse sensitivity effects on operations at the Clandeboye manufacturing site.	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-P7 Noise sensitive activities</b> as follows:</p> <p><i>Within the Airport Noise Control Boundary Overlay, <u>Clandeboye Noise Control Boundary</u> and Port Noise Inner Control Boundary Overlay [...]</i></p>	Reject
PrimePort Limited	175.64	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P7 Noise sensitive activities within noise control boundaries	The policy appropriately reflects the need to protect the Port from potential adverse reverse sensitivity effects, so as not to compromise its operation.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Lineage Logistics NZ Limited	107.17	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P7 Noise sensitive activities within noise control boundaries	Considers it is appropriate for the Plan to ensure that regionally significant infrastructure such as the Port and also industrial activities are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	229.58	NOISE - Noise	Policies	NOISE-P7 Noise sensitive activities within noise control boundaries	Opposes this policy as it is an 'avoid' policy which sends a very strong policy signal that specific activities are not to occur within the noise sensitive overlays. The drafting of the policy is also confusing given the various exclusions/ exceptions. The policy should be redrafted to focus on managing the effects of noise.	<p>Delete <b>NOISE-P7 Noise sensitive activities within noise control boundaries</b></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Replace with a new policy titled <b><u>NOISE-P7 Noise sensitive activities</u></b></p> <p><i>Manage subdivision and the establishment of noise sensitive activities to minimise adverse effects on the amenity values of occupants</i></p>	Reject



Fonterra Limited	165.111	NOISE - Noise	Rules	New	Submitter has worked closely with its noise consultants to develop a NCB for its manufacturing sites throughout New Zealand. A NCB is an effective way of managing noise (and expectations associated with noise) for a large noise generating activity. Proposed noise management includes restrictions on sensitive activities within the NCB, and noise emission standards appropriate to the operational requirements and the environmental factors of Clondeboye. Submitter is seeking to have the new noise provisions inserted into the Noise chapter of the PDP.	<p>Add a new rule to the <b>NOISE-Noise Chapter</b> as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Noise from the Fonterra Clondeboye manufacturing site</u></b></p> <p><b><u>PER-1</u></b></p> <p><b><u>On the Clondeboye manufacturing site, noise from operations, including all ancillary equipment, maintenance activities, and operation of all vehicles on site (including those entering and exiting the site), shall not exceed the following limits when measured at or beyond the Noise Control Boundary:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Weekdays and Weekends</u></b></p> <p><b><u>7am - 10pm 55dBAeq (15 min)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>10pm - 7am 45 dB LAeq (15 min) and 75 LAFmax</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Non-compliance with this requirement shall restrict the exercise of its discretion to the operational requirements of the site, and the effect of noise on adjoining sensitive activities within the Noise Control Boundary.</u></b></p>	Reject
Horticulture New Zealand	245.97	NOISE - Noise	Rules	New	Residential activity within 300m of a frost fan can lead to reverse sensitivity effects. Best practice is that any new residential activity locating adjacent to a frost fan should have to provide acoustic insulation to avoid adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects. A rule is sought to provide for this. Alternatively Residential activity within 300m of a frost fan could be added to NOISE-R9 and NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation.	<p>Add a new rule to the <b>NOISE Chapter</b> as follows:</p> <p><b><u>NOISE-RX Residential activity within 300m of a frost fan Rural zones</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Activity status: PER Where:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Any new residential activity locating within 300m of an existing frost fan on a separate site in different ownership must be designed and constructed to ensure that the noise level inside any bedroom will not exceed 30dB LAeq when windows are closed and frost fans are operating.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Written certification of such compliance from an appropriately qualified and experienced acoustic engineer shall be submitted with the building consent application for the residential activity.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Activity status when compliance not achieved: RDIS Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><u>1. Ability to meet internal noise standard</u></b></li> <li><b><u>2. Potential reverse sensitivity effects</u></b></li> <li><b><u>3. Mitigation methods.</u></b></li> </ol>	Accept in part
Horticulture New Zealand	245.98	NOISE - Noise	Rules	New	Notes there is no rule for frost fans in the Plan. There is increasing potential for frost fans to be used on orchards and a specific rule will ensure that the effects are appropriately managed. The rule that is sought has been developed based on case law and best practice for frost fans.	<p>Add a new rule to the <b>NOISE Chapter</b> as follows:</p> <p><b><u>NOISE-RX Operation of frost fan Rural zones</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Activity status: PER</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Where:</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><u>1. Noise from the frost fan shall not exceed 55dB LAeq (15mins) when measured at or within the notional boundary of any existing residential activity on a site in different ownership or at the boundary of any non-rural zone. No adjustment for special audible characteristics shall be applied to measured or calculated noise levels</u></b></li> <li><b><u>2. Frost fans must not be located within 300m of a residential activity on a separate site in different ownership or a zone boundary</u></b></li> <li><b><u>3. Frost fans are used for protection of crops from frost from bud break to harvest</u></b></li> <li><b><u>4. Frost fans are only operated when the air at canopy height is 2°C or less</u></b></li> </ol>	Accept in part

						<p><u>5. Operation for maintenance shall only take place between 8am and 6pm Monday to Friday except in urgent unforeseen situations.</u></p> <p><u>6. Evidence of installation of a frost fan meeting this standard shall be provided to Council including certification from an appropriately qualified and experienced acoustic engineer that the noise limits in 1) are met and providing the location of the frost fan.</u></p> <p><u>7. Records shall be kept stating the date, temperature, times and length of use of each frost fan and made available to Council on request. Records may include telemetry records</u></p> <p><b><u>Activity status when compliance not achieved: RDIS Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u></b></p> <p><u>1. Operational requirements of frost control fans; Horticulture New Zealand Submission on Proposed Timaru DP - September 2022 53 Provision Amend /Support/ Oppose Reason Decision sought</u></p> <p><u>2. Proximity to a residential area or dwelling including the visual effects;</u></p> <p><u>3. Cumulative effects</u></p> <p><u>4. Noise mitigation measures;</u></p> <p><u>5. Generation of noise with special audible characteristics;</u></p> <p><u>6. Frequency and duration of operation</u></p> <p><u>7. Location, orientation</u></p> <p><u>8. Monitoring and reporting.</u></p>	
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.9	NOISE - Noise	Rules	New	<p>While submitter agrees that priority should be given to agricultural noise in NOISE-R1 PER-2, there appears to be differing interpretations regarding what 'normal' seasonal horticultural practice entails. A frost fan specific suite of provisions should be included in the noise chapter.</p>	<p>1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Amend the plan the same as or similar to as follows:</p> <p><b><u>NOISE-RX/SX Frost fans Permitted Activity</u></b></p> <p><b><u>1 Noise from a frost fan:</u></b></p> <p><u>a. shall not be required to comply with the noise limits in NOISE-S2;</u></p> <p><u>b. must not exceed 55dB LAeq (15min) at any point within the notional boundary of any existing dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (other than on the property on which the frost fan is situated);</u></p> <p><u>2. Frost fans must only be operated for protection of crops from frost from bud burst to harvest, with the exception that frost fans may also be operated in the following circumstances:</u></p> <p><u>a. for the purposes of maintenance and testing, limited to operation between 8.00 am to 5.00 pm on any day;</u></p> <p><u>b. for compliance monitoring at any time when the monitoring is undertaken by the Council or, where the monitoring is undertaken by a third party, when the Council has been notified.</u></p> <p><u>c. Noise from any frost fan which has special audible characteristics such as tonality or impulsiveness, must have a 5dB penalty added to the measured level to comply with the Standard NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics - Environmental Noise.</u></p> <p><u>3 No less than 10 workings days after a frost fan is established on a site a plan showing the location of the proposed frost fan(s) (with accurate NZTM</u></p>	Accept in part

						<u>coordinates) and area it is designed to cover must be provided to Council for use on a non-statutory GIS layer to enable management of reverse sensitivity in GRUZ-S4.4, and NOISE-S3:</u>	
PrimePort Limited	175.65	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Support application of this rule only to activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section. Noise from activities generated in the Port Zone is more appropriately controlled under Rule NOISE-R8 only.	Retain <b>NOISE-R1</b> as notified, which does not apply to noise generated within the Port Zone.	Accept
Jet Boating New Zealand	48.14	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Considers that an additional exception to rule NOISE-R1 is required to exclude recreational jetboating from this rule.	Amend <b>NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise [...]</b> as follows: <i>This rule does not apply to noise generated by</i> <b>1. [...]</b>  <b>10. <u>Activities of a limited duration by non-commercial motorised watercraft operating on the surface of waterbodies.</u></b>	Reject
Helicopters South Canterbury 2015 Ltd	53.18	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Supports the exemption for aircraft using airstrips and helicopter landing sites for activities in the rural zone that complies with GRUZ-R14.  But seeks an exemption to the provisions of NOISE-R1 for aircraft using airstrips and helicopter landing sites for activities in the Natural Open Space zone that complies with the rule proposed by NZAAA in this document (NOSZ).  [Refer original submission for full reason].	1. Amend <b>NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section</b> as follows:  <b>Activity status: Permitted Where:</b>  <b>PER-1</b> <i>NOISE-S1 is complied with; and</i>  <b>PER-2</b> <i>NOISE-S2 is complied with. This rule does not apply to noise generated by:</i> <b>1. [...]</b>  <b>10. <u>Aircraft using airstrips and helicopter landing sites for activities in the Natural Open Space zone that complies with NOSZ- (Rule numbering to be determined)</u></b>  AND  2. Include definitions of Helicopter Landing Area and Rural Airstrip as sought in other submission point.	Accept in part
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	86.9	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Supports the exemption for aircraft using airstrips and helicopter landing sites for activities in rural zones that complies with GRUZ-R14.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	131.14	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Support NOISE-R1 as it exempts any warning device used for emergency service purposes and for emergency and training purposes.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	132.22	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Supports the exemption for aircraft using airstrips and helicopter landing sites for activities in the rural zone that complies with GRUZ-R14.  Seek an exemption to the provisions of NOISE-R1 for aircraft using airstrips and helicopter landing sites for activities in the	Amend <b>NOISE-R1</b> as follows:  <b>NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise [...]</b> <b>Activity status: Permitted</b>  <b>Where:</b>	Accept in part

