

Appendix 2 – Recommended Responses to Submissions

Table 1 – Hearing F General

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.4	General	General	General	Note across the whole plan, that references to "height" of buildings or structures do not make reference to where height is measured from (for example Open Space Zones and Rural Lifestyle Zone). Ensure that height for buildings and structures is measured from "ground level", which is a national planning standard term, with consistent expression of height rules across the plan.	Review all references to the height of buildings across the plan to ensure that height is measured from ground level, with consistent expression of height rules.	Accept in Part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.1	General	General	General	Notes that a large number of rules in the plan use variable terminology to define floor areas of buildings, often with the term undefined, so that it is not clear what is being measured. It is necessary to review all references to size of buildings and consider whether a clear definition is required linking development to either the "building footprint" or "gross floor area", which are defined National Planning Standard terms, and then create exclusions from those terms within the rules if necessary.	Review the entire plan so all references to the size of buildings, link to either building footprint or gross floor area which are defined terms in the National Planning Standards.	Accept in Part
David and Judith Moore	100.2	General	General	General	Supports federated Farmers submission.	Relief sought as seen in Federated Farmers submission.	Accept in Part
Peel Forest Estate	105.1	General	General	General	Support Federated Farmers New Zealand and their submission	As relief sought in Federated Farmers submission.	Accept in Part
Kerry & James McArthur	113.1	General	General	General	Support Federated Farmer submission.	Consider the Federated Farmer recommendations.	Accept in Part

Table 1 – Earthworks

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
New Zealand Defence Force	151.11	APP4 - Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol	General	General	Supports rule EW-R1 but requests the deletion of APP4 as direction on accidental discovery is provided by the advice note in the Earthworks chapter. The standard does not help protect archaeological sites as no site-specific investigation is required. Also considers it will create an administrative burden for the community and Council.	Delete APP4 - Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol.	Accept in Part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.98	APP4 Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol	General	General	Oppose APP4 and the need to confirm a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol . The submitters support the principle of accidental discovery protocol, the specified requirement is a pseudo contract that is unnecessary. The submitter supports working with the relevant authorities and local Rūnanga when accidental discovery occurs.	Delete the requirement to 'commit' to the Accidental Discovery Protocol as outlined in various chapters of the PDP.	Accept

GJH Rooney	191.98	APP4 Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol	General	General	Oppose APP4 and the need to confirm a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol. The submitters support the principle of accidental discovery protocol, the specified requirement is a pseudo contract that is unnecessary. The submitter supports working with the relevant authorities and local Rūnanga when accidental discovery occurs.	Delete the requirement to 'commit' to the Accidental Discovery Protocol as outlined in various chapters of the PDP.	Accept
Rooney Group Limited	249.98	APP4 Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol	General	General	Oppose APP4 and the need to confirm a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol . The submitters support the principle of accidental discovery protocol, the specified requirement is a pseudo contract that is unnecessary. The submitter supports working with the relevant authorities and local Rūnanga when accidental discovery occurs.	Delete the requirement to 'commit' to the Accidental Discovery Protocol as outlined in various chapters of the PDP.	Accept
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.98	APP4 Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol	General	General	Oppose APP4 and the need to confirm a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol . The submitters support the principle of accidental discovery protocol, the specified requirement is a pseudo contract that is unnecessary. The submitter supports working with the relevant authorities and local Rūnanga when accidental discovery occurs.	Delete the requirement to 'commit' to the Accidental Discovery Protocol as outlined in various chapters of the PDP.	Accept
Rooney Farms Limited	250.98	APP4 Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol	General	General	Oppose APP4 and the need to confirm a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol . The submitters support the principle of accidental discovery protocol, the specified requirement is a pseudo contract that is unnecessary. The submitter supports working with the relevant authorities and local Rūnanga when accidental discovery occurs.	Delete the requirement to 'commit' to the Accidental Discovery Protocol as outlined in various chapters of the PDP.	Accept
Timaru Developments Limited	252.98	APP4 Form for confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol	General	General	Oppose APP4 and the need to confirm a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol . The submitters support the principle of accidental discovery protocol, the specified requirement is a pseudo contract that is unnecessary. The submitter supports working with the relevant authorities and local Rūnanga when accidental discovery occurs.	Delete the requirement to 'commit' to the Accidental Discovery Protocol as outlined in various chapters of the PDP.	Accept
Horticulture New Zealand	245.76	EW - Earthworks	General	General	The submitter outlines the large range of day-to-day earthwork activities that are integral to productive land use in the rural zone and highlights ECan's role in Farm Plans and an industry standard developed by the submitter to manage erosion and sediment. [refer to original submission for full reasons]	<u>Seeks an approach to provide for ancillary rural earthworks. There is a need to provide for 'day-to-day' activities that are integral to productive land use in the rural zone.</u>	Reject
Connexa Limited	176.86	EW - Earthworks	Introduction		Supports the introduction which exempts earthworks associated with infrastructure from the rules within this chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part

Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.86	EW - Earthworks	Introduction		Supports the introduction which exempts earthworks associated with infrastructure from the rules within this chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.86	EW - Earthworks	Introduction		Supports the introduction which exempts earthworks associated with infrastructure from the rules within this chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.86	EW - Earthworks	Introduction		Supports the introduction which exempts earthworks associated with infrastructure from the rules within this chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	247.17	EW - Earthworks	Introduction	General	Supports the recognition in the introduction that earthworks are also an integral part of the use and development of land for rural activities.	Retain the Introductions section of EW chapter as notified.	Accept in Part
Alliance Group Limited	173.97	EW - Earthworks	Objectives	EW-O1 Earthworks activity	Considers that it should be recognised that earthworks are necessary for development and that adverse effects associated with them should be avoided or mitigated.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Dairy Holdings Limited	89.12	EW - Earthworks	Objectives	EW-O1 Earthworks activity	Considers this objective appropriate and seeks that it be retained.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.103	EW - Earthworks	Objectives	EW-O1 Earthworks activity	Suggests that the need for earthworks related to regionally significant infrastructure is inserted into Objective EW-O1 as per the suggested wording, or alternatively (or as well as) in the Energy and Infrastructure chapter where consideration for transport as regionally significant infrastructure is sought with associated exclusions for other rules in the Plan.	Amend EW-O1 as follows: <i>EW-O1 Earthworks activity</i> <i>Earthworks facilitate subdivision and the use and development, <u>including regionally significant infrastructure</u>, of the District's land resource, while ensuring that its adverse effects on the surrounding environment are avoided or mitigated.</i>	Reject
Horticulture New Zealand	245.77	EW - Earthworks	Objectives	EW-O1 Earthworks activity	Supports a framework that provides for ancillary rural earthworks and the benefits/recognition of rural earthworks in supporting rural activities.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Federated Farmers	182.173	EW - Earthworks	Objectives	General	Supports the objectives this Chapter.	1. Retain the objectives of the EW -Earthworks Chapter as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND Any consequential amendments.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.98	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P1 Benefits and necessity	Considers that it should be recognised that earthworks are necessary for development.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.104	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P1 Benefits and necessity	Supports EW-P1 as the policy recognises the benefits and necessity of earthworks for utility purposes. However, this should also be reflected in the Earthworks and Infrastructure and Energy objectives for consistency.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.134	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P1 Benefits and necessity	Support the Policy particularly the recognition of natural hazard mitigation works. Amending the reference to natural hazard mitigation works or amending the definition, in line with our submission on the definition of this term, will provide greater clarity about the activities this rule applies to.	1. Retain the recognition of the necessity of these earthworks; AND 2. either: a. Change the "natural hazard mitigation works" terminology; OR b. Change the definition of "natural hazard mitigation works" in accordance with the submission made on the definition of "natural hazard mitigation works."	Accept in Part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.72	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P1 Benefits and necessity	Supports recognition of the necessity of earthworks for the provision of utilities.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
BP Oil, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy	196.75	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P1 Benefits and necessity	Supports this policy as it recognises the benefits and necessity of earthworks for the subdivision, use and development of land, the provision of utilities, and natural hazard mitigation.	Retain EW-P1 as notified.	Accept in Part
Horticulture New Zealand	245.78	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P1 Benefits and necessity	Supports a framework that provides for ancillary rural earthworks and the benefits/recognition of rural earthworks in supporting rural activities.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.88	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P4 Infrastructure	Considers the policy gives effect to Policy 10 of the NPSET.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Opuha Water Limited	181.73	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P4 Infrastructure	Supports the approach of EW-P4 to protecting RSI from the adverse effects of infrastructure but seeks amendments to EW-R1.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.105	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P4 Infrastructure	Supports proposed EW-P4 which seeks to protect Regionally Significant Infrastructure (of which the state highway is included) from the potential adverse effects from third parties undertaking earthworks.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.135	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P4 Infrastructure	CRC supports the protection of regionally significant infrastructure from adverse effects as this is consistent with the CRPS.	Retain EW-P4 as notified or preserve original intent.	Accept
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.73	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P4 Infrastructure	Supports protection of regionally significant infrastructure from the effects of earthworks.	Retain as notified.	Accept

BP Oil, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy	196.76	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P4 Infrastructure	Supports this policy as it seeks to protect RSI from adverse effects of earthworks.	Retain EW-P4 as notified.	Accept
Silver Fern Farms	172.96	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P5 Land stability	Considers the policy is unduly restrictive insofar as it does not clearly recognise that land stability is an inherent part of earthworks design.	Amend EW-P5 as follows: EW-P5 Land stability <i>Only allow earthworks on steeper slopes and in proximity to boundaries where they will not impact <u>potential adverse effects</u> on land stability <u>are avoided or mitigated.</u></i>	Accept in Part
Alliance Group Limited	173.99	EW - Earthworks	Policies	EW-P5 Land stability	Considers the policy is unduly restrictive insofar as it does not clearly recognise that land stability is an inherent part of earthworks design.	Amend EW-P5 as follows: EW-P5 Land stability <i>Only allow earthworks on steeper slopes and in proximity to boundaries where they will not impact <u>potential adverse effects</u> on land <u>stability are avoided or mitigated.</u></i>	Accept in Part
Federated Farmers	182.174	EW - Earthworks	Policies	General	Supports the policies of this Chapter.	1. Retain the policies of the EW -Earthworks Chapter as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND Any consequential amendments.	Accept
Hilton Haulage Limited Partnership	168.37	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Requests to amend EW-R1 to provide an additional exclusion for earthworks required to achieve minimum flood floor levels.	Amend the rule heading of EW-R1 as follows: EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks: [...] h. within the building footprint, or within 2m of the outer edge of, a building that has building consent and that complies with EW-S3. This exemption does not apply to earthworks associated with retaining walls/structures which are not required for the structural support of the principal building on the site or adjoining site; <u>and</u> <u>i. necessary to achieve minimum floor levels specified in a Flood Risk Certificate in Rule NH-S1.</u>	Reject
Road Metals Company Limited	169.33	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Opposes having quarrying activities in both the Zone rules and the earthworks provisions as this creates unnecessary duplication and an inconsistent approach through the different provisions. This creates unnecessary duplication and an inconsistent approach through the different provisions.	Retain EW-R1 Earthworks and the explanatory note as notified.	Accept in Part

Fulton Hogan Limited	170.33	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Opposes having quarrying activities addressed through both the zone rules and earthworks provisions, therefore supports EW-R1. This creates unnecessary duplication and an inconsistent approach through the different provisions.	Retain EW-R1 Earthworks and the explanatory note as notified.	Accept in Part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.59	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Opposes the methodology of achieving accidental discovery protocol by requiring a “commitment” form to be completed in accordance with APP4. The submitters do not oppose the principle of Accidental Discovery Protocol. But considers two weeks’ notice is too onerous and will make it very difficult for minor activities to be undertaken as a permitted activity as intended.	Request to amend EW-R1 Earthworks by removing the requirement to provide two weeks notice in PER-2	Accept in Part
Opuha Water Limited	181.74	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Supports the chapter’s proposed approach but request amendments to clause (e) of EW-R1 as a consequence of the submitter’s submission on ECO-R2 and NH-R3 above.	Amend the rule heading of EW-R1 Earthworks [...] as follows: EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <i>for tree planting, or the removal of trees not protected by the District Plan;</i> b. <i>for test pits, wells or boreholes [...]</i> c. [...] d. [...] e. <i>for natural hazard mitigation works carried out by:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u><i>Timaru District Council or Canterbury Regional Council that are permitted; or</i></u> ii. <u><i>by or on behalf of a network utility operator of regionally; significant infrastructure in accordance with a rule in the; Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan or a resource; consent and/or approval granted by the Canterbury Regional Council.</i></u> [...]	Reject
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	114.37	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Supports rule EW-R1 but recommends that an Accidental Discovery Protocol is only adopted where an Archaeological Authority has not already been issued by HNZPT (as an authority supersedes an ADP).	Amend EW-R1 as follows: EW-R1 Earthworks [...] Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 <i>EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4 and EW-S5 are complied with; and</i> PER-2 <u><i>Unless an Archaeological Authority has been issued by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, the Accidental Discovery Protocol commitment</i></u>	Accept in Part

