

Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

Name of submitter: Grant Vincent COLDICOTT

.....
[State full name]

This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):

The proposed Timaru District Plan

.....
[State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].

I ~~could~~/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

[*Select one.]

*I ~~am~~/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

[*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.]

[†Select one.]

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]

.....
GRUZ - General Rule Zone

.....
GRUZ - R14 Use of airstrips and helicopter landing sites

My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

[If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]

.....
PER - 3

.....
 The use of private airstrips in the Timaru District has been permitted since aviation first came to this country. Flight training, agricultural aviation and recreational and private flying have been instrumental in growing the district, its skill base and allowing people to fully develop their aviation interests...The proposal of a quota for aircraft movements is unnecessarily restrictive, punitive and will serve to stifle genuine recreational and private aviation pursuits. The operation of aircraft is substantially less intrusive than many other activities permitted in rural zones and the necessity for such restrictions seeks to address a problem that does not exist. In my case, my neighbours have been supportive and encouraging of my aviation activities over thirty years of operations. My aircraft is a very quiet recreational aeroplane and its use is often unnoticed by neighbours close by. The operation of my aircraft has an insignificant effect on the local environment and its inhabitants but the imposition of a movement quota will restrict my ability to enjoy the freedoms to choose my sport and recreation and to live my life perusing a hobby I enjoy. Many of my neighbours family and friends have flown with me over the years and some have been encouraged to follow aviation careers.



Overwhelmingly, this proposed limit on movements restricts the lawful enjoyment of a recreational activity, that has minimal effect of other persons. The job of the Council is to provide an environment in which members of society can thrive and prosper and be allowed to achieve to the best of their ability. The Council should not impose unnecessary, restrictive laws where no public benefit occurs. To do so would not be acting in the best interest of the community.

I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]

PER - 3

The proposed district plan must preserve existing rights to the quiet and peaceful enjoyment of one's property and allow land owners to use common sense in exercising unrestricted aircraft movement rights from their properties. The proposed plan should allow the maximum flexibility to ensure aviators have the rights to land and take off at suitable sites on private land, with the consent of the land owner. The proposed plan must not arbitrarily restrict the freedom of land owners to allow aircraft to take off and land on suitable sites and the pilots-in-command must not be restrained from carrying out a landing or take off at a site approved by the land owner.

I wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of my submission.

[*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.]

[†Select one.]

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

[*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]

Grant Coldicott

Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]

Date December 15th, 2022 1300

Electronic address for service of submitter: grant@coldicott.co.nz

Telephone: 021-335-934

Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act): 116 Howell Road, RD 12, Pleasant Point 7982

Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable]

Note to person making submission

- 1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
- It is frivolous or vexatious:
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- It contains offensive language:
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.