					Natural Open Space zone that complies with the rule proposed by NZAAA in this document (NOSZ). It is important that there is the ability to use aircraft for weed and pest control for conservation activities outside of the rural zones.	<p><b>PER-1</b> NOISE-S1 is complied with; and</p> <p><b>PER-2</b> NOISE-S2 is complied with.</p> <p>This rule does not apply to noise generated by: [...]</p> <p><u>10. Aircraft using airstrips and helicopter landing sites for activities in the Natural Open Space zone that complies with NOSZ- (Rule numbering to be determined).</u></p> <p>[...]</p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Add a new definition of 'helicopter landing area' and 'rural airstrip' as sought earlier in this submission.</p>	
Radio New Zealand Limited - Annabelle Lee Radio New Zealand Limited	152.51	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Supports Noise-R1 subject to confirmation that standby generators do not need to comply this rule. The continued operation of the submitter's Lifeline Utility relies on the use of such emergency generators.	Retain NOISE-R1.PER-2.8. subject to the confirmation sought in the submission, or otherwise provide an exemption for 'standby generator sets used to supply electricity only at times of electrical failure.	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.179	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Supports the intent of rule NOISE-R1. However, seeks clarification on the exceptions listed in performance standard PER-2.The district plan needs to provide for the continuance of existing, lawfully established activities such as farming.  [Refer to original submission for full reason]	<p>1. Amend <b>NOISE-R1</b> to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) clarify the application of the third exception under PER-2 and how the Council intends to apply and enforce this exception;</li> <li>b) Amend the definition of <b>farming</b> to include aircraft and helicopter movements where these are being used for operations as a part of farming on rural airstrips and landing areas;</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.</p>	Reject
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.37	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Supports application of this rule only to activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section. Noise from activities generated in the Port Zone is more appropriately controlled under Rule NOISE-R8 only.	Retain <b>NOISE-R1</b> as notified, which does not apply to noise generated within the Port Zone.	Accept in part
Horticulture New Zealand	245.99	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R1 Activities generating noise not otherwise specified in the Rules section	Considers the rule provides for a number of exclusions which are supported. Providing for normal seasonal horticultural activities is supported. Providing for use of airstrips and helicopter landing areas for activities in the rural zone is supported but changes are sought to GRUZ-R14.	Retain <b>NOISE-R1</b> subject to relief sought on GRUZ-R14.	Accept in part

Road Metals Company Limited	169.35	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R12 New noise sensitive activities, alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity or subdivision to accommodate a noise sensitive activity	Considers a new rule is necessary to address new sensitive activities in proximity to lawfully established quarries to be added to the PDP.	Amend <b>NOISE-R12 New noise sensitive activities, alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity or subdivision to accommodate a noise sensitive activity</b> as follows:  [...] <b>3.</b> <b><u>Within</u></b> <b><u>a. 200m of any lawfully established excavation area</u></b> <b><u>b. 500m of any lawfully established processing area</u></b> <b><u>c. 500m of any activity that involves blasting.</u></b> <b><u>Activity status when compliance not achieved: Discretionary</u></b>	Reject
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.37	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R12 New noise sensitive activities, alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity or subdivision to accommodate a noise sensitive activity	Considers a new rule is necessary to address new sensitive activities in proximity to lawfully established quarries.	Amend <b>NOISE-R12 New noise sensitive activities, alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity or subdivision to accommodate a noise sensitive activity</b> as follows:  [...] <b>3.</b> <b><u>Within</u></b> <b><u>a. 200m of any lawfully established excavation area</u></b> <b><u>b. 500m of any lawfully established processing area</u></b> <b><u>c. 500m of any activity that involves blasting.</u></b> <b><u>Activity status when compliance not achieved: Discretionary</u></b>	Reject
PrimePort Limited	175.68	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R12 New noise sensitive activities, alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity or subdivision to accommodate a noise sensitive activity	The rule appropriately provides a consenting pathway for establishing new noise sensitive activities in Medium Density Residential and City Centre zones within the Inner Control Boundary that is not overly restrictive but allows for full consideration of potential noise effects.	Retain <b>NOISE-R12.1</b> as notified.	Accept
Jet Boating New Zealand	48.15	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R2 Noise from temporary events	Considers that jet boating is a Temporary Event and therefore a temporary activity, hence there is no practical difference in noise generation between individual activities and an organised event.	Amend <b>NOISE-R2 Noise from temporary events</b> as follows:  After <b>PER-5</b> add, the following note:  <b><u>This rule does not apply to noise generated by:</u></b>  <b><u>1. Non-commercial temporary event motorised watercraft operating on the surface of waterbodies.</u></b>	Reject

New Zealand Defence Force	151.13	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R3 Noise from temporary military training activities	<p>Supports <b>NOISE-R3</b> in relation to the Permitted Activity Status, PER5 and the default activity status, as considers these provisions are appropriate.</p> <p>Support PER-1 but seeks amendment as it requires noise to be assessed in accordance with NZS6802:2008 which is not designed to assess impulse sound such as gunfire.</p> <p>Opposes PER-2 as the submitter has noise standards specific to TMTA that have been included in several District Plans and should be included.</p> <p>Seeks the addition of a note to PER-3 to clarify the application of this standard.</p> <p>Questions the need of the two RDIS and one NC activity status where compliance are not achieved with PER-1 to PER-5.</p> <p>Recommends one RDIS status is sufficient.</p>	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-R3</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>NOISE-R3 Noise from temporary military training activities Activity status:</b></p> <p><b>Permitted</b></p> <p>Where:</p> <p><b>PER-1</b></p> <p><del>NOISE-S1 is complied with; and except that NZS6802:2008 Acoustics - Environmental Noise shall not be used to assess noise from weapons firing and use of explosives.</del></p> <p><b>PER-2</b></p> <p><del>For fixed noise sources, NOISE-S2 is complied with; and Fixed (stationary) noise sources shall comply with the noise limits set out in the table below when measured at the notional boundary of any building housing a noise sensitive activity.</del></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1825 762 2567 1318"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Time (Monday to Sunday)</u></th> <th><u>LAeq (15 min)</u></th> <th><u>LAFmax</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>0700 to 1900 hours</u></td> <td><u>55 dB</u></td> <td><u>n.a</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>1900 to 2200 hours</u></td> <td><u>50 dB</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>2200 to 0700 hours</u></td> <td><u>45 dB</u></td> <td><u>75 dB</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>the next day</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><del>Note: Fixed (stationary) noise sources (other than firing of weapons and explosives) include power generation, heating, ventilation or air conditioning systems, or water or wastewater pumping/treatment systems.</del></p> <p><b>PER-3</b></p> <p>Any mobile noise sources must comply with the noise limits set out in Tables 2 and 3 of NZS6803:1999 Acoustics - Construction Noise, with reference to 'construction noise' taken to refer to a mobile noise source; and</p> <p><del>Note: Mobile noise sources (other than firing of weapons and explosives) include personnel, light and heavy vehicles, self-propelled equipment, earthmoving equipment.</del></p> <p><b>PER-4</b></p> <p>Weapons firing and/or the use of explosives must:</p>	<u>Time (Monday to Sunday)</u>	<u>LAeq (15 min)</u>	<u>LAFmax</u>	<u>0700 to 1900 hours</u>	<u>55 dB</u>	<u>n.a</u>	<u>1900 to 2200 hours</u>	<u>50 dB</u>		<u>2200 to 0700 hours</u>	<u>45 dB</u>	<u>75 dB</u>	<u>the next day</u>			Accept in part
<u>Time (Monday to Sunday)</u>	<u>LAeq (15 min)</u>	<u>LAFmax</u>																				
<u>0700 to 1900 hours</u>	<u>55 dB</u>	<u>n.a</u>																				
<u>1900 to 2200 hours</u>	<u>50 dB</u>																					
<u>2200 to 0700 hours</u>	<u>45 dB</u>	<u>75 dB</u>																				
<u>the next day</u>																						



