

Appendix 2 - Recommended Responses to Submissions and Further Submissions

Table B1 – Hearing D General

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Port Blakely Limited	94.1	General	General	General	<p>Oppose to the Proposed District Plan on the basis that some rules are stricter than the National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry (NES-PF) that do not meet the jurisdiction, nor the justification test in the RMA and the requirement of s32(4) of the RMA have not been satisfied with respect to some provisions.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed Plan be rejected in its current form; 2. Amend the Proposed Plan to reflect the issues raised in the original submission; 3. Amend the Proposed Plan to incorporate the equivalent regulation of the NES-PF or otherwise amend or delete the rules so that the equivalent NES-PF regulation applies instead of the Proposed Plan rules. 4. Amend the relevant Proposed Plan objectives and policies as required to support and implement the particular relief described in the original submission; and/or 5. Such other relief as may be required to give effect to this submission, including alternative, consequential or necessary amendments to the Proposed Plan that address the matters raised by Port Blakely. 	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.1	General	General	General	<p>Notes that a large number of rules in the plan use variable terminology to define floor areas of buildings, often with the term undefined, so that it is not clear what is being measured. It is necessary to review all references to size of buildings and consider whether a clear definition is required linking development to either the "building footprint" or "gross floor area", which are defined National Planning Standard terms, and then create exclusions from those terms within the rules if necessary.</p>	<p>Review the entire plan so all references to the size of buildings, link to either building footprint or gross floor area which are defined terms in the National Planning Standards.</p>	Reject
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.4	General	General	General	<p>Note across the whole plan, that references to "height" of buildings or structures do not make reference to where height is measured from (for example Open Space Zones and Rural Lifestyle Zone). Ensure that height for buildings and structures is measured from "ground level", which is a national planning standard term, with consistent expression of height rules across the plan.</p>	<p>Review all references to the height of buildings across the plan to ensure that height is measured from ground level, with consistent expression of height rules.</p>	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.6	General	Plantation Forestry	General	<p>Considers the PDP approach to Plantation Forestry (NES PF) is uncertain with respect to the protection of SNAs and Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes (ONF/ONL). Also considers dealing with the effects of exotic carbon forestry is not clear in the plan.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend the plan to ensure SNA, ONF and ONL are protected from plantation forestry and exotic carbon forests. <p>AND</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Amend the plan to have regard to the Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Act in 2019 and any amendments to the NESPF regarding plantation forestry and exotic carbon forests. 	Reject

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.5	General	National Direction Instruments	New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement	Considers the PDP does not give effect to the NZCPS, and in particular the directive requirements in Policies 11, 13 and 15. The provisions for activities, for example in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity (EIB) chapter conflict with the avoid requirements of the NZCPS. It is not clear which chapters are intended to give effect to those policies or other aspects of the NZCPS. Amendments are required to EC, NATC, NFL and ECO to include policies 11, 13 and 15 of the NZCPS and explain the approach to giving effect to the NZCPS between these chapters in the chapter overviews/introductions.	Amend all chapters of the PDP to remove any conflict with the directive requirements of the NZCPS policies 11, 13 and 15.	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.6	Definitions	Definitions	Amenity Planting	Considers the definition is clear, concise and easy to understand. But considers it would be appropriate to add further to the definition that appropriately includes farms as actively amenity planting within the definition.	1. Amend the definition of Amenity Planting as follows: <i>means any vegetation and/or trees planted in the immediate vicinity of a residential unit, or rural residential development, primarily to provide aesthetic appeal, shelter or domestic food supply. Amenity planting includes any woodlot, orchard or vineyard (to a maximum combined area of 1ha) planted within 100m of a residential unit or rural residential development.</i> AND Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Reject
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.2	General	General	General	Considers the Proposed District Plan contains confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Amend the Proposed District Plan to avoid confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.2	General	General	General	Considers the Proposed District Plan contains confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Amend the Proposed District Plan to avoid confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.2	General	General	General	Considers the Proposed District Plan contains confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Amend the Proposed District Plan to avoid confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.2	General	General	General	Considers the Proposed District Plan contains confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Amend the Proposed District Plan to avoid confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.2	General	General	General	Considers the Proposed District Plan contains confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Amend the Proposed District Plan to avoid confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.2	General	General	General	Considers the Proposed District Plan contains confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Amend the Proposed District Plan to avoid confusing and unnecessary overlap with consenting for Regional Council activities within the beds of rivers.	Reject
Rangitata Diversion Race Management	234.1	Planning Maps	All overlays		The Submitter opposes all overlays that cover the bed of the Rangitata River near the Klondyke intake. The submitter questions whether it is lawful for District Plan to manage the Rivers under s31 of the RMA, if it is lawful, the submitter is concerned that the overlays and rules attaching to them are not clear or appropriate. [see original submission for full reason]	1. Remove all district Plan layers on the Rangitata River from the District Planning maps and; or 2. Make it clear within the Timaru District Plan provisions and mapping that any overlays are for information only and/or have no rules attaching to them.	Accept in part