						<p>form, contained within APP4 - Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol, has been completed and submitted to Council, prior to the commencement of any earthworks.</p> <p>[...]</p>	
Southern Proteins Limited	140.18	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Considers an additional exclusion should be applied to earthworks required to achieve minimum flood floor levels.	<p>Amend of EW-R1 as follows:</p> <p>EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p><i>h. within the building footprint, or within 2m of the outer edge of, a building that has building consent and that complies with EW-S3. This exemption does not apply to earthworks associated with retaining walls/structures which are not required for the structural support of the principal building on the site or adjoining site; <u>and</u></i></p> <p><i><u>i. necessary to achieve minimum floor levels specified in a Flood Risk Certificate in Rule NH-S1. [...]</u></i></p>	Reject
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.106	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Supports proposed EW-R1 which provides an exemption for earthworks that are for infrastructure activities - as provided for in the Infrastructure and Energy chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
New Zealand Defence Force	151.10	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Supports rule EW-R1 but requests the deletion of PER-2 as direction on accidental discovery is provided by the advice note in the Earthworks chapter. The standard does not help protect archaeological sites as no site-specific investigation is required. Also considers it will create an administrative burden for the community and Council.	<p>Amend EW-R1 as follows:</p> <p>EW-R1 Earthworks</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted Where:</p> <p>PER-1</p> <p><i>EW-S1, EW-S2, EW-S3, EW-S4 and EW-S5 are complied with; and PER-2</i></p> <p><i>The Accidental Discovery Protocol commitment form, contained within APP4 - Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol, has been completed and submitted to Council, prior to the commencement of any earthworks.</i></p>	Accept in Part

Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.136	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Support EW-R1 adds no additional requirements for flood, erosion and drainage works. Amending the reference to natural hazard mitigation works or amending the definition, in line with our submission on the definition of this term, will provide greater clarity about the activities this rule applies to.	1. Retain intent of EW-R1 in relation to flood, erosion and drainage works. AND 2. either: a. Change the "natural hazard mitigation works" terminology; OR b. Change the definition of "natural hazard mitigation works" in accordance with the submission made on the definition of "natural hazard mitigation works."	Accept in Part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.74	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Supports the exclusion for infrastructure permitted in the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport chapters of the Plan.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
North Meadows 2021 Limited and Thompson Engineering (2002) Limited	190.12	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Considers an additional exclusion of earthworks that this rule applies.	Amend EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding[...] as follows: <i>a. [...]. [...]</i> <i>h. within the building footprint, or within 2m of the outer edge of, a building that has building consent and that complies with EW-S3. This exemption does not apply to earthworks associated with retaining walls/structures which are not required for the structural support of the principal building on the site or adjoining site; <u>and</u></i> <i><u>i. necessary to achieve minimum floor levels specified in a Flood Risk Certificate in Rule NH-S1.</u></i>	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.59	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Opposes the methodology of achieving accidental discovery protocol by requiring a "commitment" form to be completed in accordance with APP4. The submitters do not oppose the principle of Accidental Discovery Protocol. But considers two weeks' notice is too onerous and will make it very difficult for minor activities to be undertaken as a permitted activity as intended.	Request to amend EW-R1 Earthworks by removing the requirement to provide two weeks notice in PER-2	Accept in Part
BP Oil, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy	196.77	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Supports the overall approach to the Earthworks Chapter's single rule which permits all earthworks in all zones subject to five performance standards as relevant. The Submitter also (generally) supports the exclusion of (b), (c) and (g). In addition, the submitter seek a specific exemption for earthworks undertaken in relation to the removal or replacement of underground fuel storage systems (regardless of whether that activity is permitted under a NES or requires a resource consent under an NES),	Amend the Rule heading of EW-R1 as follows: <i>Earthworks, excluding earthworks:</i> <i>a)..</i> <i>[...]</i> <i><u>j) in relation to the removal or replacement of underground fuel storage systems undertaken under any one of Regulations 8-11 of the NESCS.</u></i>	Accept in Part

					noting that this activity is specifically addressed under the NES:CS and should not be duplicated under the district plan. This may be the intention of the 'Note' (at least in part) and relief and clarity is sought in this regard.		
J R Livestock Limited	241.34	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Considers that Rule EW-S1(3) is an appropriate threshold for GIZ. However, the Flood Assessment Overlay earthworks rules apply to the site. The exclusions to the earthworks rule are also supported.	Retain EW-S1.3 as notified.	Accept in Part
White Water Properties Limited	248.5	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Considers that bulk earthworks associated with initial engineering works for the development of greenfield land should either be exempt from the 2000m ² per site per annum limit, or a more appropriate standard included for such earthworks. In the alternative, controlled activity status should apply to such bulk earthworks.	Amend EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...] to either: 1. Exempt bulk earthworks associated with greenfield development from the 2000m ² earthwork limit; OR 2. Provide a more appropriate standard for such earthworks; OR 3. Provide a controlled activity status for such earthworks.	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.59	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Opposes the methodology of achieving accidental discovery protocol by requiring a "commitment" form to be completed in accordance with APP4. The submitters do not oppose the principle of Accidental Discovery Protocol. But considers two weeks' notice is too onerous and will make it very difficult for minor activities to be undertaken as a permitted activity as intended.	Request to amend EW-R1 Earthworks by removing the requirement to provide two weeks notice in PER-2	Accept in Part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.59	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Opposes the methodology of achieving accidental discovery protocol by requiring a "commitment" form to be completed in accordance with APP4. The submitters do not oppose the principle of Accidental Discovery Protocol. But considers two weeks' notice is too onerous and will make it very difficult for minor activities to be undertaken as a permitted activity as intended.	Request to amend EW-R1 Earthworks by removing the requirement to provide two weeks notice in PER-2	Accept in Part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.59	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Opposes the methodology of achieving accidental discovery protocol by requiring a "commitment" form to be completed in accordance with APP4. The submitters do not oppose the principle of Accidental Discovery Protocol. But considers two weeks' notice is too onerous	Request to amend EW-R1 Earthworks by removing the requirement to provide two weeks notice in PER-2	Accept in Part

					and will make it very difficult for minor activities to be undertaken as a permitted activity as intended.		
Timaru Developments Limited	252.59	EW - Earthworks	Rules	EW-R1 Earthworks, excluding earthworks [...]	Opposes the methodology of achieving accidental discovery protocol by requiring a “commitment” form to be completed in accordance with APP4. The submitters do not oppose the principle of Accidental Discovery Protocol. But considers two weeks’ notice is too onerous and will make it very difficult for minor activities to be undertaken as a permitted activity as intended.	Request to amend EW-R1 Earthworks by removing the requirement to provide two weeks notice in PER-2	Accept in Part
Federated Farmers	182.175	EW - Earthworks	Rules	General	Supports the rules of this Chapter.	1. Retain the rules of the EW -Earthworks Chapter as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND Any consequential amendments.	Accept in Part
Fonterra Limited	165.95	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Considers that the scale and isolation of the Clandeboye site means that earthworks at the site should be unrestricted. In the event that significant earthworks are carried out, these will be managed by way of a Regional Council resource consent process.	Amend EW-S1 Areas as follows: 1. General Rural Zone Rural Lifestyle Zone Strategic Rural Industry Zone Areas <i>The area of earthworks must be limited to as follows:</i> 1. for any primary production activity that is a Permitted Activity in the zone, there is no limit; and 2. for any ancillary rural earthworks, there is no limit; and 3. for other activities: 2,000m² in any 12-month period per site; <u>and</u> 4. <u>for any Permitted Activity in the Strategic Rural Industry Zone, there is no limit.</u>	Accept in Part
Silver Fern Farms	172.97	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	It is appropriate to apply minimal limits to earthworks in the GRUZ where associated with primary production. A minor amendment is recommended as the standard does not address the volume of any earthworks and if earthworks are to be unlimited, this should be made clear.	Amend EW-S1 Areas as follows: General Rural Zone Rural Lifestyle Zone <i>The area <u>and volume</u> of earthworks must be limited to as follows: [...]</i>	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.98	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Considers the allowance for 2,000 m ² of earthworks per 12- month period in the GIZ is appropriate.	Retain as notified with respect to the GIZ.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.100	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Considers the allowance for 2,000 m ² of earthworks per 12- month period in the GIZ.	Retain as notified with respect to the GIZ.	Accept
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.60	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Opposes EW-S1.2 applying to earthworks necessary to complete a subdivision in the General Residential Zone	Amend EW-S1 Areas to exclude earthworks associated with implementing a subdivision consent prior to receiving section 224(c) RMA Certification, in the General Residential Zone and Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject

					and the Medium Density Residential Zone. Such control has not been exerted under the operative District Plan.		
Karton and Hollamby Group Ltd T/A Stonewood Homes South Canterbury Ltd	31.1	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Considers the 250sqm earthworks limitation per site per 12 month is not enough for GRZ or MRZ.	Amend EW-S1.2 Areas for GRZ & MDRZ by increase maximum excavation from 250 to at least 350-400 sq meters per site per 12 month period.	Reject
Steve Dale & Anthony Dale	54.1	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Considers the 250sqm earthworks limitation per site per 12 month is not enough for larger sections.	Amend EW-S1 Areas as follows: [...] 2. General Residential Zone Medium Residential Zone <i>The area of earthworks must be limited to 250 <u>500</u>m² in any 12-month period per site.</i> Or alternatively add additional clause to increase limit for larger sections. [...]	Reject
Milward Finlay Lobb	60.28	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Considers the earthwork thresholds in the General Residential Zone and Medium Density Zone should be increased.	1. Amend EW-S1 Areas as follows: [...] 2. General Residential Zone Medium Residential Zone	Reject

						<p>The area of earthworks must be limited to 250<u>500</u>m² in any 12-month period per site. [...]</p> <p>2. Or alternatively add additional clause to increase limit for larger sections.</p>	
Dairy Holdings Limited	89.13	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Considers it is appropriate for there to be no limit to the area of earthworks for any primary production activity and for ancillary rural earthworks and seeks that this be retained.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Lineage Logistics NZ Limited	107.12	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Considers EW-S1.3 which limits the earthwork of 2000m ² per site per annum is unnecessarily restrictive for development within the Port Zone.	<p>1. Amend EW-S1.3 to include a limit of a minimum of 5000 m² per annum per site for the Port Zone;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. If the amended standard is breached, the resulting consent category should be controlled, with matters of control limited to dust nuisance, sedimentation, land instability, erosion and contamination effects.</p>	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.176	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Amend the maximum earthwork thresholds for EW-S1 (the rural production zone) to 5000m ³ in volume and 2500m ² in area for all earthworks undertaken on a site in a single calendar year.	<p>1. Amend EW-S1 Areas to increase of the proposed maximum volume to 5000m³ and maximum area of 2500m² for the rural production zones; AND</p> <p>2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.</p>	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.60	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	<p>Opposes EW-S1.2 applying to earthworks necessary to complete a subdivision in the General Residential Zone and the</p> <p>Medium Density Residential Zone. Such control has not been exerted under the operative District Plan.</p>	Amend EW-S1 Areas to exclude earthworks associated with implementing a subdivision consent prior to receiving section 224(c) RMA Certification, in the General Residential Zone and Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject
BP Oil, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy	196.78	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Supports this standard as it allows earthworks on sites in commercial, industrial and the Port Zone to undertake 2,000m ² of earthworks per 12 month period per site.	Retain EW-S1.3 as notified.	Accept
Horticulture New Zealand	245.79	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Supports unlimited area in GRZ for ancillary rural earthworks	Retain as notified.	Accept
Rooney Group Limited	249.60	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Opposes EW-S1.2 applying to earthworks necessary to complete a subdivision in the General Residential Zone and the Medium Density Residential Zone. Such control has not been exerted under the operative District Plan.	Amend EW-S1 Areas to exclude earthworks associated with implementing a subdivision consent prior to receiving section 224(c) RMA Certification, in the General Residential Zone and Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.60	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Opposes EW-S1.2 applying to earthworks necessary to complete a subdivision in the General Residential Zone and the Medium Density Residential Zone. Such control has not been exerted under the operative District Plan.	Amend EW-S1 Areas to exclude earthworks associated with implementing a subdivision consent prior to receiving section 224(c) RMA Certification, in the General Residential Zone and Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject

Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.60	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Opposes EW-S1.2 applying to earthworks necessary to complete a subdivision in the General Residential Zone and the Medium Density Residential Zone. Such control has not been exerted under the operative District Plan.	Amend EW-S1 Areas to exclude earthworks associated with implementing a subdivision consent prior to receiving section 224(c) RMA Certification, in the General Residential Zone and Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.60	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S1 Areas	Opposes EW-S1.2 applying to earthworks necessary to complete a subdivision in the General Residential Zone and the Medium Density Residential Zone. Such control has not been exerted under the operative District Plan.	Amend EW-S1 Areas to exclude earthworks associated with implementing a subdivision consent prior to receiving section 224(c) RMA Certification, in the General Residential Zone and Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject
Steve Dale & Anthony Dale	54.2	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S2 Excavation and filling	Submitter raises an issue as to how building consents can be issued when there is excavation required on multiple sections.	Review with the TDC building department how building consents for earthworks on subdivisions can be issued prior to title release on all sections, with constraints being assessed against the number of sections being released as opposed to the single existing title.	Noted
Lineage Logistics NZ Limited	107.13	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S2 Excavation and filling	Considers this standard is not appropriate for the Port Zone.	1. Include an exemption from standards EW-S2 Excavation and filling within the Port Zone; OR 2. Amend EW-S2 to include standards that more appropriately provide for development within the Port Zone.	Reject
White Water Properties Limited	248.6	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S2 Excavation and filling	Considers that bulk earthworks associated with initial engineering works for the development of greenfield land should either be exempt from the 2000m ² per site per annum limit, or a more appropriate standard included for such earthworks. In the alternative, controlled activity status should apply to such bulk earthworks.	Amend EW-S2 Excavation and filling to either: 1. Exempt bulk earthworks associated with greenfield development from the 2000m ² earthwork limit; OR 2. Provide a more appropriate standard for such earthworks; OR 3. Provide a controlled activity status for such earthworks.	Reject
Steve Dale & Anthony Dale	54.3	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S3 Setback	Considers a 1.5m minimum boundary for retaining will result in excessive loss of usable build space for medium density and small residential sections.	Amend EW-S3 Setback as follows: All Zones <i>Earthworks involving filling and/or excavation must not exceed 0.5m in depth or height within</i> <i>1.5m of any site boundary, <u>unless a building consent has been issued to conduct the earthworks.</u> [...]</i>	Reject

Lineage Logistics NZ Limited	107.14	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S3 Setback	Considers this standard not appropriate for the Port Zone.	1. Include an exemption from standards EW-S3 Setbacks within the Port Zone; or 2. Amend EW-S3 to include standards that more appropriately provide for development within the Port Zone.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	229.54	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S3 Setback	Considers that the rule will place unnecessary consent requirements for relatively minor earthworks.	Delete EW-S3 Setbacks .	Reject
Steve Dale & Anthony Dale	54.4	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S4 Rehabilitation and reinstatement	Considers a 12 month time limit may be too restrictive for some developments, especially considering recent events. Size of project, weather events, labour shortages etc. may all impact on extending a larger development beyond 12 months. Consider the recent showgrounds development.	Amend EW-S4 Rehabilitation and reinstatement to allow subdivision and larger project timelines.	Reject
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.89	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S5 Earthworks in proximity of the National Grid [...]	Considers the standard duplicates Rule EI-R28 (including as amended by this submission). Considers the duplication is removed.	1. Delete Standard EW-S5 . AND 2. Include direction to Rule EI-R28 .	Accept in Part
Federated Farmers	182.177	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S5 Earthworks in proximity of the National Grid [...]	Supports in part the EW-S5 but considers the 12 m setback is over-regulatory.	1. Amend EW-S5 Earthworks in proximity of the National Grid... as follows: [...] 2. Earthworks within 12 8 metres of the centre line of a 110kV or a 220kV National Grid transmission line or within 10 metres of the centre line of a 66kV transmission line must: [...] AND 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Accept in Part
Horticulture New Zealand	245.80	EW - Earthworks	Standards	EW-S5 Earthworks in proximity of the National Grid [...]	Supports the exclusion for cultivation. There is no policy framework in the PDP to support inclusion of 66kV.	Amend EW-S5 as follows: EW-R5 Earthworks in proximity of the National Grid and/or a 66kV electricity distribution line, excluding earthworks for: a. a network utility as part of an electricity transmission activity; or b. agricultural or domestic cultivation; or... c. the repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track All Zones 1. Any earthworks must not exceed a depth or fill of 300mm within a distance measured 12m from the outer visible edge of any National Grid support structure; or	Reject

						<p>2. Earthworks within 12 metres of the centre line of a 110kV or 220kV National Grid transmission line or within 10 metres of the centre line of a 66kV transmission line must:</p> <p>a. be no deeper or higher than 300mm within 6m of a foundation of a transmission line support structure; and</p> <p>b. be no deeper than 3m when:</p> <p>i. between 6 and 12 metres from the foundation of a 110kV or a 220kV National Grid transmission line support structure; and</p> <p>ii. between 6 and 10 metres from the foundation of a 66kV transmission line support structure; and</p> <p>iii. not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearing distances below what is required by Table 4 in NZECP 34:2001, unless the requirements of Clause 2.2.3 of NZECP 34:2001 are met.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Table 2 – Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	114.7	Definitions	Definitions	Relocated Building	We note that this definition identifies a relocated building as one being moved from one site to another site and does not cover the relocation of a building within its own site. However, the relevant policy and rule refers to relocation of historic heritage Items within or beyond their heritage setting. For consistency and to avoid confusion we recommend the definition is amended to include both within and beyond the site.	Amend the definition of relocated building as follows: <i>means any building that is relocated, in whole or in part, from one site to another site, <u>or repositioned within its own site</u>, but excludes:</i> [...]	Accept in Part
Lee Anne Burdon	72.4	General	General	General	There has been very little done to enforce the Performance Standards of Part D General Rules 6 Relocated Building/Shipping Containers in the operative Plan. There has been an increase in the number of shipping containers in the district and they have a degrading effect on the landscape. Anything done to notify the public of the rules and conditions would be a plus.	Request Council to enforce the Performance Standards of Part D General Rules 6 Relocated Building/Shipping Containers in the operative Plan.	Noted
House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc	184.3	RELO- Relocated Buildings and	General	General	Submission point deleted due to duplication, refer submission point 184.1.	Refer submission point 184.1.	Noted

Hilton Haulage Limited Partnership	168.4	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Considers RELO-P1 is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Silver Fern Farms	172.107	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Considers it is appropriate to provide for these activities in the GIZ with greater flexibility than in other zones.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Alliance Group Limited	173.109	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Considers it is appropriate to provide for these activities in the GIZ with greater flexibility than in other zones.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.73	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Considers RELO-P1 should also enable the use of shipping containers in the General Rural Zone as these are currently widely used within the zone.	Amend RELO-P1 as follows: <i>RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone and <u>General Rural Zone</u>.</i> <i>Enable the relocation of buildings and shipping containers in the <u>General Rural Zone</u>, General Industrial zone and Port Zone.</i>	Reject
PrimePort Limited	175.71	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Notes that shipping containers and relocatable buildings are common in the Port Zone and, in respect of shipping containers in particular, fundamental to its operations.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Barkers Fruit Processors Limited	179.24	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	The policy is considered appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.40	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Notes that shipping containers and relocatable buildings are common in the Port Zone and, in respect of shipping containers in particular, fundamental to its operations.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
GJH Rooney	191.73	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Considers RELO-P1 should also enable the use of shipping containers in the General Rural Zone as these are currently widely used within the zone.	Amend RELO-P1 as follows: <i>RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone and <u>General Rural Zone</u>.</i> <i>Enable the relocation of buildings and shipping containers in the <u>General Rural Zone</u>, General Industrial zone and Port Zone.</i>	Reject

Rooney Group Limited	249.73	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Considers RELO-P1 should also enable the use of shipping containers in the General Rural Zone as these are currently widely used within the zone.	Amend RELO-P1 as follows: RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone and <u>General Rural Zone</u>. Enable the relocation of buildings and shipping containers in the <u>General Rural Zone</u> , General Industrial zone and Port Zone.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.73	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Considers RELO-P1 should also enable the use of shipping containers in the General Rural Zone as these are currently widely used within the zone.	Amend RELO-P1 as follows: RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone and <u>General Rural Zone</u>. Enable the relocation of buildings and shipping containers in the <u>General Rural Zone</u> , General Industrial zone and Port Zone.	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.73	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Considers RELO-P1 should also enable the use of shipping containers in the General Rural Zone as these are currently widely used within the zone.	Amend RELO-P1 as follows: RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone and <u>General Rural Zone</u>. Enable the relocation of buildings and shipping containers in the <u>General Rural Zone</u> , General Industrial zone and Port Zone.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.73	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone	Considers RELO-P1 should also enable the use of shipping containers in the General Rural Zone as these are currently widely used within the zone.	Amend RELO-P1 as follows: RELO-P1 Relocated buildings and shipping containers in General Industrial Zone and <u>General Rural Zone</u>. Enable the relocation of buildings and shipping containers in the <u>General Rural Zone</u> , General Industrial zone and Port Zone.	Reject
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.74	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones	Considers RELO-P2 should be more flexible to provide for shipping containers to be screened and not readily visible but not necessarily unseen.	Amend RELO-P2 as follows: RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones Enable shipping containers where: 1. they are screened so that they are not <u>readily</u> visible from any road; or [...]	Accept in Part
GJH Rooney	191.74	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones	Considers RELO-P2 should be more flexible to provide for shipping containers to be screened and not readily visible but not necessarily unseen.	Amend RELO-P2 as follows: RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones Enable shipping containers where: 1. they are screened so that they are not <u>readily</u> visible from any road; or [...]	Accept in Part

Rooney Group Limited	249.74	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones	Considers RELO-P2 should be more flexible to provide for shipping containers to be screened and not readily visible but not necessarily unseen.	Amend RELO-P2 as follows: RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones Enable shipping containers where: 1. they are screened so that they are not readily visible from any road; or [...]	Accept in Part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.74	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones	Considers RELO-P2 should be more flexible to provide for shipping containers to be screened and not readily visible but not necessarily unseen.	Amend RELO-P2 as follows: RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones Enable shipping containers where: 1. they are screened so that they are not readily visible from any road; or [...]	Accept in Part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.74	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones	Considers RELO-P2 should be more flexible to provide for shipping containers to be screened and not readily visible but not necessarily unseen.	Amend RELO-P2 as follows: RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones Enable shipping containers where: 1. they are screened so that they are not readily visible from any road; or [...]	Accept in Part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.74	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Policies	RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones	Considers RELO-P2 should be more flexible to provide for shipping containers to be screened and not readily visible but not necessarily unseen.	Amend RELO-P2 as follows: RELO-P2 Shipping containers in all other zones Enable shipping containers where: 1. they are screened so that they are not readily visible from any road; or [...]	Accept in Part
Kāinga Ora	229.61	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	New	Considers that residential buildings designed to be relocated (i.e. constructed to standard off-site for the purpose of being moved to a site for use) should be a permitted activity, provided build form standards are met.	Insert a new rule into the RELO-Relocated Buildings Chapter as follows: <u>RELO-RX Placement of a relocated building All Residential Zones Activity</u> <u>Status: Permitted</u> <u>Where:</u>	Accept in Part

						<p><u>PER-1</u></p> <p><u>The permitted activity standards Height, Height in relation to boundary, Road setback and coverage for the Relevant Zone (GRZ or MRZ where relevant) are met.</u></p>	
Hilton Haulage Limited Partnership	168.5	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building	Considers RELO-R1 is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Road Metals Company Limited	169.36	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping containers	Rules	RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building	If companies have licensed building practitioners in house they may be able to undertake the work as envisaged by this rule, but without entering into a contract.	<p>Amend RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building as follows:</p> <p>1. All zones except the General Industrial Zone and Port Zone Activity</p> <p>status: Controlled</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>CON-1</p> <p>The applicant has entered into a contract with a Licensed Building Practitioner that confirms that within twelve months of the building being located on the site:</p> <p>1. the building will be permanently sited on foundations; and</p> <p>2. any damage to the exterior of the relocated building will be repaired to a tradesman's like manner.</p>	Accept in Part