						<p>1. occur between 7am and 7pm, and achieve either a 500m minimum separation distance to, or a peak sound pressure level of 95 dBC when measured within the notional boundary of, any building containing a noise sensitive activity; and</p> <p>2. occur between 7pm and 7am, and achieve either a 1250m minimum separation distance to, or a peak sound pressure level of 85 dBC when measured within the notional boundary of, any building containing a noise sensitive activity; and</p> <p>3. be notified to the Council, including details of the nature, duration and scale of activity, and any consultation that has been undertaken, at least 5 working days prior to the activity occurring; and</p> <p><b>PER-5</b></p> <p>Helicopter landing areas must comply with NZS6807:1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Areas.</p> <p><del>Activity status when compliance not achieved with PER-2: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion are restricted to:</del></p> <p><del>the matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</del></p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved with <b>PER-1, PER-2, PER-3, PER-4 or PER-5: Restricted Discretionary</b></p> <p><b>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the level, duration and nature of the noise being generated; and</li> <li>proximity and nature of nearby activities and the adverse effects they may experience from the noise; and</li> <li>the existing noise environment; and</li> <li>effects on amenity values and anticipated character of the receiving environment; and</li> <li>effects on health and well-being of people; and</li> <li>any noise reduction measures; and</li> <li>the practicality of mitigating noise or utilising alternative sites.</li> </ol> <p><del>8. any benefits of the activity to health and safety and the wellbeing of people and communities.</del></p> <p><del>Activity status when compliance not achieved with PER-1: Non-complying</del></p>		
Fulton Hogan Limited	Hogan	170.36	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R4 Construction noise	<p>Requests an amendment as per the Auckland Unity Plan to ensure construction in the road does not exceed the noise limits in NZS6803:1999 provided that the works are for less than three nights at any one receiver, provided that noise management is in place. The submitter requests this approach be taken to provide for necessary road works without the need for a resource consent.</p>	<p>Amend Rule <b>NOISE-R4 Construction noise</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>Activity status: Permitted Where:</b></p> <p><b>PER-1</b></p> <p>The noise from construction activities undertaken on a site must be measured, assessed, managed and controlled to comply with the requirements of New Zealand Standards NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics - Construction Noise.</p> <p><b>PER-2</b></p>	Reject



						<p><u>The noise levels specified in PER-1 do not apply to unplanned repair or maintenance works or planned works in the road between the hours of 10pm and 7am where:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>The number of nights where the noise generated by the works exceeds the relevant noise levels at any one receiver is three nights or less and</u></li> <li>2. <u>the works cannot practicably be carried out during the day or because the road controlling authority requires this work to be done at night time; or</u></li> <li>3. <u>because of the nature of the works the noise produced cannot be practicably be made to comply with the relevant noise levels; and</u></li> <li>4. <u>a construction noise and vibration management plan is provided to the Council no less than five days prior to the works commencing.</u></li> </ol>	
Horticulture New Zealand	245.93	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R5 Noise from bird scaring devices	<p>Supports a permitted activity for audible bird scaring devices and an RDA where the standards cannot be met. However, a peak measure is not the most appropriate measure instead. 65dBSEL should be used. PER-3 is not effects based. The limitations of 7am- 8pm do not recognise that bird activity occurs from before sunrise to just after sunset. Therefore the times do not provide for adequate protection of crops.</p> <p>[refer to original submission for full reasons]</p>	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-R5 Noise from bird scaring devices</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>Activity status: Permitted Where:</b></p> <p><b>PER-1</b>  <u>NOISE-S1 is complied with; and</u></p> <p><b>PER-2</b>  <u>Noise from any bird scaring device either:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>must not exceed a 70dBC peak or un-weighted level measured within the notional boundary of any noise sensitive activity on any adjoining site under different ownership, and the device must not be used at a frequency of more than 12 times per hour; or</u></li> <li>2. <u>must not exceed an 85dBC peak or un-weighted level within the notional boundary of any adjoining noise sensitive activity on any site under different ownership, and the device must not be used at a frequency of more than 6 times per hour;</u></li> </ol> <p><u>Noise from any bird scaring device must not exceed 65dB at any point within the notional boundary of any habitable room on another site in the Rural Zone or at any point within a Residential Zone (excluding any dwelling/s located on the same site as the device is being operated), unless the adjacent landowner has provided written approval to the activity and a copy has been provided to the Council.</u></p> <p><b>PER-3</b>  <u>Bird scaring devices must be oriented with the direction of fire facing away from any noise sensitive activity on any adjoining site under different ownership; and</u></p> <p><u>Discrete sound events of a bird scaring device including shots or audible sound must not exceed 3 events within a 1-minute period and must be limited to a total of 12 individual events per hour.</u></p> <p><b>PER-4</b>  <u>Bird scaring devices must only be used between ½ hour before sunrise and ½ hour after sunset. 7am and 8pm on any calendar day.</u></p> <p><b>Activity status when compliance not achieved with PER-1, PER-2, PER-3 or PER-4: Restricted Discretionary</b></p> <p><b>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</b></p>	Accept in part

						<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. the level, hours of operation, duration and character of the noise; and</li> <li>2. proximity and nature of nearby activities and the adverse effects they may experience from the noise; and</li> <li>3. the existing noise environment; and</li> <li>4. effects on amenity values and anticipated character of the receiving environment; and</li> <li>5. effects on health and well-being of people; and</li> <li>6. any noise reduction measures; and</li> <li>7. the practicality of mitigating noise.</li> </ol> <p><b>Activity status when compliance not achieved with PER-1: Non-complying</b></p>	
Fonterra Limited	165.112	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port Zone	Supports this rule but notes that part of the Port Zone (to the south) is not covered by either of the noise control boundaries and therefore no rule appears to apply. It is considered a further permitted status is required.	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port</b> as follows:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p><b>PER-4</b></p> <p><i>For any activity within the Port zone but outside of the Port Noise Control Boundaries shown on the planning maps, the following noise limit applies: on any day between 10pm to 7am the following day, noise generated must not exceed 45 dB LAeq (9 hours) when measured at or within any residentially zoned site, provided that any single 15 minute sound measurement level must not exceed 50 dB LAeq and 75 dB LAmax.</i></p>	Accept in part

PrimePort Limited	175.66	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port Zone	<p>Provision for the management of noise from activities within the Port Zone via a specific rule is supported, given the distinctive circumstances of the Port of Timaru, being regionally significant infrastructure that requires 24 hour operation. It is also appropriate that noise from core Port activities is measured via NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port Noise Management and Land use Planning, as that standard was developed specifically to address the particular characteristics and circumstances of Port noise.</p> <p>However, the rule as drafted has several issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the Port Noise Control Boundaries (Inner and Outer) are only intended to apply outside the Port Zone</li> <li>- The Port Noise Control Boundaries were modelled based on Port noise generation from within Precinct 7 only</li> <li>- There appears to be no noise rule applying to Port Zone activities that sit outside the Port Noise Control Boundaries, but inside the Port Zone</li> <li>- The measurement of industrial and other noise within the Port Zone (i.e. non-Port industrial and other activity occurring outside Precinct 7) is more appropriately measured under NZS 6801:2008 Acoustics - Measurement of environmental sound, and assessed in accordance with NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics - Environmental noise.</li> </ul>	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port Zone</b> as follows:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p><b>PER-1</b></p> <p><u>Within Precinct 7, the maximum noise generated from activities is measured in accordance with NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port Noise Management and Land Use Planning; and</u></p> <p><b>PER-2</b></p> <p><u>Except Precinct 7, NOISE-S1 is complied with; and</u></p> <p><b>PER-2 3</b></p> <p>When measured at any point <u>outside the Port Zone</u>, at or landward of the Port Noise Inner control boundary shown on the planning maps, the following noise limits apply <u>within Precinct 7</u>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. the 5 day Ldn noise limit must not exceed 65 dB Ldn;</li> <li>2. LAeq 'night' (10pm to 7am) must not exceed 60 dB LAeq (9hours) provided that no single 15 minute measurement will exceed 65 dB LAeq and 85dBA LAmax</li> </ol> <p><b>PER-3 4</b></p> <p>When measured at any point <u>outside the Port Zone</u>, at or landward of the Port noise outer control boundary shown on the planning maps, the following noise limit applies <u>within Precinct 7</u>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. on any day between 10pm to 7am the following day, noise generated must not exceed 52 dB LAeq (9hours) provided that no single 15 minute sound measurement level must not exceed 57 dB LAeq and 77 dB LAmax;</li> </ol> <p><b>PER-5</b></p> <p><u>Except Precinct 7, NOISE-S2 is complied with for the following zones only:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>1. General Residential Zone;</u></li> <li><u>2. Medium Density Residential Zone;</u></li> <li><u>3. Mixed Use Zone;</u></li> <li><u>4. Central City Commercial.</u></li> </ol> <p>Note: For the purpose of Port Noise, daytime is defined as 7am to 10pm on any day, and night time is defined as 10pm to 7am the following day.</p>	Accept in part
Property Income Fund No.2 Limited	56.1	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port Zone	<p>Support the rule but note that part of the Port Zone (to the south) is not covered by either of the noise control boundaries and therefore no rule appears to apply. It is considered a further permitted status is required.</p>	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port Zone</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>Activity status: Permitted Where:</b></p> <p>[...] <b>PER-4</b></p> <p><u>For any activity within the Port zone but outside of the Port Noise Control Boundaries shown on the planning maps, the following noise limit applies:</u></p>	Accept in part