Helicopters South Canterbury 2015 Ltd	53.1	General	General	General	Supports the submission of NZAAA.	No specific relief sought.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per NZAAA submission
David and Judith Moore	100.2	General	General	General	Supports federated Farmers submission.	Relief sought as seen in Federated Farmers submission.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per Federated Farmers submission
Peel Forest Estate	105.1	General	General	General	Support Federated Farmers New Zealand and their submission	As relief sought in Federated Farmers submission.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per Federated Farmers submission
Kerry & James McArthur	113.1	General	General	General	Support Federated Farmer submission.	Consider the Federated Farmer recommendations.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per Federated Farmers submission
Zolve Environmental	164.1	General	General	General	Support Port Blakely Forestry submission in its entirety.	Relief sought as per Port Blakely Forestry submission.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per Port Blakely submission
Southern Wide Helicopters	213.1	General	General	General	Supports the submission made by the New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association.	Grant the relief sought in the submission made by the New Zealand Aviation Association.	Accept, accept in part or reject as per NZAAA submission

Table B2 - Natural Character

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Bruce Speirs	66.6	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian margin	Considers that in many cases a river is deeply incised in the landscape and there may be no distinct bank and no flood plain present.	Amend the definition of Riparian Margin by adding another diagram of a river without a flood plain.	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.29	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian Margin	Neutral position on this definition.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.9	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian Margin	The submitter supports this definition.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.12	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian margin	The submitters oppose the definition. Defining the riparian margin based on the width of the riverbed is too generic and, in many situations, will be well outside the transitional zone. The riparian margin should be defined by a lesser distance such as 5 metres, or the Riparian Zone, whichever is the greater.	Amend the definition of Riparian Margin by reducing the 10-100m distance with a lesser distance such as 5 meters or the Riparian Zone, whichever is greater.	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.25	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian Margin	Considers the definition of 'Riparian Margin' is too prescriptive. Request the council follow the Landcare report to the Tasman District Council in 2021. This report outlines riparian margin setback of between 10-20 metres. Also, it is important to state that Natural Character-P2(6) states that riparian margins provide a buffer from activities that may adversely affect the natural character values of the river or wetland. Unsure why the district council requires/needs another 100m buffer. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	1. Amend the definition of Riparian Margin as follows: <i>means land that is within:</i> <i>10m of the bank of that part of a river that is up to 3m wide (and is not listed in (c) below); and/or</i> <i>20m of the bank of that part of a river that is greater than 3m wide (and is not listed in (c) below); and/or</i> <i>20m 100m of the banks of the Rangitata; Ōpihi; and Ōrāri Rivers and of any wetland. 50m of any wetland.</i> AND 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.12	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian margin	The submitters oppose the definition. Defining the riparian margin based on the width of the riverbed is too generic and, in many situations, will be well outside the transitional zone. The riparian margin should be defined by a lesser distance such as 5 metres, or the Riparian Zone, whichever is the greater.	Amend the definition of Riparian Margin by reducing the 10-100m distance with a lesser distance such as 5 meters or the Riparian Zone, whichever is greater.	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.12	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian margin	The submitters oppose the definition. Defining the riparian margin based on the width of the riverbed is too generic and, in many situations, will be well outside the transitional zone. The riparian margin should be defined by a lesser distance such as 5 metres, or the Riparian Zone, whichever is the greater.	Amend the definition of Riparian Margin by reducing the 10-100m distance with a lesser distance such as 5 meters or the Riparian Zone, whichever is greater.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.12	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian margin	The submitters oppose the definition. Defining the riparian margin based on the width of the riverbed is too generic and, in many situations, will be well outside the transitional zone.	Amend the definition of Riparian Margin by reducing the 10-100m distance with a lesser distance such as 5 meters or the Riparian Zone, whichever is greater.	Reject

