## Form 5

## Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Counc	:il
Name of submitter:	Judith Margaret COLDICOTT
[State full name]	<u>e</u>
This is a submission on t	he following proposed plan <i>or</i> on a change proposed to the following plan <i>or</i> on variation to a proposed plan <i>or</i> on the following proposed variation to a change 'proposal'):
	The proposed Timaru District Plan
[State the name of proposed o	er existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].
। इत्रमार्त/could not* gain a [*Select one.]	n advantage in trade competition through this submission.
(a) adversely affects	iffected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— the environment; and
	o trade competition or the effects of trade competition.  The paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
The specific provisions of	f the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]
GRUZ - Gene	eral Rule Zone
	Use of airstrips and helicopter landing sites
***************************************	*
My submission is: [Include for your views]	whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons
[If your submission relates to a following:	proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the
<ul> <li>Where you consider to should be modified; of</li> </ul>	
how that provision in	submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, the plan should be modified.]
PER - 3	
To restrict the use of aircr	raft movements on private land will arbitrarily penalise lawful recreational pursuits in
	ng, and associated aviation activities on private land have been part of Timaru's history
	what has helped build the country:
Rural zones must not be	needlessly controlled in an attempt to solve a perceived problem, one that does not exist
***************************************	

Doc # 636102

	***************************************		
that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested			
DED _ 3			
The proposed district plan must not restrict the law	ful rights of property owners to carry out aviation activities		
on private land.			
on private land.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
The proposed distrcit plan must not impose movem	nent restrictions on landing sites, within the district, where		
private landowners consent to the operations			
The plan must uphold the right to use private land f	for unrestricted aviation activities		
The plan must encourage and strengthen the pursu	uit of aviation in the rural zones.		
I wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of [*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning insonly indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specification.]	strument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need		
*If others make a similar submission, I will consid	er presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.		
[*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]			
In Coldu	wat		
Signature of submitter (or person authorised to si	ign on hehalf of suhmitter)		
[A signature is not required if you make your submission by el			
	,		
Date December 15th, 2022 1330	L		
Electronic address for service of submitter:	horizonhomoeopathy@gmail.com		
Telephone:	021-368-540		
Postal address (or alternative method of service u	under s352 of the Act): 116 Howell Road, RD 12, Pleasant Point 7982		

## Note to person making submission

- 1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
  - It is frivolous or vexatious:
  - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
  - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
  - It contains offensive language:
  - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

## **Michelle Reeves**

From: grant@coldicott.co.nz

Sent: Thursday, 15 December 2022 2:44 pm

**To:** PDP; grant@coldicott.co.nz

**Subject:** Submission

**Attachments:** SC280 C280122121513411.pdf

Attached