						<u>on any day between 10pm to 7am the following day, noise generated must not exceed 45 dB LAeq (9 hours) when measured at or within any residentially zoned site, provided that any single 15 minute sound measurement level must not exceed 50 dB LAeq and 75 dB LAmaz;</u>	
Lineage Logistics NZ Limited	107.18	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port Zone	Supports noise generation provisions for activities within the Port Zone and consider them efficient and effective.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.143	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port Zone	Submits that the Regional Coastal Environment Plan also includes noise provisions for the Port Activity Area and a better understanding of the integration of the proposed rules with the provisions in the RCEP Rule 8.21 is necessary.	Consider amendments to <b>NOISE-R8</b> to ensure alignment, where possible, with Rule 8.21 of the Canterbury Regional Coastal Environment Plan.	Reject
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.38	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port Zone	<p>Provision for the management of noise from activities within the Port Zone via a specific rule is supported, given the distinctive circumstances of the Port of Timaru, being regionally significant infrastructure that requires 24-hour operation. It is also appropriate that noise from core Port activities is measured via NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port Noise Management and Land use Planning, as that standard was developed specifically to address the particular characteristics and circumstances of Port noise.</p> <p>However, the rule as drafted has several issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the Port Noise Control Boundaries (Inner and Outer) are only intended to apply outside the Port Zone</li> <li>- The Port Noise Control Boundaries were modelled based on Port noise generation from within Precinct 7 only</li> <li>- There appears to be no noise rule applying to Port Zone activities that sit outside the Port Noise Control Boundaries, but inside the Port Zone</li> <li>- The measurement of industrial and other noise within the Port Zone (i.e. non-Port industrial and other activity occurring outside Precinct 7) is more appropriately measured under NZS 6801:2008 Acoustics - Measurement of environmental sound, and assessed in accordance with NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics - Environmental noise.</li> </ul>	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-R8 Noise from activities within the Port Zone</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>PER-1</b></p> <p><u>Within Precinct 7, the maximum noise generated from activities is measured in accordance with NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port Noise Management and Land Use Planning; and</u></p> <p><b>PER-2</b></p> <p><u>Except Precinct 7, NOISE-S1 is complied with; and</u></p> <p><b>PER-2 3</b></p> <p>When measured at any point <u>outside the Port Zone</u>, at or landward of the Port Noise Inner control boundary shown on the planning maps, the following noise limits apply <u>within Precinct 7</u>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. the 5 day Ldn noise limit must not exceed 65 dB Ldn;</li> <li>4. LAeq 'night' (10pm to 7am) must not exceed 60 dB LAeq (9hours) provided that no single 15 minute measurement will exceed 65 dB LAeq and 85dBA LAmaz</li> </ol> <p><b>PER-3 4</b></p> <p>When measured at any point <u>outside the Port Zone</u>, at or landward of the Port noise outer control boundary shown on the planning maps, the following noise limit applies <u>within Precinct 7</u>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. on any day between 10pm to 7am the following day, noise generated must not exceed 52 dB LAeq (9hours) provided that no single 15 minute sound measurement level must not exceed 57 dB LAeq and 77 dB LAmaz;</li> </ol> <p><b>PER-5</b></p> <p><u>Except Precinct 7, NOISE-S2 is complied with for the following zones only:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>General Residential Zone;</u></li> <li>2. <u>Medium Density Residential Zone;</u></li> <li>3. <u>Mixed Use Zone;</u></li> <li>4. <u>Central City Commercial.</u></li> </ol>	Accept in part

						Note: For the purpose of Port Noise, daytime is defined as 7am to 10pm on any day, and night time is defined as 10pm to 7am the following day.	
Fonterra Limited	165.113	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Considers that the Clandeboye NCB should be provided for in R9. Furthermore, that a noncompliance with NOISE-R9 should result in a non-complying status.	Amend <b>NOISE-R9 Any new building ....</b> as follows: Add ' <u>Clandeboye Noise Control Boundary</u> ' to the left column. <b>Activity status when compliance not achieved with PER-1.1 or PER-2: <u>Non-complying Restricted Discretionary</u></b> <del>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</del> <del>1. the matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</del> <del>2. for activities in breach PER-2, the matters of discretion of <b>NOISE-S3.</b></del>	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.106	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Considers it is appropriate to require new noise sensitive activities to be designed to mitigate noise where they locate near existing sources of noise, such as industrial zones.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.108	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	It is appropriate to require new noise sensitive activities to be designed to mitigate noise where they locate near existing sources of noise, such as industrial zones.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.72	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Oppose NOISE-R9 to the extent that it applies to alterations to existing buildings. Considers the rule should only apply to new buildings.  [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend <b>NOISE-R9</b> so it does not apply to alterations to existing buildings.	Accept in part
PrimePort Limited	175.67	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	The rule is appropriate to manage both potential adverse noise effects on inhabitants from regionally significant infrastructure (in the case of the Port) and potential reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.118	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Supports the intent of such a rule but seeks amendments to address reverse sensitive concerns with the state highway distances, PER-1, and parts of PER-2 to ensure human health is protected from noise effects from the state highway.  An alternative option to consider is variable noise contours which could be implemented as a state highway noise control overlay. It is anticipated that these will be available by the further submission stage.  [Refer to original submission for detail]	Amend <b>NOISE-R9</b> by:  1. For <b>the spatial area this rule applies in relation to State highway</b> either: a. increase the distance from the state highway in posted speeds of greater than 50km/h to 100m for State Highway 1; or b. Use the variable noise contour approach which the submitter expect to introduce to Council as part of the further submission process.  AND  2. Exclude road noise from <b>PER-1.2</b> . AND  3. Amend <b>PER-2.b</b> to replace '20m' with '50m'.	Reject
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.53	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Considers the potential noise risk could be much lower than indicated in the Background Report from Malcom Hunt and the rules could be excessive. There is limited land that is suitable for buildings and 'noise sensitive activities' within the Māori Purpose Zone. There are also increased infrastructure costs to running services to buildings further away from the road, as well as the costs of insulating or bringing an acoustic expert into the district for an assessment.  [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Review the rule by engaging an acoustic expert to assess the generated noise, vehicle speeds and times it is generated on the state highway and railway networks and based on that assessment re- assess if the rules are protecting human health at their current setbacks. The Council should also re-assess if the State Highway at the Māori Purpose Zone has the correct speed limit as iwi have asked for the speed to be reduced.	Reject
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.77	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Seeks the amendment and inclusion of noise and vibration controls requiring acoustic insulation and ventilation to be installed in new (or altered) sensitive uses within 100m of the railway corridor because noise and vibration can create adverse health and amenity effects, and an impact on the internal amenity of a building.  Also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network.	Amend <b>NOISE-R9</b> as follows:  <b>Any site within 40m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit of 50 km/hr or less Any site within 80m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit greater than 50 km/hr Any site within 100m <del>40m</del> of the railway line</b>  [...] <b>Activity status: Permitted Where:</b>  <b>PER-1</b>  <i>The building <u>or alteration to an existing building</u> is acoustically insulated and ventilated in accordance with:</i>  1. NOISE-S3 and NOISE-S4; and  2. the acoustic insulation must be assessed in accordance with ISO 717-1:2020 Acoustics — Rating of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements — Part 1: Airborne sound insulation, <u>excluding acoustic insulation installed to address rail noise</u> ; or  <b>PER-2</b>  <i>An acoustic design certificate signed by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer demonstrates either:</i>  a. [...]  b. the building is at least <u>50 <del>20</del></u> metres from all roads subject to the standard and/or the railway line and there is a solid building, fence, wall or landform that completely	Reject