					The riparian margin should be defined by a lesser distance such as 5 metres, or the Riparian Zone, whichever is the greater.		
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.12	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian margin	The submitters oppose the definition. Defining the riparian margin based on the width of the riverbed is too generic and, in many situations, will be well outside the transitional zone. The riparian margin should be defined by a lesser distance such as 5 metres, or the Riparian Zone, whichever is the greater.	Amend the definition of Riparian Margin by reducing the 10-100m distance with a lesser distance such as 5 meters or the Riparian Zone, whichever is greater.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.12	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian margin	The submitters oppose the definition. Defining the riparian margin based on the width of the riverbed is too generic and, in many situations, will be well outside the transitional zone. The riparian margin should be defined by a lesser distance such as 5 metres, or the Riparian Zone, whichever is the greater.	Amend the definition of Riparian Margin by reducing the 10-100m distance with a lesser distance such as 5 meters or the Riparian Zone, whichever is greater.	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.30	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian Zone [in relation to a river or lake]	Neutral position on this definition.	Retain as notified.	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.10	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian Zone [in relation to a river or lake]	The submitter supports this definition and notes that it is consistent with the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement.	Retain as notified.	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.26	Definitions	Definitions	Riparian Zone [in relation to a river or lake]	Opposes this definition as it is not included anywhere in the proposed district plan.	Delete the definition of Riparian Zone .	Accept
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.117	NATC - Natural Character	General	General	Considers lake margins should also be dealt with in this chapter, if not covered elsewhere. However, if there are no lakes in the district then this relief is not applicable. The Coastal Environment should be mentioned in this introduction if it is addressed under its own specific chapter.	1. Amend NATC - Natural Character Chapter, Introduction section to explain that natural character of coastal environment is dealt with in the Coastal Environment Chapters; AND 2. Amend entire chapter so it applies to lake margins as well (if there are lake margins).	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.50	NATC - Natural Character	General	General	For the avoidance of doubt, the NATC provisions which are not specifically addressed in the below submission points are supported for the reasons given in the s32 report.	Retain the provisions of the NATC Chapter as notified, except where specific changes are requested.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.12	NATC - Natural Character	General	General	Support the rationale and the Objectives, Policies and Rules of this chapter in general, with amendments.	Support the rationale and the Objectives, Policies and Rules of this chapter in general, with amendments.	Accept in part