Fulton Hogan Limited	170.38	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping containers	Rules	RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building	If companies have licensed building practitioners in house, they may be able to undertake the work as envisaged by this rule, but without entering into a contract.	Amend RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building as follows: 2. All zones except the General Industrial Zone and Port Zone Activity status: Controlled Where: CON-1 The applicant has entered into a contract with a Licensed Building Practitioner that confirms that within twelve months of the building being located on the site: 1. the building will be permanently sited on foundations; and 2. any damage to the exterior of the relocated building will be repaired to a tradesman's like manner.	Accept in Part		
Silver Fern Farms	172.108	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building	Considers it is appropriate to permit this activity in the GIZ without any further restrictions.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part		
Alliance Group Limited	173.110	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building	Considers it is appropriate to permit this activity in the GIZ without any further restrictions.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part		
PrimePort Limited	175.72	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building	Notes that relocatable buildings are common in the Port Zone and it is appropriate provision is made for them as a permitted activity.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part		
Barkers Fruit Processors Limited	179.25	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building	The rule RELO-R1 is considered appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part		
House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage	184.1	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building	The submitter seeks that relocated buildings be a permitted activity in all zones where building activities are provided for as a permitted activity and the performance standards are complied with.	Amend RELO-R1 as follows: <table border="1" data-bbox="1813 1753 2724 1854"> <tr> <td>RELO-R1</td> <td>Placement of a relocated building</td> </tr> </table>	RELO-R1	Placement of a relocated building	Accept in Part
RELO-R1	Placement of a relocated building								

Association Inc				<p>This is due to the Environment Court decision 'New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc v The Central Otago District Council. The decision determined that there was no real difference in effect in amenity values between the in-situ construction of a new dwelling and the relocation of a second- hand dwelling. The Submitter notes the proposed controlled activity status is stricter than most district councils. It is the Submitter's experience that any issues with amenity values or remediation of relocated dwellings can be addressed through the use of performance standards.</p> <p>The submitter supports Councils having a degree of control over relocated buildings through permitted activity standards.</p> <p>The Submitter supports the activity status for relocated buildings to be a restricted discretionary activity status where the permitted activity status standards are not met.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>		<p>1 General Industrial Zone Port Zone All Zones</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted Where PER-1 <u>Any relocated dwelling complies with the relevant standards for permitted activities in the District Plan;</u> and PER-2 <u>Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</u> PER-3 <u>A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building. The report shall include a certification by the property owner that the reinstatement works shall be completed within the specified 12 month period; and</u> PER-4 <u>The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site; and</u></p>	<p>Activity status where compliance not achieved: Not applicable-Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>The matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. the timeframe to permanently site the building on foundations and to repair any damage to the exterior of the building; and</u> <u>2. the quantum and details of a bank bond to guarantee the building is permanently located on foundations and any damage to the exterior is completed; and</u> <u>3. the exterior appearance and materials of the building.</u> 	
-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

						<p>PER-5</p> <p><u>All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting (c) (above) reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</u></p>		
						<p>2</p> <p>Activity status: Controlled</p> <p>All zones except the General Industrial Zone and Port Zone</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>CON-1</p> <p><u>The applicant has entered into a contract with a Licensed</u></p>	<p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted-Discretionary</p> <p>The matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p><u>1. the timeframe to permanently site the building on foundations and to repair any damage to the exterior of the building; and</u></p>	
						<p><u>Building Practitioner that confirms that within twelve months of the building being located on the site:</u></p> <p><u>1. the building will be permanently sited on foundations; and</u></p> <p><u>2. any damage to the exterior of the relocated building will be repaired to a tradesman's like manner.</u></p>	<p><u>2. the quantum and details of a bank bond to guarantee the building is permanently located on foundations and any damage to the exterior is completed; and</u></p> <p><u>the exterior appearance and materials of the building.</u></p>	

						<p>Matters of control are reserved to:</p> <p>1.—The exterior appearance and materials of the building; and;</p> <p>2.—Method and timing of notification to council to monitor the consent.</p> <p>-</p> <p>Note: This rule does not apply if the building is a temporary activity provided for in <u>TEMP—Temporary Activity Chapter.</u></p>			
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.41	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R1 Placement of a relocated building	Notes that relocatable buildings are common in the Port Zone and it is appropriate provision is made for them as a permitted activity.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part		
Hilton Haulage Limited Partnership	168.6	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Consider RELO-R2 is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part		
Road Metals Company Limited	169.37	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Opposes this rule that requires a resource consent even where the shipping container is not visible from the road. Managing the effects even where these are not visible does not seem to give effect to policy RELO-P2.	<p>Amend RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container as follows:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>2.All zones except the General industrial Zone and the Port Zone Activity</p> <p>Status: <u>Controlled Permitted</u></p> <p>Where:</p> <p><u>CON PER-1</u></p> <p>The shipping container is either:</p> <p>1. located more than 20m from a road boundary; or</p> <p>2. is not visible from the road; and</p> <p><u>CON PER --2</u></p> <p>The maximum total area of all shipping containers on the site does not exceed:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%; text-align: center;">Site Area Container</td> <td style="width: 40%; text-align: center;">Total Area of Shipping</td> </tr> </table>	Site Area Container	Total Area of Shipping	Accept in Part
Site Area Container	Total Area of Shipping								

						<p><10ha</p> <p>>10ha</p> <p>and</p> <p>CON PER -3</p> <p>There is no stacking of shipping containers.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted discretionary</p> <p><u>Controlled</u> The matters of discretion control are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. location on the site; and 2. visibility of the shipping container beyond the boundary of the site; and 3. the exterior appearance of the shipping container; and 4. landscaping and screening; and 5. the number of shipping containers on the site and cumulative effects on visual amenity and the character of the area. 	<p>20m²</p> <p>20m²per 10ha of site</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Fulton Hogan Limited	170.39	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Opposes this rule that requires a resource consent even where the shipping container is not visible from the road. Managing the effects even where these are not visible does not seem to give effect to policy RELO-P2.	<p>Amend RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container as follows:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>2.All zones except the General industrial Zone and the Port Zone Activity</p> <p>Status: Controlled <u>Permitted</u></p> <p>Where:</p> <p>CON PER-1</p> <p>The shipping container is either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. located more than 20m from a road boundary; or 2. is not visible from the road; and <p>CON PER --2</p> <p>The maximum total area of all shipping containers on the site does not exceed:</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th>Site Area Container</th> <th>Total Area of Shipping</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><10ha</td> <td>20m²</td> </tr> <tr> <td>>10ha</td> <td>20m²per 10ha of site</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>and</p> <p>CON PER -3</p> <p>There is no stacking of shipping containers.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance is not achieved: Restricted-discretionary <u>Controlled</u> The matters of discretion control are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. location on the site; and 2. visibility of the shipping container beyond the boundary of the site; and 3. the exterior appearance of the shipping container; and 4. landscaping and screening; and 5. the number of shipping containers on the site and cumulative effects on visual amenity and the character of the area. 	Site Area Container	Total Area of Shipping	<10ha	20m ²	>10ha	20m ² per 10ha of site	Accept in Part
Site Area Container	Total Area of Shipping												
<10ha	20m ²												
>10ha	20m ² per 10ha of site												
Silver Fern Farms	172.109	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Considers it is appropriate to permit this activity in the GIZ without any further restrictions	Retain as notified.							

Alliance Group Limited	173.111	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Considers it is appropriate to permit this activity in the GIZ without any further restrictions	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.75	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Opposes RELO-R2(2). This should be a permitted activity rule.	Amend RELO-R2 as follows: RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container - 2. All zones except the General industrial Zone and the Port Zone Activity Status : Controlled Permitted Where: CON-1 The shipping container is either: 1 .located more than 20m from a road boundary; or 2. is not visible from the road; and CON-2 [...]	Accept in Part
PrimePort Limited	175.73	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Shipping containers are common in the Port Zone and fundamental to its operations.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Barkers Fruit Processors Limited	179.26	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	The rule is considered appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Tosh Prodanov	117.4	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Considers RELO-R2, CON-1 should be increased from 20m2 to 30 m2 to allow for 40ft containers to be used as baches or replacement huts. These removable structures provide an ideal option as they would enable continuing use of existing sites while allowing the investment being preserved by removed when necessary.	Amend RELO-R2 as follows: RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container [...] 2. All zones except the General industrial Zone and the Port Zone [...] CON-2	Accept in Part

						<p>The maximum total area of all shipping containers on the site does not exceed:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Site area</th> <th>Total area of shipping container</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><10ha</td> <td>20m² 30m²</td> </tr> <tr> <td>>10ha</td> <td>20m² 30m² per 10ha of site area</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>[...]</p>	Site area	Total area of shipping container	<10ha	20m² 30m ²	>10ha	20m² 30m ² per 10ha of site area	
Site area	Total area of shipping container												
<10ha	20m² 30m ²												
>10ha	20m² 30m ² per 10ha of site area												
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.42	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Notes that shipping containers are common in the Port Zone and fundamental to its operations.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part						
GJH Rooney	191.75	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Opposes RELO-R2(2). This should be a permitted activity rule.	<p>Amend RELO-R2 as follows:</p> <p>RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container - 2.</p> <p>All zones except the General industrial Zone and the Port Zone Activity Status : Controlled Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>CON-1</p> <p>The shipping container is either:</p> <p>1. located more than 20m from a road boundary; or</p> <p>2. is not visible from the road; and</p> <p>CON-2</p> <p>[...]</p>	Accept in Part						

Rooney Group Limited	249.75	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Opposes RELO-R2(2). This should be a permitted activity rule.	<p>Amend RELO-R2 as follows:</p> <p>RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container - 2.</p> <p>All zones except the General industrial Zone and the Port Zone Activity</p> <p>Status : Controlled <u>Permitted</u></p> <p>Where:</p> <p>CON-1</p> <p><i>The shipping container is either:</i></p> <p><i>located more than 20m from a road boundary; or is not visible from the road; and</i></p> <p>CON-2</p> <p>[...]</p>	Accept in Part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.75	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Opposes RELO-R2(2). This should be a permitted activity rule.	<p>Amend RELO-R2 as follows:</p> <p>RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container - 2.</p> <p>All zones except the General industrial Zone and the Port Zone Activity</p> <p>Status : Controlled <u>Permitted</u></p> <p>Where:</p> <p>CON-1</p> <p><i>The shipping container is either:</i></p>	Accept in Part

						<p>located more than 20m from a road boundary; or is not visible from the road; and</p> <p>CON-2</p> <p>[...]</p>	
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.75	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Opposes RELO-R2(2). This should be a permitted activity rule.	<p>Amend RELO-R2 as follows:</p> <p>RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container - 2.</p> <p>All zones except the General industrial Zone and the Port Zone Activity</p> <p>Status : Controlled <u>Permitted</u></p> <p>Where:</p> <p>CON-1</p> <p>The shipping container is either:</p> <p>located more than 20m from a road boundary; or is not visible from the road; and</p> <p>CON-2</p> <p>[...]</p>	Accept in Part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.75	RELO - Relocated Buildings and Shipping Containers	Rules	RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container	Opposes RELO-R2(2). This should be a permitted activity rule.	<p>Amend RELO-R2 as follows:</p> <p>RELO-R2 Placement of a shipping container - 2.</p> <p>All zones except the General industrial Zone and the Port Zone Activity</p> <p>Status : Controlled <u>Permitted</u></p> <p>Where:</p> <p>CON-1</p> <p>The shipping container is either:</p> <p>located more than 20m from a road boundary; or is not visible from the road; and</p> <p>CON-2</p> <p>[...]</p>	Accept in Part

Table 3 - Signs

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.1	General	General	General	Supports the provisions of the Proposed Plan, except as otherwise specified.	Retain the provisions of the Proposed Plan as notified except as otherwise specified further in this submission.	Noted
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.10	Definitions	Definitions	Official Sign	Supports definition of Official Sign.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.11	Definitions	Definitions	Off-Site Sign	Supports definition of Off-site Sign.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Go Media Ltd	18.9	SIGN - Signs	General	General	The Section 32 Report fails to provide an adequate planning assessment to support the proposed signage chapter or the other provisions relating to signs in the PDP in its current form, and that billboards and digital billboards and non-site related advertising should be explicitly enabled in the provisions and appropriate zones	None specified.	Accept in Part
Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.9	SIGN - Signs	General	General	The Section 32 Report fails to provide an adequate planning assessment to support the proposed signage chapter. Do not support the signage chapter or the other provisions relating to signs in the PDP in its current form, and that billboards and digital billboards and non-site related advertising should be explicitly enabled in the provisions and appropriate zones	Not specified.	Accept in Part
Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.10	SIGN - Signs	General	General	If off site signage is expressly provided for as requested the submitter considers the proposed plan would achieve the requirements of the RMA, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Achieving the integrated management of the effects of use and development of land and associated natural and physical resources of the districts as required by section 31 of the RMA; Meeting the requirements of section 32 of the RMA, in that the amended policies and rules would be the most appropriate method for achieving the RMA's purpose and are the most efficient and effective means for achieving the District Plan's objectives; 	The submitter seeks the following decisions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> That billboards (including digital billboards and non-site related advertising be explicitly enabled in the plan provisions That billboards (including digital billboards) and non-site related advertising be explicitly enabled in appropriate zones (such as commercial and mixed use, industrial and port zones) through an activity specific permitted activity rule supported by recognised industry standards That the provisions allow for larger signage than is proposed That the provisions allow for more accepted lighting standards as per other regions That the provisions in the plan be amended to address issues raised in this submission 	Accept in Part