						blocks the line-of-sight from all parts of all windows and doors to all parts of any road surface subject to the standard, or all points above 3.8 metres for railway track.  <b><u>PER-3</u></b>  <b><u>Any new building or alteration to existing building containing an activity sensitive to noise, closer than 60 metres from the boundary of a railway network is designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with NOISE-S7.</u></b>	
GJH Rooney	191.72	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Oppose NOISE-R9 to the extent that it applies to alterations to existing buildings. Considers the rule should only apply to new buildings.  [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend <b>NOISE-R9</b> so it does not apply to alterations to existing buildings.	Accept in part
Foodstuffs South Island Limited	193.8	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Considers the rule does not implement NOISE-O2 as it does not provide protection for existing noisy activities, on the zone boundary. In particular, the rule does not apply to the location of residential dwellings adjacent to the existing Pak 'n Save supermarket at Northlands within the Local Centre Zone. An acoustic assessment commissioned by the Timaru District Council displays that the supermarket creates a high noise environment and the establishment of residential dwellings adjacent to the supermarket causes potential for reverse sensitivity effects on the supermarket if the dwellings are not adequately insulated.	Amend the spatial area that applies to <b>Noise-R9</b> as follows:  <b><i>Any site within 40m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit of 50 km/hr or less [...]</i></b>  <b><i>Outer Control boundary of the Port Noise Control Overlay</i></b>  <b><u>Any site within the Medium Density Residential Zone at 18A Hobbs Street within 40m of the boundary of the adjacent Local Centre Zone.</u></b>	Reject
Kāinga Ora	229.59	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	While the submitter recognises that noise generating activities can have adverse effects on amenity, it is considered that the rule as drafted is broad and may unnecessarily restrict activities where effects can be appropriately managed.	Amend <b>NOISE-R9</b> as follows:  <b><del>NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)</del></b>  <b><del>Any site within 40m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit of 50km/hr or less</del></b>  <b><del>Any site within 80m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit greater than 50km/hr Any site within 40m of the railway line</del></b>  <b><i>Neighbourhood Centre Zone Local Centre Zone</i></b>  <b><i>Large Format Retail Zone Mixed Use Zone</i></b>  <b><i>Town Centre Zone City Centre Zone</i></b>  <b><i>General Residential zone within 20m of the boundary with an Industrial zone</i></b> <b><i>Medium Residential zone within 20m of the boundary with an Industrial zone</i></b>  <b><i>Outer Control boundary of the Port Noise Control Overlay</i></b>  [...]	Reject

Rooney Group Limited	249.72	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Oppose NOISE-R9 to the extent that it applies to alterations to existing buildings. Considers the rule should only apply to new buildings.  [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend <b>NOISE-R9</b> so it does not apply to alterations to existing buildings.	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.72	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Oppose NOISE-R9 to the extent that it applies to alterations to existing buildings. Considers the rule should only apply to new buildings.  [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend <b>NOISE-R9</b> so it does not apply to alterations to existing buildings.	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.72	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Oppose NOISE-R9 to the extent that it applies to alterations to existing buildings. Considers the rule should only apply to new buildings.  [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend <b>NOISE-R9</b> so it does not apply to alterations to existing buildings.	Accept in part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.72	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	Oppose NOISE-R9 to the extent that it applies to alterations to existing buildings. Considers the rule should only apply to new buildings.  [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend <b>NOISE-R9</b> so it does not apply to alterations to existing buildings.	Accept in part
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.10	NOISE - Noise	Rules	NOISE-R9 Any new building for use by a noise sensitive activity and alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity (not listed in NOISE-R12)	The rule is generally supported, but the rule does not give effect to the NPS-HPL insofar as priority is not given to land based primary production land uses on highly productive land by not appropriately managing reverse sensitivity effects on land based primary production activities on highly productive land.	1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.  AND 2. Amend the spatial area (first column) of <b>NOISE-R9</b> as follows:  <b><i>Any site within 40m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit of 50 km/hr or less [...]</i></b>  <b><i>Outer Control boundary of the Port Noise Control Overlay</i></b>  <b><i>Any noise sensitive activity located in the rural zone and also within 300m of an existing or consented frost fan</i></b>	Accept in part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.80	NOISE - Noise	Standards	New	Seeks a new standard relating to indoor railway vibration. This standard is designed to protect the rail corridor from reverse sensitivity effects and provide an appropriate level of amenity for occupants that neighbour the rail corridor.	Add the following new Standard to the <b>NOISE Chapter</b> :  <b><i>NOISE-S7 Indoor railway vibration</i></b>	Reject

						<p><u>1. Any new buildings or alterations to existing buildings containing an activity sensitive to noise, closer than 60 metres from the boundary of a railway network:</u></p> <p>a) <u>is designed, constructed and maintained to achieve rail vibration levels not exceeding 0.3 mm/s vw,95 or</u></p> <p>b) <u>is a single-storey framed residential building with:</u></p> <p><u>I. a constant level floor slab on a full-surface vibration isolation bearing with natural frequency not exceeding 10 Hz, installed in accordance with the supplier's instructions and recommendations; and</u></p> <p><u>II. vibration isolation separating the sides of the floor slab from the ground; and</u></p> <p><u>III. no rigid connections between the building and the ground.</u></p> <p><u>2. A report is submitted to the council demonstrating compliance with the above prior to the construction or alteration of any building containing an activity sensitive to vibration.</u></p> <p><b><u>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u></b></p> <p><u>1. Whether the activity sensitive to vibration could be located further from the railway network.</u></p> <p><u>2. The extent to which the vibration criteria are achieved and the effects of any non-compliance.</u></p> <p><u>3. The character of, and degree of, amenity provided by the existing environment and proposed activity.</u></p> <p><u>4. The outcome of any consultation with KiwiRail.</u></p> <p><b><u>Notification:</u></b></p> <p><u>Application for resource consent under this rule will be decided without public notification. KiwiRail are likely to be the only affected person determined in accordance with section 95B of the Resource Management Act 1991</u></p>	
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.11	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S2 Noise limits	The standard is generally supported but, the standard does not give effect to the NPS-HPL insofar as priority is not given to land based primary production land uses on highly productive land by not appropriately managing reverse sensitivity effects on land based primary production activities on highly productive land.	<p>1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Amend <b>NOISE-S2 Noise limits</b> as follows:</p> <p><i>Any activity must comply with the noise limits set out in Table 24 - Noise Performance Standards, at any site in separate ownership, <u>excluding frost fans which must comply with NOISE-SX/RX Frost fans.</u></i></p>	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.114	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation	Given that NOISE-S3 only relates to NOISE-R9, Submitters are seeking that the non-compliance status be amended to non-complying (rather than restricted discretionary), it is not necessary to list the matters of discretion.	Delete the matters of discretion from <b>NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation.</b>	Reject

PrimePort Limited	175.70	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation	Requirements for acoustic insulation in new or altered buildings for noise sensitive activities within the Outer Control Boundary for the Port Noise Control Overlay will help reduce the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on the Port Zone, and assist with mitigating potential adverse noise effects on noise sensitive activities.	Retain <b>NOISE-S3.2</b> as notified.	Accept in part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.119	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation	<p>As per the submission point on NOISE-R9 - PER-1, there is concern over the approach used. It is recommended that the standard be updated to reflect the resulting noise inside of a habitable space as it is an effects based approach. There is also concern that the matters of discretion provide allowance for non-compliance without addressing the adverse effect.</p> <p>In addition to above, vibration and outdoor noise have not been recognised within this standard. These additional factors that could have an impact on human health unless reverse sensitivity is appropriately addressed.</p>	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-S3</b> as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Remove road-traffic from NOISE-S3.1 AND</li> <li>2. Insert a new section as NOISE-S.3 requiring internal levels in habitable rooms of 40 dB LAeq(24h), external levels of 57 dB LAeq(24h) in outdoor living spaces, and within 20m of a state highway vibration limit of 0.3 mm/s vw95. Insert a new clause that compliance to be demonstrated by design certificate. AND</li> <li>3. Delete matters of discretion from NOISE-S3.3 and replace with a single matter of discretion being the effects of exceedances.</li> </ol>	Reject
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.78	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation	<p>Considers that for rail noise, the requirement to achieve a minimum internal noise level for habitable rooms allows for a more flexible, room-specific approach based on exposure to the noise source. Alternatively, the external to internal noise reduction (which takes a more blanket approach) could result in the over-designing of buildings and under-designing of more exposed buildings.</p> <p>Seeks amendment to provide a specific rule clause for habitable rooms in a new building or altered building within 100m of the rail corridor.</p>	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation</b> as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b><i>Within 40m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit of 50 km/hr or less</i></b> <b><i>Within 80m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit greater than 50 km/hr</i></b> <b><i>Within 40m of a railway line</i></b></li> </ol> <p>[...]</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. <b><i>Within 100m of a railway line</i></b></li> </ol> <p>1. <u>Any habitable room in a new building used for a noise sensitive activity, or an alteration to an existing building that changes its use to a noise sensitive activity:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <u>is designed, constructed and maintained to achieve indoor noise levels resulting from the railway not exceeding 35 dB LAeq(1h); or</u></li> <li>b. <u>is a single-storey framed residential building with habitable rooms designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with the construction schedule in Table 25 - Minimum construction requirements for external building elements of habitable rooms to achieve an advanced level of acoustic insulation.</u></li> </ol> <p>2. <u>A report is submitted to the council demonstrating compliance with the above prior to the construction or alteration of any building containing an activity sensitive to noise.</u></p> <p><b><i>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</i></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>Whether the activity sensitive to noise could be located further from the railway network.</u></li> <li>2. <u>The extent to which the noise criteria are achieved and the effects of any non-compliance.</u></li> </ol>	Reject