James Reese Hart	149.1	NATC - Natural Character	General	General	<p>The Submitter's farm at 318 Matthew Road, Temuka contains outstanding Natural Features Pigeon Rock (ONF-2c), which has been well looked after by the current and previous owners without restrictions. Restrictions imposed by the PDP may impact farm operation.</p> <p>Who will pay compensation? Who will maintain the areas if we are shut out? Will the TDC be able to claim rates on areas that we have been shut out of?</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason].</p>	Amend the NATC chapter to avoid putting a ban on livestock grazing in restricted areas at 916 Main Waitohi Road, RD25, Temuka	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.112	NATC - Natural Character	Introduction	General	<p>Supports the use non-regulatory measures to assist landowners. It is important that the Council recognises and provides, in the district plan, for activities that have a functional need to be located within an area of natural character. Farmland is intrinsically part of the natural character and will assist in its preservation and protection from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. It appears to the submitter that the Council has taken the approach that all existing activities will adversely impact on areas of natural character without considering that these areas may be located on private property and have existing activities occurring in them.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>1. Amend NATC - Natural Character Chapter overview by adding a sentence that recognise that some activities will have a functional need to be located within an area of natural character;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Any consequential amendments.</p>	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.118	NATC - Natural Character	Objectives	NATC-O1 Protection of natural character	<p>Considers this chapter should include management of the margins of lakes. Section requires the preservation of the natural character of lakes and their margins.</p>	Amend NATC-O1 to include lakes and their margins.	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.51	NATC - Natural Character	Objectives	NATC-O1 Protection of natural character	<p>The submitter supports the proposed objective as it is consistent with the RMA, Part 2, Section 6.</p>	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Silver Fern Farms	172.56	NATC - Natural Character	Objectives	NATC-O1 Protection of natural character	<p>Considers the objective to enhance natural character “where possible” could, if interpreted literally, be read as a mandatory direction to carry out enhancements. It is inconsistent with the ‘encourage’ stance taken in the subsequent policies of this chapter.</p>	<p>Amend NATC-O1 as follows:</p> <p>NATC-O1 Protection of natural character</p> <p><i>The natural character of the Timaru District’s wetlands and rivers and their margins is preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, and <u>the enhancement of natural character is encouraged where possible enhanced.</u></i></p>	Reject
Alliance Group Limited	173.60	NATC - Natural Character	Objectives	NATC-O1 Protection of natural character	<p>Seeks an amendment to help with the clarity of the interpretation and to ensure it is consistent with “encourage” rather than “require” stance expressed in subsequent policies of this chapter.</p>	<p>Amend NATC-O1 as follows:</p> <p>NATC-O1 Protection of natural character</p> <p><i>The natural character of the Timaru District’s wetlands and rivers and their margins is preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, and <u>the enhancement of natural character is encouraged where possible enhanced.</u></i></p>	Reject

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.85	NATC - Natural Character	Objectives	NATC-O1 Protection of natural character	The objective is supported which recognises the natural character of Timaru District's wetlands and rivers and their margins need to be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, and where possible enhanced. It is particularly supported in that it acknowledged that wetlands and rivers can only be enhanced where possible.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.113	NATC - Natural Character	Objectives	NATC-O1 Protection of natural character	Supports the retention of the objective as written under section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 which requires the protection of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use, development.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect.	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.82	NATC - Natural Character	Objectives	NATC-O1 Protection of natural character	Supports NATC-O1 as it is consistent with CRPS Objective 7.2.1 and Policy 7.3.1.	Retain NATC-O1 as notified or preserve the original intent.	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.119	NATC - Natural Character	Objectives	New	Add a new objective seeking restoration of natural character where it has been degraded.	Add a new objective to NATC - Natural Character chapter as follows: <u>NATC-OX</u> <u>Restoration of the natural character of wetlands, rivers, lakes, and their margins where degradation has occurred.</u>	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director- General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.52	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	General	The submitter supports the policies NATC-P1 to NATC-P5 in relation to recognising the riparian and aquatic ecology and biodiversity of riparian margins and encouraging the restoration of indigenous biodiversity within the riparian margins of a river. [NATC-P6 has not been specifically supported]	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Timaru District Council	42.35	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	General	Considers that to aid plan interpretation and for consistency, these policies should include similar regionally significant infrastructure/network utility provisions to NFL-P4.7d.	Consider replicating regionally significant infrastructure/network utility provisions to NFL-P4.7.d and NFL-R3 within the policies and rules of this chapter.	Accept in part