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assisting the Council to carry out its statutory functions in order to achieve the purpose of the RMA; and Promoting the sustainable management of natural and physical resources in accordance with Part 2 of the RMA. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Such other relief as may be required to give effect to this submission, including consequential amendments to objectives, policies, rules and definitions of the district plan that address the matters raised by the submitter. 	
Griff Simpson Family Trust	199.9	SIGN - Signs	General	General	The Section 32 fails to provide an adequate planning assessment to support the proposed signage chapter. Billboards (including digital billboards) and non-site related advertising should be explicitly enabled in the TTPP provisions and in appropriate zones through an activity specific rule and subject to appropriate industry standards.	None specified.	Accept in Part
Red Sky Holdings	233.9	SIGN - Signs	General	General	The Section 32 fails to provide an adequate planning assessment to support the proposed signage chapter. Submitter does not support the signage chapter or the other provisions relating to signs in the PDP in its current form, and considers that billboards and digital billboards and non-site related advertising should be explicitly enabled in the provisions and appropriate zones.	None specified.	Accept in Part
Woolworths New Zealand Limited	242.16	SIGN - Signs	General	General	The submitter supports the PDP's approach to the signage chapter, including the activity status (restricted discretionary) where standards are infringed.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.2	SIGN - Signs	Introduction	General	Supports the Introduction to the Signs Chapter which appropriately recognises the benefits of signs to people and communities and also appropriately recognises the potential effects of signs, and that it is necessary to manage such effects.	Retain Introduction of Signs Chapter as notified.	Accept
Go Media Ltd	18.1	SIGN - Signs	Objectives	SIGN-O1 Signs	Supports that part of SIGN-O1 (1) that recognises the contributions signs make to supporting business, infrastructure and community activities.	Retain SIGN-O1.1 as notified.	Accept
ANSTAR Limited	47.4	SIGN - Signs	Objectives	SIGN-O1 Signs	Supports SIGN-O1 as it acknowledges that signs provide for the District's economic and community well-being without compromising transport safety, character and amenity values, landscape values, natural values or heritage values.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.1	SIGN - Signs	Objectives	SIGN-O1 Signs	Supports SIGN-O1(1) as signs contribute to the commercial vitality and character of areas, as we all as providing a focal point and adds vibrancy and interest. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Retain SIGN-O1.1 as notified.	Accept

Fonterra Limited	165.117	SIGN - Signs	Objectives	SIGN-O1 Signs	It is appropriate to recognise the benefits of signage to the district.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.3	SIGN - Signs	Objectives	SIGN-O1 Signs	Supports Objective SIGN-O1 as worded.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Griff Simpson Family Trust	199.1	SIGN - Signs	Objectives	SIGN-O1 Signs	Supports SIGN-O1(1) as signs contribute to the commercial vitality and character of areas, as we all as providing a focal point and adds vibrancy and interest. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Retain SIGN-O1.1 as notified.	Accept
Red Sky Holdings	233.1	SIGN - Signs	Objectives	SIGN-O1 Signs	Supports SIGN-O1(1) as signs contribute to the commercial vitality and character of areas, as we all as providing a focal point and adds vibrancy and interest. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Retain SIGN-O1(1) as notified.	Accept
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.121	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P1 Managing the effects of signs	Supports this policy as it identifies permitted signs that are compatible with the purpose, character and qualities of the zone in which they are located. However, it is unclear whether Traffic Control Devices (Road Signs) are identified within this policy.	Amend SIGN-P1 Managing the effects of signs to include official signs which while may not be in keeping with the zone purpose or qualities are required for health and safety reasons.	Accept in Part
Fonterra Limited	165.118	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P1 Managing the effects of signs	It is appropriate that signs are generally enabled, but their effects (relative to the zone) are managed.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.4	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P1 Managing the effects of signs.	Supports the intent of the policy but the RMA (Resource Management Act) is not a 'nil' effect statute so there is no justification for requiring all adverse effects to be avoided. The submitter considers that requiring all adverse effects to be avoided will not be possible to achieve in commercial, mixed use, industrial and port areas where advertising is prevalent.	Amend SIGN-P1 as follows: SIGN-P1 Managing the effects of signs <i>Enable signs in all zones, but require signs to:</i> [...] <i>3. not contribute to <u>unacceptable</u> visual clutter or cumulative effects.</i>	Accept in Part
Go Media Ltd	18.2	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P2 Managing road safety	Opposes SIGN-P2.3 with the additional description to Digital signs, we feel the preceding text is inclusive of digital signage. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend Sign-P2 Managing road safety as follows: <i>Require that signs are designed and located so they do not compromise the safe use of any road by motorists, pedestrians and other road users, by:</i>	Reject

						<p>[...]</p> <p>3. ensuring sign proliferation, illumination levels, light spill, flashing and moving images and digital signs that do not cause distraction;</p> <p>[...]</p>	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.122	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P2 Managing road safety	Supports the policy as notified to manage road safety.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.2	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P2 Managing road safety	The Submitter does not support SIGN-P2.3 with the additional description to Digital signs.	<p>Amend Sign-P2 Managing road safety as follows:</p> <p>Require that signs are designed and located so they do not compromise the safe use of any road by motorists, pedestrians and other road users, by:</p> <p>1 [...]</p> <p>2 [...]</p> <p>3. ensuring sign proliferation, illumination levels, light spill, flashing and moving images and digital signs that do not cause distraction;</p> <p>[...]</p>	Reject
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.82	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P2 Managing road safety	Supports policy direction that ensures signs do not adversely affect traffic safety, particularly at rail level crossings	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.5	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P2 Managing road safety	<p>Supports the intention of SIGN-P2, but it should be directed to managing signage to ensure that the effects of the potential for distraction are acceptable. The submitter mentions research that concludes that outdoor advertising does not result in unacceptable traffic safety effects.</p> <p>[See original submission for full reasons]</p>	<p>Amend SIGN-P2 as follows:</p> <p>SIGN-P2 Managing Road Safety</p> <p>Require that signs are designed and located so they do not compromise the safe use of any road by motorists, pedestrians and other road users, by:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>3. ensuring sign proliferation, illumination levels, light spill, flashing and moving images and digital signs do not cause <u>unacceptable effects of</u> distraction;</p> <p>[...]</p>	Accept in Part
Griff Simpson Family Trust	199.2	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P2 Managing road safety	The Submitter does not support SIGN-P2(3) with the additional description to Digital signs.	<p>Amend Sign-P2 Managing road safety as follows:</p> <p>Require that signs are designed and located so they do not compromise the safe use of any road by motorists, pedestrians and other road users, by:</p> <p>1[...]</p>	Reject

						2[...] 3. ensuring sign proliferation, illumination levels, light spill, flashing and moving images and digital signs that do not cause distraction; [...]	
Red Sky Holdings	233.2	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P2 Managing road safety	The Submitter does not support SIGN-P2(3) with the additional description to Digital signs.	Amend Sign-P2 as follows: Sign-P2 Managing road safety <i>Require that signs are designed and located so they do not compromise the safe use of any road by motorists, pedestrians and other road users, by:</i> 1[...] 2[...] 3. ensuring sign proliferation, illumination levels, light spill, flashing and moving images and digital signs that do not cause distraction; [...]	Reject
Go Media Ltd	18.3	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P3 Off-site commercial advertising signs	Considers SIGN-P3 is too restrictive to allow for quality installation of third party signage. The Policy is contradictory to the objective SIGN-O1. The Policy does not allow businesses and community activities to advertise other than onsite. SIGN- P3.3 is linked to SIGN-P1 and SIGN-P2, the management of signage is better managed via rules and standards for off-site signage. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	None specified.	Accept in Part
ANSTAR Limited	47.2	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P3 Off-site Commercial advertising signs	Opposes SIGN-P3 as there is no effects based reason to single out off-site signs including billboards, providing effects are managed by appropriate rules.	Delete SIGN- P3 Off-site Commercial advertising signs.	Reject

					Traffic safety is not related to zones and such effects can be managed. [Refer original submission for full reason].		
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.123	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P3 Off-site Commercial advertising signs	Supports the policy as notified which seeks to limit off-site commercial advertising signs.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.3	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P3 Off-site commercial advertising signs	The Submitter opposes SIGN-P3 as it is considered too restrictive to allow for quality installation of third-party signage and because it does not allow businesses and community activities to advertise other than on the specific site it is located.	Not specified.	Accept in Part
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.6	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P3 Off-site commercial advertising signs	Opposes SIGN-P3, which seeks to avoid new 'off-site commercial advertising signs' unless certain criteria are met, as it will place a significant burden on an applicant to demonstrate there is no precedence, no cumulative effects or no similar applications. The submitter believes the policy, combined with non-complying activity status will not deliver on many Strategic Directions and commercial zones objectives. [See original submission for full reasons]	Amend SIGN-P3 as follows: SIGN-P3 Offsite Commercial advertising signs Avoid Provide for new off-site commercial advertising signs not provided for under SIGN-P4, unless where: 1. it can be demonstrated it will not establish a precedent or result in similar applications to request equivalent treatment they are of an appropriate size, design and location; and 2. they will not create unacceptable cumulative adverse effects; and 3. they meets the requirements detailed in SIGN-P1 and SIGNP2; and 4. they maintain the character and amenity values of the site and the surrounding area, while having regard to the outcomes that the zone of the site anticipates.	Accept in Part
Griff Simpson Family Trust	199.3	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P3 Off-site commercial advertising signs	The Submitter opposes SIGN-P3 as it is considered too restrictive to allow for quality installation of third-party signage and because it does not allow businesses and community activities to advertise other than on the specific site it is located.	Not specified.	Accept in Part
Red Sky Holdings	233.3	SIGN - Signs	Policies	SIGN-P3 Off-site commercial advertising signs	The Submitter opposes SIGN-P3 as it is considered too restrictive to allow for quality installation of third-party signage and because it does not allow businesses and community activities to advertise other than on the specific site it is located.	Not specified.	Accept in Part

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.124	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R1 Official signs	Supports the rule as it provides for a permitted activity status for official signs	Retain as notified.	Accept
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.90	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R1 Official signs	Supports that the rule enables official signs without constraint, including those that relate to the National Grid, thereby providing for the health and safety of people and communities.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Fonterra Limited	165.119	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R1 Official signs	Supports the activity status of official signs.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Go Media Ltd	18.4	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Considers SIGN-R4.PER-1 which specifically excludes all signs which are off site. This is too prohibitive for off signage. rules should be more inclusive and apply a more balanced consideration for off-site signage in the Commercial/Mixed use zones and General Industrial Zones. The rules seek to control activity rather than the effects of signage. Overall, seeks to expressly provide for off site signs.	Amend SIGN-R4.PER-1 to provide more inclusive rules and apply a more balanced consideration for off-site signage in the CMUZ and GIZ. [No specific rule standards requested]	Accept in Part
ANSTAR Limited	47.1	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Opposes that SIGN-R4 does not provide for off-site signs as a permitted activity within Industrial, Commercial or Mixed-Use Zones despite manageable and comparable effects to on-site signs. Considers there is no basis for default to non-complying activity status. [Refer original submission for full reason].	Amend SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to enable off-site signage (billboards) as a permitted or controlled activity (supported by suitable criteria), defaulting to restricted discretionary, should the criteria not be met; and • remove any reference to off-site signs; and • remove the non-complying activity status within all zones; and • treat on-site and off-site signs the same. Amend SIGN-R4 as follows: 1. Commercial and mixed use zones, Residential zones, rural zones, Māori Purpose Zone	Accept in Part

						<p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-3 or PER-4: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>PER-1 The sign is not an off-site sign; and</p> <p>PER-2 The sign must not be flashing or moving; and</p> <p>PER-3 The sign must comply with the height in relation to boundary requirements for the Zone; and</p> <p>PER-4 The activity complies with all the Standards of this chapter.</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. the matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</p> <p>Note: Where compliance with PER-3 is not achieved, the matters of discretion for the zone requirements apply.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-2: Discretionary</p> <p>Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-1: Non-complying</p>
						<p>2. Open space and recreation zones</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-3 or PER-4: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Where</p> <p>PER-1 The sign:</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. the matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</p>

					<p>1. is not an off-site sign; or</p> <p>2. is an off-site sign which:</p> <p>a. is for commercial sponsorship of a recreation activity; and</p> <p>b. will not be visible beyond the site; and</p> <p>PER-2</p> <p>The sign is ancillary to a recreation activity; and</p> <p>PER-3</p> <p>The sign must meet the height to boundary requirements for the Zone; and</p> <p>PER-4</p> <p>The activity complies with all the Standards of this chapter.</p> <p>3. General Industrial Zone, Port Zone</p>	<p>Note: Where compliance with PER-4 is not achieved, the matters of discretion for the zone requirements apply.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-2: Discretionary</p> <p>Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-1: Non-complying</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---	---