						<p>3. <u>The character of, and degree of, amenity provided by the existing environment and proposed activity.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The outcome of any consultation with KiwiRail.</u></p> <p><b><u>Notification:</u></b></p> <p><u>Application for resource consent under this rule will be decided without public notification. KiwiRail are likely to be the only affected person determined in accordance with section 95B of the Resource Management Act 1991.</u></p>	
Kāinga Ora	229.60	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation	While the submitter recognises that noise generating activities can have adverse effects on amenity, it is considered that the rule as drafted is broad and may unnecessarily restrict activities where effects can be appropriately managed.	<p>Amend <b>NOISE-S3</b> as follows:</p> <p><b><i>NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation</i></b></p> <p><del><i>Within 40m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit of 50 km/hr or less</i></del></p> <p><del><i>Within 80m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit greater than 50 km/hr</i></del></p> <p><del><i>Within 40m of a railway line</i></del> <b>Large Format Retail Zone Town Centre Zone</b></p> <p><b>City Centre Zone</b></p> <p>[...].</p>	Reject
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.12	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation	The standard is generally supported, but the standard does not give effect to the NPS-HPL insofar as priority is not given to land based primary production land uses on highly productive land by not appropriately managing reverse sensitivity effects on land based primary production activities on highly productive land.	<p>1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Amend the spatial area (first column) <b>NOISE-S3 Acoustic insulation</b> as follows:</p> <p>1.</p> <p><del><i>Within 40m of a State Highway with a posted speed limit of 50 km/hr or less [...]</i></del></p> <p><b>City Centre Zone</b></p> <p><del><i>Any noise sensitive activity located in the rural zone and also within 300m of an existing or consented frost fan</i></del></p>	Accept in part
Fonterra Limited	165.115	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S4 Ventilation requirements	Given that NOISE-S4 only relates to NOISE-R9, Submitters are seeking that the non-compliance status be amended to non-complying (rather than restricted discretionary), it is not necessary to list the matters of discretion.	Delete the matters of discretion from <b>NOISE-S4 Ventilation requirements</b> .	Reject

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.120	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S4 Ventilation requirements	Supported, but recommend that it be amended to recognise and provide for thermal comfort and cooling requirements for all habitable rooms. There is also concern that the matters of discretion provide allowance for non-compliance without addressing the adverse effects	Amend <b>NOISE-S4</b> as follows:  <b>NOISE-S4 Ventilation requirements All Zones</b>  <i>1. The minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-S3 must be achieved at the same time as the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be provided within <u>all habitable rooms</u> <del>any study or bedroom</del> unless an acoustic design certificate signed by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer is provided that states the design of any bedroom or any study as proposed will comply with the NOISE-S3 acoustic insulation standards with windows open.</i>  <i>2. Ventilation systems where installed must generate sound levels <u>and temperatures</u> not exceeding:</i>  <i>a. 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and</i>  <i>b. provide an adjustable airflow rate of up to at least 6 air changes per hour <u>and</u></i>  <i>c. <u>Maintain a temperature that does not exceed 25°C</u> [...]</i>	Reject
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.79	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S4 Ventilation requirements	Supports a standard for mechanical ventilation. Amendments sought to ensure that the standard aligns with other relief sought by the Submitter and to ensure ventilation provides controllable cooling and heating to maintain an appropriate room temperature.  Also amend the matters of discretion to relate specifically to the required mechanical ventilation and compliance with the standard only. Matters 1, 3 and 4 are not considered appropriate.	Amend <b>NOISE-S4 Ventilation requirements</b> as follows:  <i>1. The <del>requirements of minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in</del> NOISE-S3 must be achieved at the same time as the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be provided within any <u>habitable room</u> <del>study or bedroom</del> unless an acoustic design certificate signed by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer is provided that states the design of any bedroom or any study as proposed will comply with the NOISE-S3 acoustic insulation standards with windows open.</i>  <i>2. Ventilation systems where installed must <del>generate sound levels not exceeding</del>:</i>  <i>a. provide cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside temperature between 18°C and 25°C;</i>  <i><del>a.</del> b. not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and</i>  <i><del>b.</del> c. provide an adjustable airflow rate of up to at least 6 air changes per hour.</i>  <b>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</b>  <i>1. <del>effects on the ability of existing or permitted activities to operate or establish without undue constraint; and</del></i>  <i>2. the effects of the non-compliance; and</i>  <i>3. <del>the ability to provide the appropriate levels of ventilation through other means; and</del></i>  <i>4. <del>the amenity of present and future residents of the site.</del></i>  <b>Note:</b> This standard applies in addition to, and does not affect the requirements of, the Building Act 2004.	Accept in part
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.13	NOISE - Noise	Standards	NOISE-S4 Ventilation requirements	Supports healthy dwelling spaces while avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on land based primary production on highly productive soils.	1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.  AND/OR  2. Retain as notified.	Reject



Fonterra Limited	165.116	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise Performance Standards	Clauses 1b and 2 appear to have missed the fact that the Port Zone extends south to the south where it is opposite but separated from General and Medium Density Residential Zones.	Amend <b>Table 24</b> , clauses 1b and 2 as follows:  <i>1b. Within any part of a site in the General Residential Zone <u>except that this standard shall not apply to noise generated from within the Port Zone.</u></i>  <i>2. Within any part of a site in the Medium Density Residential Zone, but, <u>except that this standard shall not apply to where noise is generated from within the Port Zone, excluding those sites located between the Terrace and the Main South Railway Line.</u></i>	Reject									
Fonterra Limited	165.116A	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise Performance Standards	Clause 4 indicates that it is the intention of the Plan to address noise from the Port Zone separately.	Retain clause 4.	Accept in part									
Hilton Haulage Limited Partnership	168.9	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise Performance Standards	Considers the GIZ should be for the noisiest activities and noise limits are only appropriate along the zone boundary, hence request reference to GIZ be removed from the table.	Amend reference to the General Industrial Zone within <b>Table 24.3</b> as follows:  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3.  Within any part of a site in the following zones:  a. Large Format Retail Zone  b. Town Centre Zone  c. City Centre Zone  d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del></td> <td>7.00am - 10.00pm</td> <td>65 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>10.00pm - 7.00am</td> <td>65 dB LAeq (15 min)  75 dB LAFmax</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location	3.  Within any part of a site in the following zones:  a. Large Format Retail Zone  b. Town Centre Zone  c. City Centre Zone  d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del>	7.00am - 10.00pm	65 dB LAeq (15 min)		10.00pm - 7.00am	65 dB LAeq (15 min)  75 dB LAFmax	Accept in part
Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location														
3.  Within any part of a site in the following zones:  a. Large Format Retail Zone  b. Town Centre Zone  c. City Centre Zone  d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del>	7.00am - 10.00pm	65 dB LAeq (15 min)														
	10.00pm - 7.00am	65 dB LAeq (15 min)  75 dB LAFmax														
Barkers Fruit Processors Limited	179.23	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise performance standards	Noise limit controls are considered appropriate along the zone boundary with sensitive zones or at the notional boundary of noise sensitive activities in other zones. However, the principle of an in-zone noise limit in the GIZ is opposed.	Amend reference to the General Industrial Zone within <b>Table 24.3</b> as follows:  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3.  Within any part of a site in the following zones:  a. Large Format Retail Zone  b. Town Centre Zone  c. City Centre Zone  d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del></td> <td>7.00am - 10.00pm</td> <td>65 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>10.00pm - 7.00am</td> <td>65 dB LAeq (15 min)  75 dB LAFmax</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location	3.  Within any part of a site in the following zones:  a. Large Format Retail Zone  b. Town Centre Zone  c. City Centre Zone  d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del>	7.00am - 10.00pm	65 dB LAeq (15 min)		10.00pm - 7.00am	65 dB LAeq (15 min)  75 dB LAFmax	Accept in part
Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location														
3.  Within any part of a site in the following zones:  a. Large Format Retail Zone  b. Town Centre Zone  c. City Centre Zone  d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del>	7.00am - 10.00pm	65 dB LAeq (15 min)														
	10.00pm - 7.00am	65 dB LAeq (15 min)  75 dB LAFmax														

Property Income Fund No.2 Limited	56.2	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise Performance Standards	Considers there is no recognition that the Port Zone extends south, to the south where it is opposite but separated from General and Medium Density Residential Zone.	Amend <b>Table 24 Noise performance standards</b> as follows: 1 [...] <i>b. Within any part of a site in the General Residential Zone <u>except that this standard shall not apply to noise generated from within the Port Zone.</u></i>  2. Within any part of a site in the Medium Density Residential Zone, <del>but</del> , <u>except that this standard shall not apply to where noise is generated from within the Port Zone, excluding those sites located between the Terrace and the Main South Railway Line.</u>	Reject
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.39	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise performance standards	Notes that the land referred to is zone Port Zone, not General Industrial.	Amend <b>Table 24 - Noise performance standards</b> as follows:  Retain:  3. Within any part of a site in the Medium Density Residential Zone, but, where noise is generated from within the Port Zone, excluding those sites located between the Terrace and the Main South Railway Line.  AND  Amend:  3. Within any part of a site in the following zones:  a. Large Format Retail Zone  b. Town Centre Zone  c. City Centre Zone  d. General Industrial Zone, <del>excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del>  [...]	Accept
North Meadows 2021 Limited and Thompson Engineering (2002) Limited	190.13	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise performance standards	Opposes the 'in-zone' noise limit for the GIZ. Noise limit controls are considered appropriate along the zone boundary with sensitive zones or at the notional boundary of noise sensitive activities in other zones.	Amend <b>Table 24 Noise performance standards</b> as follows:  <b>Receiving zone and assessment location [...]</b>  3.  Within any part of a site in the following zones:  1. Large Format Retail Zone  2. Town Centre Zone  3. City Centre Zone  <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del>	Accept in part
Foodstuffs South Island Limited	193.9	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise Performance Standards	Considers the rule does not implement NOISE-O2 as it does not provide protection for existing noisy activities, on the zone boundary.  The PDP changes the zoning of 18A Hobbs Street from Commercial Zone under the ODP to Medium Density	Amend <b>Table 24 - Noise Performance Standards</b> as follows:  Receiving zone and assessment location [...]  4. Within any part of a site in the following zones:  a. Neighbourhood Centre Zone	Accept in part