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.120	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P1 Natural character values	Considers the policy inappropriate as it refers to a natural state criterion and sets a high level of consideration which is inappropriate for natural character assessments.	1. Delete NATC-P1 ; AND 2. Replace with: <u>NATC-P1</u> <u>Recognise the following natural elements, patterns, processes, and experiential qualities which contribute to the natural character values of wetlands, rivers, lakes, and their margins:</u> <u>1. Is in their natural state or close to their natural state;</u> <u>2. landforms and landscapes, biophysical, geologic, and morphological aspects;</u> <u>3. hydrological and fluvial processes, including erosion and sedimentation;</u> <u>4. indigenous biodiversity, habitats, and ecosystems;</u> <u>5. water flow and levels, colour and clarity, and water quality;</u> <u>6. the cultural values of the water body to Kāti Huirapa, including values associated with traditional and contemporary uses and the continuing ability of the waterbody to support taoka species and mahika kai activities.</u> <u>7. the experience of the above elements, patterns, and processes.</u>	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.114	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P1 Natural character values	Supports this policy. Considers it is important that natural character values are protected and recognized. Many farmers around the district recognise this as well through land management on their properties.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect.	Accept in part
Silver Fern Farms	172.57	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P2 Restoration and enhancement	Supports encouraging enhancement actions rather than require them.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.61	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P2 Restoration and enhancement	Supports encouraging enhancement actions rather than require them.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Federated Farmers	182.115	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P2 Restoration and enhancement	Supports this policy. Considers it is important that these sites are restored and enhanced to protect the natural character of the area.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.83	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P2 Restoration and enhancement	Supports NATC-P2 as it is consistent with CRPS Objectives 9.2.2 & 9.3.4 and Policies 7.3.3 & 9.3.5.	Retain NATC-P2 as notified or preserve the original intent.	Accept
Silver Fern Farms	172.58	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P3 Incentives	Supports the use of incentives for ecological restoration is an appropriate method to achieve NATC-O1.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.62	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P3 Incentives	Support the policy direction about the use of incentives for ecological restoration as an appropriate method to achieve NATC-O1.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Federated Farmers	182.116	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P3 Incentives	Considers it is important that council recognises the role private landowners have had in the management of these areas of natural character, before council started regulating it. Incentives do not go far enough for private landowners and thinks that a rates relief would be more beneficial than	1. Amend NATC-P3 Incentives as follows: <u>NATC-P3</u>	Reject

					<p>having expert advice. Supports including incentives to farmers, for doing the right thing.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Encourage and support the restoration and enhancements of the natural character values through such measures as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. [...]; and/or 2.[...]; and/or 3. proving expert advise; 4. <u>providing significant rates relief.</u> <p>AND</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought. 	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.122	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development	<p>Considers that this policy conflicts with the requirements of s6 RMA.</p>	<p>Amend NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development as follows:</p> <p><i>Preserves the natural <u>character</u> values of <u>wetlands, lakes, rivers, and their margins riparian margins by only allowing subdivision, use and development that and protect those values by</u></i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. avoids, or if avoidance is not possible, minimises any adverse effects on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities outlined in NATC-P1; 2. <u>Ensure that the location, intensity, scale, and form of subdivision, use and development of land takes into account the natural character values;</u> 3. <u>Require setbacks of activities, including buildings, structures, impervious surfaces, plantation forestry, woodlots, and shelterbelts;</u> 4.2 maintains natural character values which have been modified but are highly valued; 5.3 restores or enhances natural character values in circumstances identified in NATC-P2; and 6.4 avoids or, where that is not possible, does not exacerbate bank erosion. 	Reject
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.74	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development	<p>Considers the policy fails to recognise that the National Grid must traverse riparian margins in order to transmit electricity across New Zealand. Further, given that it is not always practicable for the submitter to minimise effects, there is concern that the Policies together may prevent or significantly constrain the ability of the National Grid to cross waterbodies.</p>	<p>Amend NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from Inappropriate subdivision, use and development as follows:</p> <p><i>Preserves the natural character values of riparian margins by only allowing subdivision, use and development that:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. avoids, or if avoidance is not possible practicable, minimises any adverse effects on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities outlined in NATC-P1; <u>x. is regionally significant infrastructure that has an operational need or functional need for its location;</u> 2. maintains natural character values which have been modified but are highly valued; 3. restores or enhances natural character values in circumstances identified in NATC-P2; and 4. avoids or, where that is not 4. avoids or, where that is not possible practicable, does not exacerbate bank erosion. 	Accept in part