						<p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where</p> <p>PER-1</p> <p>The sign is not an off-site sign; and</p> <p>PER-2</p> <p>The sign must comply with the height in relation to boundary requirements for the Zone; and</p> <p>PER-3</p> <p>The activity complies with all the Standards of this chapter.</p>	<p>Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-2 or PER-3: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>1. the matters of discretion of any infringed standard.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Where compliance with PER-2 is not achieved, the matters of discretion for the zone requirements apply.</p> <p>Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-1: Non-complying</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

- Such further or other or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan

Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.4	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	The Submitter opposes SIGN-R4.PER-1 as it specifically excludes all signs which are off-site. Considers that the rule is too prohibitive and any third-party signage would be non-complying automatically.	Amend SIGN-R4.PER-1 for more inclusive rules and apply a more balanced consideration for off-site signage in the CMUZ and GIZ. [No specific rule standards requested]	Accept in Part
Fonterra Limited	165.120	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Considers the permitted activity status and parameters as appropriate. Submitter considers that the SRIZ should be added to SIGN-R4.3	Amend SIGN-R4 as follows: [...] 3. General industrial one Port Zone <u>Strategic Rural Industry Zone</u>	Accept in Part
PrimePort Limited	175.74	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Considers the signage provisions in SIGN-R4.3 is appropriately flexible for the Port Zone.	Retain SIGN-R4.3 as notified.	Accept in Part
Connexa Limited	176.88	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Supports that Sign R4 clearly permits signs associated with network utilities.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.43	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Considers the signage provisions in is appropriately flexible for the Port Zone.	Retain SIGN-R4.3 as notified.	Accept in Part
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.7	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Opposes SIGN-R4 as the Non-complying activity status for 'off-site commercial signage' across all zones will result in an unreasonable and unnecessarily onerous resource consent process. Amenity and transportation effects of 'off-site commercial advertising signs' can be dealt with on a broadly discretionary basis. The submitter believes the S.32 does not adequately describe or consider alternatives, or assess the change from the status quo. [See original submission for full reasons]	Amend SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter to apply the following activity status to off-site signs: • Discretionary activity within the Commercial and Mixed Use zones, the General Industrial Zone and Port Zone • Non-complying activity within the Residential Zones, Rural Zones, Māori Purpose Zone, Open space and recreation zones.	Accept in Part
Griff Simpson Family Trust	199.4	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in	The Submitter opposes SIGN-R4.PER-1 as it specifically excludes all signs which are off-site. Considers that the rule is too prohibitive and any third-party signage	Amend SIGN-R4.PER-1 for more inclusive rules and apply a more balanced consideration for off-site signage in the CMUZ and GIZ.	Accept in Part

				the Rules section of this chapter	would be non-complying automatically. Seeks that non-site related signage be explicitly enabled.	[No specific rule standards requested]	
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.88	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Supports that Sign R4 clearly permits signs associated with network utilities.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.88	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Supports that Sign R4 clearly permits signs associated with network utilities.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.88	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	Supports that Sign R4 clearly permits signs associated with network utilities.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Red Sky Holdings	233.4	SIGN - Signs	Rules	SIGN-R4 Any signs not otherwise address in the Rules section of this chapter	The Submitter opposes SIGN-R4.PER-1 as it specifically excludes all signs which are off-site. Considers that the rule is too prohibitive and any third-party signage would be non-complying automatically. Seeks that non-site related signage be explicitly enabled.	Amend SIGN-R4.PER-1 for more inclusive rules and apply a more balanced consideration for off-site signage in the CMUZ and GIZ. No specific rule standards requested.	Accept in Part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.125	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S1 Traffic safety	Support SIGN-S1, however, request that clause 3 be amended to cover all signs visible from a road. Considers Council should also consider whether additional standards such as sight distances, maximum number of words/elements should be added to this standards. The Traffic Control Devices Manual - Part 3 Advertising Signs (Appendix 1) gives direction to these standards to reduce potential traffic safety effects.	Amend SIGN-S1 as follows: SIGN-S1 Traffic safety All Zones [...] 3. All signs <u>within 10 horizontal metres of visible from</u> a road must comply with the minimum lettering sizes in Table 27 - Minimum lettering size. [...]	Accept in Part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.83	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S1 Traffic safety	Support the requirement for signs to not be erected in a manner that obstructs any intersection.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.8	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S1 Traffic Safety	Considers SIGN-S1 needs to be amended as the minimum setback distances between signs which are within 10m of a legal road, is too onerous and impracticable to comply with, particular in urban commercial environments where the	Amend SIGN-S1 as follows: SIGN-S1 Traffic Safety [...] 3. All signs within 10 horizontal metres of a road must comply with the minimum lettering sizes in Table 27 - Minimum lettering size.	Accept in Part

					density and 'spacing' of commercial activities will invariably result in closely spaced signage, and will lead to inefficient resource consent processes.	4. All signs within 10 horizontal metres of a road must comply with the minimum setback distances from other signs as read from one direction and measured parallel to the centre line of the road in Table 28—Separation distances.	
Go Media Ltd	18.5	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs	<p>SIGN-S2.2: Considers a 30-second dwell time of a digital image is too long most other regions have applied standards of 8 seconds based on empirical evidence from existing signs.</p> <p>SIGN-S2.7: Considers that is a level of illumination that is too low for a digital sign at 200cd/m² and 5000cd/m² is more appropriate. This has been applied in other regions such as Auckland Unitary Plan and Christchurch City Council. The Submitter states that the control of daytime illumination through an automated brightness control system is more important than any applied maximum, automated brightness systems model brightness to be appropriate for mean ambient light conditions at that time.</p> <p>SIGN-S2.8: Oppose to this clause as it does not allow digital signs to be located adjoining the State Highway. Submitter states that other regions apply permitted rules and standards and only include Waka Kotahi when permitted standards are breached.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Amend SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs as follows:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>2. Any illuminated, moving, flashing or digital display sign must only display still images, and where multiple still images are displayed, each still image must be displayed for a minimum of 30 <u>insert a shorter dwell time</u> seconds each before changing to a different still image, and there must be transitions between still images apart from cross-dissolve of a maximum 0.5 seconds.</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>7. Illumination levels of any sign must not exceed 2000 <u>5000</u> candelas per square metre between sunrise and sunset.</p> <p>8. No digital sign is to be located adjoining a State Highway. [No specific changes requested to clause 8].</p>	Accept in Part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.126	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs	Supports this standard (clause 8) which states that no digital billboard shall be located adjoining a state highway. However, it is sought that the standard also provides for discretion of adverse effects on traffic safety, which is a key consideration when assessing digital billboards as they have the ability to distract the attention of road users and result in traffic safety effects.	<p>Amend SIGN-S2 as follows:</p> <p>SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs All zones</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <p>[...]</p>	Accept

						<p>5. whether the sign would result in any direct light overspill onto a residential property or the road network; and</p> <p><u>6. any adverse effects on traffic safety; and</u></p> <p><u>7. any positive effects of the sign.</u></p>	
Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.5	SIGN - Signs	Standards	<p>SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs</p> <p>1. SIGN-S2.2: Considers a 30-second dwell time of a digital image is too long most other regions have applied standards of 8 seconds based on empirical evidence from existing signs.</p> <p>SIGN-S2.7: Considers that is a level of illumination that is too low for a digital sign at 200cd/m² and 5000cd/m² is more appropriate. This has been applied in other regions such as Auckland Unitary Plan and Christchurch City Council. The Submitter states that the control of daytime illumination through an automated brightness control system is more important than any applied maximum, automated brightness systems moderate brightness to be appropriate for mean ambient light conditions at that time.</p> <p>3. SIGN-S2.8: Oppose to this clause as it does not allow digital signs to be located adjoining the State Highway. Submitter states that other regions apply permitted rules and standards and only include Waka Kotahi when permitted standards are breached.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Amend SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs as follows:</p> <p>1 [...].</p> <p>2. Any illuminated, moving, flashing or digital display sign must only display still images, and where multiple still images are displayed, each still image must be displayed for a minimum of 30 <u>insert a shorter dwell time</u> seconds each before changing to a different still image, and there must be transitions between still images apart from cross-dissolve of a maximum 0.5 seconds.</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>7. Illumination levels of any sign must not exceed 2000 <u>5000</u> candelas per square metre between sunrise and sunset.</p> <p>8. No digital sign is to be located adjoining a State Highway. [No specific changes requested to clause 8]</p>	Accept in Part	
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.9	SIGN - Signs	Standards	<p>Opposes SIGN -S2 as several of the proposed standards are overly onerous and are not justifiably necessary. The submitter provides examples of research, safety information and examples of how signage is managed at intersections.</p> <p>[See original submission for full reasons]</p>	<p>Amend SIGN-S2 as follows:</p> <p>SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>2. Any illuminated, moving, flashing or digital display sign must only display still images, and where multiple still images are displayed, each still image must be displayed for a minimum of 30 <u>8</u> seconds each before changing to a different still image, and there must be no transitions between still images apart from cross-dissolve of a maximum of 0.5 seconds.</p> <p>3. No illuminated, moving, flashing or digital display sign must be visible to vehicles travelling on a legal road within 100 metres of an intersection.</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>7. Illumination levels of any sign must not exceed 2000 <u>5000</u> candelas per square metre between sunrise and sunset.</p> <p>8. No digital sign is to be located adjoining a State Highway.</p>	Accept in Part	

Griff Simpson Family Trust	199.5	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs	<p>1. SIGN-S2.2: Considers a 30-second dwell time of a digital image is too long most other regions have applied standards of 8 seconds based on empirical evidence from existing signs.</p> <p>SIGN-S2.7: Considers that is a level of illumination that is too low for a digital sign at 200cd/m² and 5000cd/m² is more appropriate. This has been applied in other regions such as Auckland Unitary Plan and Christchurch City Council. The Submitter states that the control of daytime illumination through an automated brightness control system is more important than any applied maximum, automated brightness systems moderate brightness to be appropriate for mean ambient light conditions at that time.</p> <p>3. SIGN-S2.8: Oppose to this clause as it does not allow digital signs to be located adjoining the State Highway. Submitter states that other regions apply permitted rules and standards and only include Waka Kotahi when permitted standards are breached.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Amend SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs as follows:</p> <p>1[...].</p> <p>2. Any illuminated, moving, flashing or digital display sign must only display still images, and where multiple still images are displayed, each still image must be displayed for a minimum of 30 <u>insert a shorter dwell time</u> seconds each before changing to a different still image, and there must be transitions between still images apart from cross-dissolve of a maximum 0.5 seconds.</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>7. Illumination levels of any sign must not exceed 2000 <u>5000</u> candelas per square metre between sunrise and sunset.</p> <p>8 No digital sign is to be located adjoining a State Highway</p> <p>[No specific changes requested to clause 8]</p>	Accept in Part
Red Sky Holdings	233.5	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs	<p>1. SIGN-S2.2: Considers a 30-second dwell time of a digital image is too long most other regions have applied standards of 8 seconds based on empirical evidence from existing signs.</p> <p>2. SIGN-S2.7: Considers that is a level of illumination that is too low for a digital sign at 200cd/m² and 5000cd/m² is more appropriate. This has been applied in other regions such as Auckland Unitary Plan and Christchurch City Council. The Submitter states that the control of daytime illumination through an automated brightness control system is more important than any applied maximum, automated brightness systems moderate brightness to be appropriate for mean ambient light conditions at that time.</p> <p>3. SIGN-S2.8: Oppose to this clause as it does not allow digital signs to be located adjoining the State Highway. Submitter states that other regions apply permitted rules and standards and only include Waka Kotahi when permitted standards are breached.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Amend SIGN-S2 as follows:</p> <p>SIGN-S2 Illuminated, moving, flashing and digital signs</p> <p>1.[...]</p> <p>2. Any illuminated, moving, flashing or digital display sign must only display still images, and where multiple still images are displayed, each still image must be displayed for a minimum of 30 <u>insert a shorter dwell time</u> seconds each before changing to a different still image, and there must be transitions between still images apart from cross-dissolve of a maximum 0.5 seconds.</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>7. Illumination levels of any sign must not exceed 2000 <u>5,000</u> candelas per square metre between sunrise and sunset.</p>	Accept in Part