					<p>Residential Zone (MDR Zone), and consequently, the location of the Local Centre Zone / MDR Zone boundary has moved much closer to the supermarket. The submitter is very concerned about this change to the operating environment for the existing supermarket, with increased potential for reverse sensitivity and potential constraint of activities, and the need for significant noise control.</p> <p>The existing operations cannot comply with the noise limits applicable at the Local Centre Zone / MDR Zone boundary because the boundary between these zones has moved closer to the supermarket.</p>	<p>b. Local Centre Zone</p> <p>c. Mixed Use Zone</p> <p>d. <u>Medium Density Residential Zone at 18A Hobbs Street within 40m of the boundary of the adjacent Local Centre Zone.</u></p>																
J R Livestock Limited	241.31	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise performance standards	<p>Opposes the internal noise limits as the GIZ zone is where the noisiest activities are anticipated to occur. Noise limits are considered appropriate along the zone boundary, but not in-zone.</p>	<p>Amend reference to the General Industrial Zone within <b>Table 24.3</b> as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>7.00am - 10.00pm</td> <td>65 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td> <p>Within any part of a site in the following zones:</p> <p>a. Large Format Retail Zone</p> <p>b. Town Centre Zone</p> <p>c. City Centre Zone</p> <p>d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del></p> </td> <td>10.00pm - 7.00am</td> <td>65 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>75 dB LAFmax</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location	3.	7.00am - 10.00pm	65 dB LAeq (15 min)	<p>Within any part of a site in the following zones:</p> <p>a. Large Format Retail Zone</p> <p>b. Town Centre Zone</p> <p>c. City Centre Zone</p> <p>d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del></p>	10.00pm - 7.00am	65 dB LAeq (15 min)			75 dB LAFmax	Accept in part			
Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location	Receiving zone and assessment location																				
3.	7.00am - 10.00pm	65 dB LAeq (15 min)																				
<p>Within any part of a site in the following zones:</p> <p>a. Large Format Retail Zone</p> <p>b. Town Centre Zone</p> <p>c. City Centre Zone</p> <p>d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del></p>	10.00pm - 7.00am	65 dB LAeq (15 min)																				
		75 dB LAFmax																				
Horticulture New Zealand	245.100	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise performance standards	<p>Considers that as there are different activities in the different zones, the table should be amended. The Rural zone should reflect the nature of rural production activities.</p>	<p>Amend <b>Table 24 - Noise performance standards</b> as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>7.00am - 7.00pm</td> <td>50 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a. Within the notional boundary of a building used for a noise sensitive activity in the following zones:</td> <td>7.00pm - 10.00pm</td> <td>45 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>    i. <del>General Rural Zone</del></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>    ii. Rural Lifestyle Zone</td> <td>10.00pm - 7.00am</td> <td>40 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>    iii. Settlement Zone</td> <td></td> <td>70 dB LAFmax</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	1.	7.00am - 7.00pm	50 dB LAeq (15 min)	a. Within the notional boundary of a building used for a noise sensitive activity in the following zones:	7.00pm - 10.00pm	45 dB LAeq (15 min)	i. <del>General Rural Zone</del>			ii. Rural Lifestyle Zone	10.00pm - 7.00am	40 dB LAeq (15 min)	iii. Settlement Zone		70 dB LAFmax	Reject
1.	7.00am - 7.00pm	50 dB LAeq (15 min)																				
a. Within the notional boundary of a building used for a noise sensitive activity in the following zones:	7.00pm - 10.00pm	45 dB LAeq (15 min)																				
i. <del>General Rural Zone</del>																						
ii. Rural Lifestyle Zone	10.00pm - 7.00am	40 dB LAeq (15 min)																				
iii. Settlement Zone		70 dB LAFmax																				


						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>iv. Natural Open Space Zone</li> <li>v. Open Space Zone</li> <li>vi. Sport and Active Recreation Zone</li> <li>vii. Māori Purpose Zone; and</li> </ul> <p>b. Within any part of a site in the General Residential Zone</p>																																	
						<p><u>Within any part of a site in the General Rural Zone</u></p>	<p><u>7.00pm - 10.00pm</u></p> <p><u>10.00pm - 7.00am</u></p>	<p><u>55dB LAeq (15 mins)</u></p> <p><u>45dB LAeq (15 mins) and 70dB LAFmax</u></p>																															
Horticulture New Zealand	245.101	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise performance standards	Considers that q 55dBLAeq is appropriate for the General Rural Zone to reflect the nature of the receiving environment, which is different to the Residential Zone.	Amend <b>Table 24 - Noise performance standards</b> as follows:			Reject																														
							<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> <th>Time period</th> <th>Noise limit</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>7.00am - 7.00pm</td> <td>50 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a. Within the notional boundary of a building used for a noise sensitive activity in the following zones</td> <td>7.00pm - 10.00pm</td> <td>45 d LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><del>General Rural Zone</del></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rural Lifestyle Zone</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Settlement Zone</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Natural Open Space Zone</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Open Space Zone</td> <td>10.00pm - 7.00am</td> <td>40 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sport and Active Recreation Zone</td> <td></td> <td>70 dB LAFmax</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Māori Purpose Zone; and</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Receiving zone and assessment location	Time period	Noise limit	1.	7.00am - 7.00pm	50 dB LAeq (15 min)	a. Within the notional boundary of a building used for a noise sensitive activity in the following zones	7.00pm - 10.00pm	45 d LAeq (15 min)	<del>General Rural Zone</del>			Rural Lifestyle Zone			Settlement Zone			Natural Open Space Zone			Open Space Zone	10.00pm - 7.00am	40 dB LAeq (15 min)	Sport and Active Recreation Zone		70 dB LAFmax	Māori Purpose Zone; and				
Receiving zone and assessment location	Time period	Noise limit																																					
1.	7.00am - 7.00pm	50 dB LAeq (15 min)																																					
a. Within the notional boundary of a building used for a noise sensitive activity in the following zones	7.00pm - 10.00pm	45 d LAeq (15 min)																																					
<del>General Rural Zone</del>																																							
Rural Lifestyle Zone																																							
Settlement Zone																																							
Natural Open Space Zone																																							
Open Space Zone	10.00pm - 7.00am	40 dB LAeq (15 min)																																					
Sport and Active Recreation Zone		70 dB LAFmax																																					
Māori Purpose Zone; and																																							

						<p>b. Within any part of a site in the General Residential Zone.</p>			
						<p>2.</p> <p><u>i</u> Within any part of a site in the Medium Density Residential Zone, but, where noise is generated from within the Port Zone, excluding those sites located between the Terrace and the Main South Railway Line.</p> <p><u>ii</u> Within the national boundary of a building used for a noise sensitive activity in the General Rural Zone.</p>	<p>7.00am - 7.00pm</p> <p>7.00pm - 10.00pm</p> <p>10.00pm - 7.00am</p>	<p>55 dB <math>L_{Aeq}</math> (15 min)</p> <p>50 dB <math>L_{Aeq}</math> (15 min)</p> <p>45 dB <math>L_{Aeq}</math> (15 min) 75 dB <math>L_{AFmax}</math></p>	
						[...]			
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.14	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 - Noise performance standards	Supports healthy dwelling spaces while avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on land based primary production on highly productive soils.	<p>1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.</p> <p>AND/OR</p> <p>2. Retain as notified.</p>			Reject
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.87	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 – Noise Performance Standards	Supports the permitted noise standards in Table 24 generally align with the NESTF.	Retain <b>NOISE - Noise Table 24</b> as notified.			Accept in part
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.87	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 – Noise Performance Standards	Supports the permitted noise standards in Table 24 generally align with the NESTF.	Retain <b>NOISE - Noise Table 24</b> as notified.			Accept in part
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.87	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 – Noise Performance Standards	Supports the permitted noise standards in Table 24 generally align with the NESTF.	Retain <b>NOISE - Noise Table 24</b> as notified.			Accept in part

