

Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

Name of submitter:

Ame Elizabeth Smilie for Smilie family
[State full name]

This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):

TDC proposed District Plan
[State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].

I could/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

[*Select one.]

~~*I am/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—~~

~~(a) adversely affects the environment; and~~

~~(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.~~

~~[*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.]~~

~~[†Select one.]~~

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]

Waihi Taoka + Wai Taoka Lines
Sasm 7 - Kakahu basin + foothills
Sasm 15 - Kakahu river

My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

[If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]

- We oppose this proposed plan on the grounds that it covers our whole property - This is our home
- The proposed plan is very broad - with little specific information - it needs to identify what is sacred / tapu so it can be protected appropriately
- Monitoring + protection of the environment is already managed by Ecan, this appears to create another layer of regulation and cost
- increased stress worrying about what is happening (in this plan) and what might happen is not something that is needed in this current stressful environment post covid and increased cost of living etc

Doc # 636102

I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]

We please require more information about what is of significance, saved and why, rather than a "blanket" approach which covers our whole property - our home. and why more monitoring + protection of environment is needed when Ecan already does this

I wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of my submission.

[*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.]

[†Select one.]

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

[*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]

yes
Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)
[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]

Date 15-12-22

Electronic address for service of submitter: rapuwai0@gmail.com

Telephone: 0276049772

Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act):

22 Rapuwai Rd 1220 Pleasant Point

Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable] Anne Smilie

Note to person making submission

1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - It is frivolous or vexatious;
 - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
 - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
 - It contains offensive language;
 - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.