



Form 5

Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

Name of submitter:

LYNDSAY WILLIAM DENNISON @ FRANCES MARGARET DENNISON
[State full name]

This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):

MODIFICATION TO OR NEW
PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN REQUIREMENTS FOR DESIGNATION NOTICE
[State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].

~~I could~~/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
[*Select one.]

*I am/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

[*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.]
[†Select one.]

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]

My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

[If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]

In regards to the designation notice of MEDU-27 by the Ministry of Education
 My property 28 can st sits on the neighbouring back alley to Roscalli College. I respect the area being recognised, however we worry about the further increase of vehicle movement across my legal access way. "example" school buses, secondly, legal access ways should not be blocked as emergency access is required at all times, this not only affects 28 can st but also 24 can st as we are elderly people which may require these services at any time. The number of school buses has stopping now has increased significantly since we were first were notified of changes to new route as this restricts access immensely.
 Regards LYNDSAY DENNISON

I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]

[Dotted lines for providing details of the decision sought]

L. Dennis
19/1/23 I WISH to

I wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of my submission.

[*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.]
[†Select one.]

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
[*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]

Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) *L. Dennis*
[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]

Date *21/12/2022*

Electronic address for service of submitter:

Telephone: *6881738*

Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act):

28 CAIN ST TIMARU

Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable]

L. DENNISON FM DENNISON

Note to person making submission

1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - It is frivolous or vexatious;
 - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
 - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
 - It contains offensive language;
 - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.