PrimePort Limited	175.69	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 Noise Performance Standards	<p>Clause (2) makes clear that noise from the Port Zone does not apply to the MDRZ between the Terrace and Main South Railway Line. Noise from the Port is instead subject to Rule NOISE-R8 and the Port Noise Boundary contours.</p> <p>Clause (3)(d) refers General Industrial Zone that is located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining, the Port of Timaru. All such land is proposed to be zoned Port Zone, not General Industrial Zone.</p>	<p>Amend <b>Table 24 - Noise performance standards</b> as follows:</p> <p>Retain:</p> <p>2. <i>Within any part of a site in the Medium Density Residential Zone, but, where noise is generated from within the Port Zone, excluding those sites located between the Terrace and the Main South Railway Line.</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend:</p> <p>3. <i>Within any part of a site in the following zones:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <i>Large Format Retail Zone</i></li> <li>b. <i>Town Centre Zone</i></li> <li>c. <i>City Centre Zone</i></li> <li>d. <i>General Industrial Zone, <del>excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del></i></li> </ul> <p>[...]</p>	Accept														
Connexa Limited	176.87	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 Noise Performance Standards	Supports the permitted noise standards in Table 24 generally align with the NESTF.	Retain <b>NOISE - Noise Table 24</b> as notified.	Accept in part														
Gemma Oliver	14.1	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 Noise Performance Standards	<p>Considers the noise levels used in Table 24 - Part 1 are from the previous Standards NZS 6801:1999 Acoustics - Measurement of Environmental Sound and assessed in accordance with NZS 6802:1991 Assessment of Environmental Sound. This could be a typo. But NOISE-S1 uses the 2008 version.</p> <p>NZS 6802 suggests a guideline daytime noise limit of 55 dB LAeq(15 minute) (approximately 57 dB LA10) and a night-time noise limit of 45 dB LAeq(15 minute) (approximately 47 dB LA10) for “<i>the reasonable protection of health and amenity associated with the use of land for residential purposes</i>”.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reasons].</p>	Amend <b>Table 24.1</b> the noise limit daytime figure for residential, open spaces, rural lifestyle and settlement zones from <del>50</del> to <u>55 dB LAeq (15 min) daytime</u> figure used as per NZS 6802:2008 recommended standards.	Reject														
Southern Proteins Limited	140.19	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 24 Noise Performance Standards	<p>Table 24 sets out the noise performance standards within zones. This includes an ‘in-zone’ noise limit for the GIZ. Noise limit controls are considered appropriate along the zone boundary with sensitive zones or at the notional boundary of noise sensitive activities in other zones. However, in-zone noise limits within the GIZ are opposed. It is considered that the reference to the GIZ in Table 24 - Noise Performance Standards should be deleted.</p>	<p>Amend <b>Table 24 - Noise performance standards</b> as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Receiving zone and assessment location</th> <th>Time period</th> <th>Noise limit</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1...</td> <td>[...]</td> <td>...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>10.00pm - 7.00am</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Within any part of a site in the following zones:</td> <td>7.00am - 10.00pm</td> <td>65 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>65 dB LAeq (15 min)</td> <td>75 dB LAFmax</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Receiving zone and assessment location	Time period	Noise limit	1...	[...]	...	3		10.00pm - 7.00am	Within any part of a site in the following zones:	7.00am - 10.00pm	65 dB LAeq (15 min)	65 dB LAeq (15 min)	75 dB LAFmax	Accept in part
Receiving zone and assessment location	Time period	Noise limit																			
1...	[...]	...																			
3		10.00pm - 7.00am																			
Within any part of a site in the following zones:	7.00am - 10.00pm	65 dB LAeq (15 min)																			
	65 dB LAeq (15 min)	75 dB LAFmax																			



						<p>a. Large Format Retail Zone</p> <p>b. Town Centre Zone</p> <p>c. City Centre Zone</p> <p>d. <del>General Industrial Zone, excluding those sites located to the east of the Main South Railway Line and forming part of, or adjoining the Port of Timaru.</del></p> <p>[...]</p>			
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.81	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 25 - Minimum construction requirements for external building elements of habitable rooms to achieve an advanced level of acoustic insulation	Supports Table 25 as proposed. This Table aligns with the Submitter's model noise standard.	Retain as notified.			Accept
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.15	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 25 - Minimum construction requirements for external building elements of habitable rooms to achieve an advanced level of acoustic insulation	Supports healthy dwelling spaces while avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on land based primary production on highly productive soils.	<p>1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.</p> <p>AND/OR</p> <p>2. Retain as notified.</p>			Reject
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.16	NOISE - Noise	Standards	Table 26 - Minimum construction requirements for external building elements of habitable rooms to achieve a moderate level of acoustic insulation	Supports healthy dwelling spaces while avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on land based primary production on highly productive soils.	<p>1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.</p> <p>AND/OR</p> <p>2. Retain as notified.</p>			Reject
Horticulture New Zealand	245.90	NOISE – Noise	General	General	The submitter highlights that rural environments are working rural production areas and should not be portrayed as quiet. Noise does occur in those areas, sometimes on an intermittent basis. Ensuring adequate setbacks of dwellings from horticultural properties is an important part of minimising the potential for reverse sensitivity complaints. The submitter highlights a range of matters relating to noise standards <u>such as the use of frost fans and audible bird</u>	<p>Reconsider the approach within the GRUZ and NOISE provisions to better reflect the working rural production nature of rural areas.</p> <p>[the submitter has made other related submission points on this matter]</p>			Accept in part

					<p><u>scaring devices</u>. These include exemptions, higher noise thresholds and standards than urban areas, less restrictive daytime noise controls.</p> <p>[refer to original submission for full reasons]</p>		
PrimePort Limited	175.8	Planning Maps	Noise Control Boundary Overlay		<p>Considers the proposed Port Inner Noise Control Boundary and the Port Outer Noise control Boundary are consistent with that previously recommended by Acoustic Engineering Services, per their report of February 2022.</p>	<p>Retain the <b>Port Inner Noise Control Boundary and the Port Outer Noise Control Boundary</b> as notified on the Planning Maps.</p>	Accept
Fonterra Limited	165.5	Planning Maps	Noise Control Boundary Overlay	New	<p>The submitter requests a new Noise Control Boundary to allow effective management of noise sensitive activities in close proximity to the Clandeboye site.</p> <p>[see original submission for full detail]</p>	<p>Amend the PDP to insert new <b>Fonterra Clandeboye Noise Control Boundary Overlay</b> onto the planning maps. The extent of the proposed NCB is shown on the attached map.</p>	Reject
							
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.4	Planning Maps	Noise Control Boundary Overlay	Port Noise Control Boundary	<p>Considers the proposed Port Inner and outer Noise Control Boundary is consistent with that previously recommended by Acoustic Engineering Services, per their report of February 2022.</p>	<p>Retain both the Port Inner Noise Control Boundary and the Port Outer Noise Control Boundary as notified.</p>	Accept
22 The Terrace Timaru Limited	202.3	Planning Maps	Noise Control Boundary Overlay	Port Outer Noise Control Boundary	<p>Opposes the inclusion of 22 The Terrace within the Port Outer Noise Control Boundary Overlay. It is noted the outer boundary of this Overlay appears to have been fixed along property boundaries and in an otherwise ad hoc manner, rather than being based on scientific acoustic modelling and will create a complicated consenting process. Considers the topography and the presence of structures on the north side of The Terrace also act as a noise barrier between the Site and the Port.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Amend the <b>Port Outer Noise Control Boundary Overlay</b> to exclude 22 The Terrace, Timaru.</p>	Reject
G.D.M. Offices Ltd	38.2	Planning Maps	Noise Control Boundary Overlay	Port Outer Noise Control Boundary	<p>Submitter opposes the Noise control boundary (Port Outer Noise Control Boundary) overlay. The submitter considers that the control boundary is based on the property boundaries rather than being based on scientific acoustic modelling therefore will create unnecessary consent burden that may not exist.</p>	<p>Remove <b>Port Outer Noise Control Boundary overlay</b> from 12 and 14 The Terrace.</p>	Reject

NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.28	Planning Maps	Non statutory layer		To support appropriate noise and reverse sensitivity provisions relating to frost fans, the submitter requests that the location of frost fans should be collected and included in a non- statutory layer in order to implement the NPS-HPL.	Amend the Planning Maps to include the location of frost fans as a non-statutory layer.	Accept in part (sits outside District Plan itself)
NZ Frost Fans Limited	255.27	GRUZ - General Rural Zone	Standards	GRUZ-S4 Setbacks for sensitive activities	The standard is generally supported as appropriate. However, the standard does not give effect to the NPS-HPL insofar as priority is not given to land based primary production land uses on highly productive land by not appropriately managing reverse sensitivity effects on land based primary production activities on highly productive land.	<p>1. Amend the objectives, policies and methods of the PDP and / or included to give effect to the NPS-HPL.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Amend <b>GRUZ-S4 Setbacks for sensitive activities</b> as follows:</p> <p><b>General Rural Zone</b></p> <p><i>1. No new sensitive activity may be established within 500m from:</i></p> <p><i>[...]</i></p> <p><i>3. No new building for a sensitive activity may be erected within 20m of an existing shelter belt.</i></p> <p><i><u>4. No new building for a sensitive activity may be established within 300m of an existing or consented frost fan.</u></i></p>	Accept in part