						8 No digital sign is to be located adjoining a State Highway. No specific changes requested to clause 8.	
Go Media Ltd	18.6	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S3 Maximum height of signage	Considers that SIGN-S3.2.1 that applies a maximum height of 4m for any sign not attached to a building, is too low for any zone especially Commercial/Mixed Use Zones, Port and General Industrial Zones where taller signage is ordinarily anticipated. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	None specified.	Accept
Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.6	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S3 Maximum height of signage	Submitter opposes SIGN-S3.2.1 as it applies a 4m height limit for free standing signs, which is considered to be too low for any zone in particular Commercial/Mixed Use Zones, Port and General Industrial Zones where other regions anticipate taller signs.	Not specified.	Accept
Fonterra Limited	165.121	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S3 Maximum height of signage	The permitted activity status and parameters are considered appropriate. Submitter considers that the SRIZ should be added to SIGN-S3.2.	Amend SIGN-S3 Maximum height of signage as follows: [...] 2. Commercial and Mixed Use zones Open Space and Recreation zones General Industrial Zone Port Zone <u>Strategic Rural Industry Zone</u>	Accept in Part
PrimePort Limited	175.75	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S3 Maximum height of signage	Considers the proposed height limits are appropriate for the Port Zone.	Retain SIGN-S3.2 as notified.	Accept in Part
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.44	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S3 Maximum height of signage	Considers the proposed height limits (SIGN-S3.2) are appropriate for the Port Zone.	Retain SIGN-S3.2 as notified.	Accept in Part
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.10	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S3 Maximum Height of Signage	Considers a maximum height of 4m is insufficient and does not reflect the common use of taller signs. Considers signs with a maximum height of 8m is more appropriate, noting that building height standards within these zones ranges between 10m and 20m.	Amend SIGN-S3 as follows: SIGN-S3 Maximum Height of Signage 1. All Zones	Accept

						<p>Any temporary sign must not exceed 3m in height, measured from ground level. 2. Commercial and Mixed Use zones Open Space and Recreation zones General Industrial Zone</p> <p>Port Zone</p> <p>1. Any freestanding sign must not exceed 4m 8m in height, measured from ground level. [...]</p>	
Griff Simpson Family Trust	199.6	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S3 Maximum height of signage	Submitter opposes SIGN-S3.2.1 as it applies a 4m height limit for free standing signs, which is considered to be too low for any zone in particular Commercial/Mixed Use Zones, Port and General Industrial Zones where other regions anticipate taller signs.	Not specified	Accept
Red Sky Holdings	233.6	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S3 Maximum height of signage	Submitter opposes SIGN-S3.2.1 as it applies a 4m height limit for free standing signs, which is considered to be too low for any zone in particular Commercial/Mixed Use Zones, Port and General Industrial Zones where other regions anticipate taller signs.	Not specified.	Accept
Fonterra Limited	165.122	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S4 Maximum area of a sign	The permitted activity status and parameters are considered appropriate. Submitter considers that the SRIZ should be added to SIGN-S4.6.	<p>Amend SIGN-S4 Maximum area of a sign as follows:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>6.</p> <p>General Industrial Zone Port Zone</p> <p><u>Strategic Rural Industry Zone</u></p>	Accept in Part
PrimePort Limited	175.76	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S4 Maximum area of a sign	Considers the signage provision is appropriately flexible for the Port Zone.	Retain SIGN-S4.6 as notified.	Accept
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.45	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S4 Maximum area of a sign	The signage provision (SIGN-S4.6) is appropriately flexible for the Port Zone.	Retain SIGN-S4.6 as notified.	Accept
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.11	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S4 Maximum area of a sign	Amend Standard SIGN-S4 as a 5m ² maximum area standard for free-standing signs in commercial and mixed use environments is insufficient, and does not recognise or provide for the requirements for effective commercial signs. A maximum area of 20m ² is more appropriate, and that the effects of larger signs are able to be readily assessed through the resource consent process.	<p>Amend SIGN-S4 as follows:</p> <p>SIGN -S4 Maximum area of a sign</p> <p>1. All Zones</p> <p>1. Any temporary sign must not exceed 2m² in area but may be double sided. Where a sign is double sided, the maximum area of the sign is calculated as the area of one side of the sign.</p> <p>2. Commercial and Mixed Use zones.</p>	Accept in Part

						<i>Any freestanding sign must not exceed 520m² in area. [...]</i>	
Go Media Ltd	18.7	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S4.2 Maximum area of a sign	Opposes SIGN-S4.2, as 5m ² is too small for a sign, the standard is too small for these zones, this would necessitate a restricted discretionary/non-complying application for most freestanding signs. There is no provision for a double-sided sign in a v format, many other regions assign a maximum angle of separation, e.g. CCC say 30 degrees. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	None specified.	Accept in Part
Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.7	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S4.2 Maximum area of a sign	Submitter opposes SIGN-S4.2 as 5m ² is considered too small for a sign in the CMUZ and that most free-standing signs would require a resource consent. Submitter states that there is no provision for double sided sign in a 'V' format with other regions assigning a maximum angle of separation.	Not specified.	Accept in Part
Griff Simpson Family Trust	199.7	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S4.2 Maximum area of a sign	Submitter opposes SIGN-S4.2 as 5m ² is considered too small for a sign in the CMUZ and that most free-standing signs would require a resource consent. Submitter states that there is no provision for double sided sign in a 'V' format with other regions assigning a maximum angle of separation	Not specified	Accept in Part
Red Sky Holdings	233.7	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S4.2 Maximum area of a sign	Submitter opposes SIGN-S4.2 as 5m ² is considered too small for a sign in the CMUZ and that most free-standing signs would require a resource consent. Submitter states that there is no provision for double sided sign in a 'V' format with other regions assigning a maximum angle of separation.	Not specified.	Accept in Part

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.127	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S5 Maximum number of temporary signs	Supports this standard which limits the number of temporary signs per site, however, if this standard is not met, but suggests that the matters of discretion should be extended to include potential effects on traffic safety	Amend SIGN-S5 as follows: SIGN-S5 Maximum number of temporary signs All zones: [...] Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. any impact on the character and amenity values of the surrounding area; and 2. whether the sign contributes to visual clutter; and 3. any adverse cumulative effects; and 4. <u>any adverse effects on traffic safety; and</u> 5. 4 any positive effects of the sign.	Accept
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.128	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S6 Maximum number of signs (not including Official signs and Temporary signs)	Supports this standard which limits the number of signs (excluding official and temporary signs) per site, however, if this standard is not met, Waka Kotahi suggest that the matters of discretion should be extended to include potential effects on traffic safety.	Amend SIGN-S6 as follows: SIGN-S6 Maximum number of signs All zones: [...] Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. any impact on the character and amenity values of the surrounding area; and 2. whether the sign contributes to visual clutter; and 3. any adverse cumulative effects; and 4. <u>any adverse effects on traffic safety; and</u> 5. 4 any positive effects of the sign.	Accept
Fonterra Limited	165.123	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S6 Maximum number of signs (not including Official signs and Temporary signs)	The permitted activity status and parameters are considered appropriate. Submitter considers that the SRIZ should be added to SIGN-S6.1	Amend SIGN-S6 Maximum number of signs as follows: 1. Commercial and Mixed Use zones General industrial Zone Port Zone <u>Strategic Rural Industry Zone</u>	Accept in Part

PrimePort Limited	175.77	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S6 Maximum number of signs (not including Official signs and Temporary signs)	Considers the signage provision is appropriately flexible for the Port Zone.	Retain SIGN-S6.1 as notified.	Accept in Part																												
Timaru District Holdings Limited	186.46	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S6 Maximum number of signs (not including Official signs and Temporary signs)	The signage provision (SIGN-S6.1) is appropriately flexible for the Port Zone.	Retain SIGN-S6.1 as notified.	Accept in Part																												
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.12	SIGN - Signs	Standards	SIGN-S6 Maximum number of signs (not including Official signs and Temporary signs)	Supports Standard SIGN-S6 which does not limit the number of signs on a site in the Commercial and Mixed Use zones, General Industrial Zone, and the Port Zone.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part																												
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency - Stuart Pearson	143.129	SIGN - Signs	Standards	Table 27 - Minimum lettering size	<p>Suggest that Table 27 should be amended to better reflect Table 6.2 of the TCD Manual:</p> <p>Table 6.2: Minimum recommended letter sizes on roadside advertising signs</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Posted speed</th> <th>Letter height</th> <th>Property name</th> <th>Secondary message</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Limit (km/h)</th> <th>Main message</th> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>50</td> <td>150</td> <td>100</td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>60</td> <td>175</td> <td>125</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>70</td> <td>200</td> <td>150</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>80</td> <td>250</td> <td>175</td> <td>125</td> </tr> <tr> <td>100</td> <td>300</td> <td>200</td> <td>150</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Posted speed	Letter height	Property name	Secondary message	Limit (km/h)	Main message			50	150	100	75	60	175	125	90	70	200	150	100	80	250	175	125	100	300	200	150	Amend Table 27 - Minimum lettering size Regulatory to better reflect Table 6.2 of the TCD Manual.	Accept
Posted speed	Letter height	Property name	Secondary message																																
Limit (km/h)	Main message																																		
50	150	100	75																																
60	175	125	90																																
70	200	150	100																																
80	250	175	125																																
100	300	200	150																																
Go Media Ltd	18.8	SIGN - Signs	Standards	Table 28 - Separation distances	<p>Considers the separation distances are too prohibitive. It could mean that in the township and industrial areas it would mean only every second or third property would be able to have a sign.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reasons].</p>	None specified.	Accept in Part																												

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.130	SIGN - Signs	Standards	Table 28 - Separation distances	<p>Suggest that Table 28 should be amended to better reflect the separation distance set out in Table 5.3 of the TCD Manual:</p> <p>Table 5.3: Minimum distances between adjacent roadside advertising signs</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Posted speed limit (km/h)</th> <th>Minimum recommended spacing (m)</th> <th>Desirable spacing (m)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>60</td> <td>55</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>70</td> <td>60</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>80</td> <td>70</td> <td>200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>100</td> <td>80</td> <td>250</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Posted speed limit (km/h)	Minimum recommended spacing (m)	Desirable spacing (m)	50	50	80	60	55	100	70	60	150	80	70	200	100	80	250	<p>Clarification is requested from the Council regarding the separation distances and how these should apply.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Table 28 - Separation distances to better reflect the separation distance set out in Table 5.3 of the TCD Manual.</p>	
Posted speed limit (km/h)	Minimum recommended spacing (m)	Desirable spacing (m)																							
50	50	80																							
60	55	100																							
70	60	150																							
80	70	200																							
100	80	250																							
Fi Glass Products Ltd (Mr Boats)	161.8	SIGN - Signs	Standards	Table 28 - Separation distances	<p>Considers that the separation distances between signs is too prohibitive as it assumes that a property boundary would be greater than 60m.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	Not specified.	Accept in Part																		
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	188.13	SIGN - Signs	Standards	Table 28 - Separation distances	<p>Opposes the minimum separation distance between Signs. Considers the separation distances between signs which is too onerous and impracticable to comply with, particular in urban commercial environments where the density and 'spacing' of commercial activities will invariably result in closely spaced signage, and will lead to inefficient resource consent processes.</p>	Delete Table 28 - Separation of distances.	Accept in Part																		
Griff Simpson Family Trust	199.8	SIGN - Signs	Standards	Table 28 - Separation distances	<p>Considers that the separation distances between signs is too prohibitive as it assumes that a property boundary would be greater than 60m.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	Not specified	Accept in Part																		
Red Sky Holdings	233.8	SIGN - Signs	Standards	Table 28 - Separation distances	<p>Considers that the separation distances between signs is too prohibitive as it assumes that a property boundary would be greater than 60m.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	Not specified.	Accept in Part																		

