

Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

Name of submitter:

David and Annemieke Jeaffreson

.....
[State full name]

This is a submission on the following proposed plan *or* on a change proposed to the following plan *or* on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan *or* on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):

Proposed District Plan

.....
[State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]

SASM-6 Wahi tupuna: broader geographical areas and cultural landscapes of significance to Kai Tahu.

SASM-02 ACCESS AND USE: Kati Huirapa are able to access, maintain and use resources and areas of cultural value within identified sites and areas of significance to kati huirapa.

.....
My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

[If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- x Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- x In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]

Our property, 211 BLANDSWOOD RD, PEEL FOREST, is mentioned as part of SASM-6 Wahi tupuna. According to SASM-02 (Access and Use), Kati Huirapa would be able to access, maintain, and use resources from our property, however we strongly OPPOSE this:

- 1) We consider anyone accessing our property without our explicit authorisation as trespassers. We have cameras set up, which have caught trespassers before. We do not want to find ourselves in a position where we cannot legally stop trespassers from accessing our private property.
- 2) We do not have anything on our property that Kati Huirapa would need to maintain, so we don't see the need to give them access to do so
- 3) We do not want anyone poaching on our property. The way SASM-02 is worded, Kati Huirapa would be allowed to use resources from our private property, which we strongly OPPOSE to. Note also that unauthorised hunting on our private property would be illegal.
- 4) In general, we are strongly OPPOSED to any proposal that has the potential to limit our exclusive use and enjoyment of our private property.

I seek the following decision from the local authority: *[Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]*

Either exclude our property from SASM-6, or specify that SASM-02 is not applicable to SASM-6

I wish †(or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

*[*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.] [+Select one.]*

***If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.**

Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]

Date .. 15 December 2022

Electronic address for service of submitter: amkwaijtaal@yahoo.com

Telephone: 027 27 11 727

Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act):

10 Beere Haven Steps, Seatoun, Wellington 6022

Contact person: *[name and designation, if applicable]*

David and Annemieke Jeaffreson

Note to person making submission

1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - It is frivolous or vexatious: It discloses no reasonable or relevant case: It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further: It contains offensive language:
 - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.