Table 4 - Temporary activities

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
New Zealand Motor Caravan Association (Inc.)	134.6	NOSZ - Natural Open Space Zone	General	General	The PDP proposes very restrictive management of uses within the NOZ, and no provision is made for camping, as either an existing activity or a possible future activity. This is inconsistent with DoC strategy which encourages people to use their estate and connect with nature. Council presently allows freedom camping at Waitohi Bush and Te Moana Gorge which will be restricted under the NOSZ. [Refer original submission for full reason]	1. Request that existing camping activities be provided for as permitted activities in the NOSZ . AND that extensions to public use of these areas for walking, cycling and camping should be explicitly provided for as a discretionary activity.	Accept in Part
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	131.4	Definitions	Definitions	New	Seeks the inclusion of a new definition for 'Temporary emergency services training activity' in order to provide greater clarity to plan users and to support the relief sought elsewhere in this submission. Firefighter training is essential and may include live fire training and equipment training both on and off site.	Add new definition as follows: <u>TEMPORARY EMERGENCY SERVICES TRAINING ACTIVITY</u> <u>means a temporary activity undertaken for the training of any component of Fire and Emergency New Zealand for any emergency purpose.</u> <u>An emergency purpose are those purposes which enable Fire and Emergency New Zealand to achieve its main functions under sections 11 and 12 of the Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017.</u>	Accept in Part
Milward Finlay Lobb	60.6	Definitions	Definitions	Temporary activity	Concerned that no duration has been specified.	Amend definition of temporary activity to stipulate length of activity. E.g. for no longer than 14 days.	Reject
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	131.5	Definitions	Definitions	Temporary Event	Supports in part the definition of 'temporary activity', insofar that it provides for temporary events and emergency service training events. However, prefers managing fire and emergency activities through the proposed definition for 'temporary emergency training' and therefore requests amendments to separate fire and emergency activities from temporary activities.	Amend the definition of Temporary Event as follows: <i>Means a type of temporary activity that is a planned public or social occasion and includes carnivals, fairs, markets, auctions, displays, rallies, shows, commercial filming or video production, gymkhanas (equestrian), dog trials, concert, and other recreational and sporting activities, public meetings, and hui, and emergency services training events, but excludes motorsport events.</i> <i>This is a sub-definition of temporary activity.</i>	Accept in Part
New Zealand Defence Force	151.1	Definitions	Definitions	Temporary Military Training Activity (TMTA)	Supports the definition as it is consistent with the definition in the National Planning Standards.	Retain as notified.	Accept

Jet Boating New Zealand	48.16	TEMP - Temporary activities	Objectives	TEMP-O1 Temporary activity	Supports the provision for the temporary activity of organised recreational jet boating events - being events promoted and organised by JBNZ.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	131.15	TEMP - Temporary activities	Objectives	TEMP-O1 Temporary activity	Supports that temporary activities contribute to the wellbeing of the community, have limited duration, do not cause significant adverse effects or permanently alter the environment.	Retain as notified.	Accept
New Zealand Defence Force	151.3	TEMP - Temporary activities	Objectives	TEMP-O1 Temporary activity	Supports TEMP-O1 as it recognises the benefits of temporary activities, including TMTA, which contribute to the wellbeing of the community.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.131	TEMP - Temporary activities	Policies	New	While Policy TEMP-P1 acknowledges the benefits of temporary activities as a whole, it does not give consideration to potential adverse effects. Policies TEMP-P2, TEMP-P3 and TEMP-P4 all recognise the potential adverse effects of the activities they relate to, however, there are temporary activities outside of those covered by P2, P3 and P4. Therefore, the submitter suggests that a new policy is inserted which covers the management of effects for any temporary activity.	Add new policy as follows: <u>TEMP-PX - Managing adverse effects of temporary activities</u> <u>Provide for temporary activities while managing adverse effects on the surrounding environment, including regionally significant infrastructure.</u>	Reject
Jet Boating New Zealand	48.17	TEMP - Temporary activities	Policies	TEMP-P1 Benefits of temporary activities	Supports the policy to provide for the temporary activity of recreational jet boating events as they are beneficial when appropriately controlled.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
New Zealand Defence Force	151.4	TEMP - Temporary activities	Policies	TEMP-P1 Benefits of temporary activities	Supports TEMP-P1 as it recognises the social, economic and cultural benefits of TMTA. Being able to undertake TMTA across a wide range of locations is critical to ensuring that the Submitter is ready to respond to a military or civilian emergency or disaster, in New Zealand or overseas.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.132	TEMP - Temporary activities	Policies	TEMP-P2 Temporary Construction	Supports proposed policy TEMP-P2, specifically clause 3 which recognises the importance of ensuring temporary activities do not adversely affect the safety and efficiency of the transport network.	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part

New Zealand Defence Force	151.5	TEMP - Temporary activities	Policies	TEMP-P2 Temporary Construction, temporary military training and temporary events	Considers transport matters for temporary activities are more appropriately addressed in the Transport chapter. Also considers that as adverse effects from temporary activities are temporary, temporary activities should not be required to be consistent with the character and qualities of the zone.	Amend TEMP-P2 as follows: <i>TEMP-P2 Temporary Construction, temporary military training and temporary events</i> <i>Ensure that any temporary construction buildings and structures, temporary military training activities and temporary events, including those with ancillary buildings and structures, are compatible with the surrounding environment by requiring that they:</i> <i>1. are for a limited duration only; and</i> <i>2. do not result in permanent adverse effects on the environment; and</i> <i>3. do not adversely affect the safety and efficiency of the transport network;</i> <i>and</i> <i>4. are of a scale and location that is consistent with the anticipated character and qualities of the zone where they occur; and</i> <i>5. do not have the potential to have significant adverse effects on the environment.</i>	Accept in Part
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	131.16	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	New	To ensure an efficient and effective emergency response, firefighter training is an essential activity undertaken by Fire and Emergency. A new rule for temporary emergency services training activities will assure that any adverse effects of temporary activities are managed in accordance with community expectations.	Amend TEMP Temporary Activities to include a new rule: <i>TEMP-R5 Temporary emergency services training activities All Zones</i> <i>1. Activity Status: PER</i> <i>Where the following conditions are met:</i> <i>1. Limited to:</i> <i>i. a period of two days, excluding set-up or pack-down activities, which can occur up to one week prior to commencement and up to one week following completion of the temporary emergency services training activity.</i> <i>2. Activity status where compliance not achieved: N/A</i>	Accept in Part
New Zealand Defence Force	151.6	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	Note	Supports the note above the rules as a useful explanation that TMTA rules take precedence over Part 3.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.91	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	Note	The submitter supports the 'Note' that accompanies the rules for temporary activities on the basis that it gives clear direction that the rules that relate to activities in the National Grid Yard apply to temporary activities.	Retain the Rules Note in TEMP chapter as notified.	Accept
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.84	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R1 Temporary buildings and structures ancillary to construction work	The submitter seeks an amendment to allow for the establishment of temporary works sites to carry out works to the rail corridor, which may be adjacent to transport or other infrastructure. For safety reasons it is not always possible to work from within a transport corridor. A discretionary status where a worksite is	Amend TEMP-R1 Temporary buildings and structures ancillary to construction work to provide the establishment of temporary work sites adjacent to the transport corridor to carry out works to the rail corridor.	Accept

					proposed adjacent to the corridor will not facilitate critical infrastructure works.		
New Zealand Defence Force	151.7	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R2 Temporary military training activities	<p>Supports TEMP-R2 in regards to the permitted activity status, PER-1 and Note</p> <p>Amend PER-2 from 'a total of 31 calendar days' to '31 consecutive days' to meet operational requirements.</p> <p>Opposes PER-3 as any adverse effects from recession plane breaching will be temporary.</p> <p>Opposes PER-4 as it duplicates the earthworks chapter rules.</p> <p>Opposes Note 2 as it's not a requirement and is unnecessary due to the requirement to comply with the Transport chapter.</p> <p>Opposes the discretionary activity status of non-compliance with TEMP-R2 due to lack of certainty and the need to comply with the Defence Act 1990. A controlled activity status would be appropriate given the temporary nature of effects, which can be addressed through conditions. Matters of discretion 3-6 are not related to breaches of PER-1 or PER-2. Earthworks and transport effects are more appropriately controlled through the earthworks and transport chapters.</p> <p>6. Delete restricted discretionary rule when compliance is not achieved with PER-3 and PER-4 as a consequential change.</p>	<p>Amend TEMP-R2 as follows:</p> <p>TEMP-R2 Temporary military training activities Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>PER-2</p> <p><i>The duration of the activity does not exceed a total of 31 calendar consecutive days per year on any site, excluding set-up and pack-out activities; and</i></p> <p>PER-3</p> <p><i>if located on the site for longer than 7 consecutive days, any building and structure complies with the height in relation to boundary and setback rules and standards of the zone in which site is located; and</i></p> <p>PER-4</p> <p><i>Where excavation is carried out, the ground is returned to its original condition within seven calendar days after completion of the activity.</i></p> <p>Note:</p> <p>1. The activity must comply with NOISE-R3 and EW-R1.2.</p> <p>2. <i>It is the organiser's obligation to contact the relevant road controlling authority (New Zealand Transport Agency if the activity is accessed from a State Highway, and Timaru District Council if accessed from any other roads) to arrange an appropriate traffic management plan to avoid traffic safety hazards being generated from the activity.</i></p> <p>Discretionary Controlled</p> <p>Matters of discretion control are restricted to:</p> <p>1. loss of outlook, shading, loss of privacy and loss of amenity; and</p> <p>2. location and design of buildings and structure; and</p> <p>3. traffic safety; and</p> <p>4. dust and sediment control; and</p> <p>5. ground stability; and</p> <p>6. the ability to return the site to its original condition; and</p> <p>7. the duration of the activity, including the period buildings and structures will remain on site. Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-3 or PER-4: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p>	Accept in Part

						1. loss of outlook, shading, loss of privacy and loss of amenity; and 2. location and design; and 3. ground contour of any excavated areas; and 4. dust and sediment control; and ground stability.	
Jet Boating New Zealand	48.18	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R3 Temporary events	Supports TEMP-R3 as, as a temporary event, and accordingly a temporary activity, subject to acceptance of submission on NOISE-R2 the noise standard does not apply to jetboating.	Retain TEMP-R3 Temporary events as notified, on the basis that the requested amendment on NOISE-R2 is accepted.	Noted
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.76	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R3 Temporary events	Opposes TEMP-R3. Considers the number of events should be extended and should also provide for motorsport events. Also considers the rule should apply to all zones and should allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrancy of the community and should not require resource consent to the limitations of the rule. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend TEMP-R3 Temporary events to apply to all zones and allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrance of the community. Extend the number of events for non-motorsport and also provide for a limited number of motorsport events.	Accept in Part
GJH Rooney	191.76	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R3 Temporary events	Opposes TEMP-R3. Considers the number of events should be extended and should also provide for motorsport events. Also considers the rule should apply to all zones and should allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrancy of the community and should not require resource consent to the limitations of the rule. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend TEMP-R3 Temporary events to extend the number of events for non-motorsport and also provide for a limited number of motorsport events.	Accept in Part
Rooney Group Limited	249.76	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R3 Temporary events	Opposes TEMP-R3. Considers the number of events should be extended and should also provide for motorsport events. Also considers the rule should apply to all zones and should allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrancy of the community and should not require resource consent to the limitations of the rule. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend TEMP-R3 Temporary events to extend the number of events for non-motorsport and also provide for a limited number of motorsport events and to apply to all zones and allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrance of the community.	Accept in Part

Rooney Farms Limited	250.76	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R3 Temporary events	Opposes TEMP-R3. Considers the number of events should be extended and should also provide for motorsport events. Also considers the rule should apply to all zones and should allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrancy of the community and should not require resource consent to the limitations of the rule. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend TEMP-R3 Temporary events to extend the number of events for non-motorsport and also provide for a limited number of motorsport events and to apply to all zones and allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrance of the community.	Accept in Part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.76	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R3 Temporary events	Opposes TEMP-R3. Considers the number of events should be extended and should also provide for motorsport events. Also considers the rule should apply to all zones and should allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrancy of the community and should not require resource consent to the limitations of the rule. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend TEMP-R3 Temporary events to extend the number of events for non-motorsport and also provide for a limited number of motorsport events and to apply to all zones and allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrance of the community.	Accept in Part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.76	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R3 Temporary events	Opposes TEMP-R3. Considers the number of events should be extended and should also provide for motorsport events. Also considers the rule should apply to all zones and should allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrancy of the community and should not require resource consent to the limitations of the rule. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend TEMP-R3 Temporary events to extend the number of events for non-motorsport and also provide for a limited number of motorsport events and to apply to all zones and allow for regular temporary events such as markets that add to the vibrance of the community.	Accept in Part
South Canterbury Car Club Incorporation	135.1	TEMP - Temporary activities	Rules	TEMP-R6 Temporary motorsport events	Opposes TEMP-R6, Noise-R6, and EW-R1 because: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. there was no pre-consultation with the submitter on Temporary Motorsport Events; 2. activity status has changed from Controlled from the operative Plan to Restricted District, where many matters are controlled by other legislation and process e.g. Road closure planning, STMA, National Body permits; 3. RDIS-1 are too restrictive; 4. RDIS-2 are too constrictive. The introduction of organisers from outside the region compounds the issue and is dependent on the site particularly the road being used; 5. RDIS-3 are too constrictive for the running of a National Status Rally with the event scheduled to 	Amend TEMP-R6 Temporary motorsport events as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend activity status from Restricted Discretionary to Controlled. 2. Amend RDIS-1 to allow for longer events. 3. Amend RDIS-2 to address 'property sites' rather than Roads. 4. Amend RDIS-3 to allow events outside of the hour of daylight. 	Accept in Part

					<p>run prior to sunrise and finish after sunset. The planning of a night stage impeded by this time scale.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--