Silver Fern Farms	172.59	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development	Considers the direction under NATC-P4 to 'only allow' activities that restore riparian margins is a distinct departure from the direction of NATC-P2 to 'provide for and encourage' restoration. Furthermore, the definition of 'riparian margins' mean these areas are reasonably large, and there is the possibility this policy places a restoration burden on these areas out of step with the effects of the proposal.	Amend NATC-P4 as follows: NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <i>Preserves the natural character values of riparian margins by only allowing subdivision, use and development that:</i> [...]	Reject
Alliance Group Limited	173.63	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development	Considers the direction under NATC-P4 to 'only allow' activities that restore riparian margins is a distinct departure from the direction of NATC-P2 to 'provide for and encourage' restoration. Furthermore, the definition of 'riparian margins' mean these areas are reasonably large, and there is the possibility this policy places a restoration burden on these areas out of step with the effects of the proposal.	Amend NATC-P4 as follows: NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <i>Preserves the natural character values of riparian margins by only allowing subdivision, use and development that:</i> [...].	Reject
Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	44.7	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development	Opposes the extent of the riparian margins as being 100m from the bank edges of the Rangitata River, as this will include farmed land.	Amend NATC-P4 to recognise there is farmed land within the riparian margin of the river as defined.	Reject
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.86	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development	Seeks an amendment to the policy to add an additional provision to provide for the subdivision, use and development associated with works for regionally significant infrastructure, where there is an operational or functional need.	Amend NATC-P4 as follows: NATC-P4 Preservation of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <i>Preserves the natural character values of riparian margins by only allowing subdivision, use and development that: [...]</i> <u>5. is for the operation, maintenance and repair of regionally significant infrastructure where there is an operational or functional need.</u>	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.123	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	Considers it is not appropriate for the Council to provide for activities.	Amend NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins as follows: <u>Consider allowing Provide for activities in riparian margins which are appropriate for safety, enhancement, wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling:</u>	Reject
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.75	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	Considers the policy fails to recognise that the National Grid must traverse riparian margins in order to transmit electricity across New Zealand. Further, given that it is not always practicable for the submitter to minimise effects, the submitter is concerned that the Policies together may prevent or significantly constrain the ability of the National Grid to cross waterbodies.	Amend Policy NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins as follows: <i>Provide for activities in riparian margins which are appropriate for safety, enhancement, wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling:</i> 1. activities which are undertaken by a local authority for the purpose of natural hazard mitigation works, and where possible, any adverse effects on natural character are minimised; <u>x. regionally significant infrastructure that has an operational need or functional need for its location;</u> 2. vegetation clearance to remove pest species [...]	Accept in part

Road Metals Company Limited	169.22	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	Opposes NATC-P5 as it does not provide for activities such as extraction of aggregate which is necessary to support the construction and maintenance of housing and infrastructure, from riverbeds. This would be consistent with the exposure draft of the NPS for indigenous biodiversity and the NPS for Freshwater.	Amend NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins as follows: <i>Provide for activities in riparian margins which are appropriate for safety, enhancement, wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling:</i> 1 [...] [...] 5. earthworks that are for the purpose of maintenance and repair of existing fences, tracks, roads or for limited new fencing and tracks; and 6. quarrying activities, which must be located where aggregate is located and support the construction and maintenance of homes and infrastructure.	Reject
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.23	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	Opposes NATC-P5 as it does not provide for activities such as extraction of aggregate which is necessary to support the construction and maintenance of housing and infrastructure, from riverbeds. This would be consistent with the exposure draft of the NPS for indigenous biodiversity and the NPS for Freshwater.	Amend NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins as follows: <i>Provide for activities in riparian margins which are appropriate for safety, enhancement, wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling:</i> 1 [...] [...] 5. earthworks that are for the purpose of maintenance and repair of existing fences, tracks, roads or for limited new fencing and tracks; and 6. quarrying activities, which must be located where aggregate is located and support the construction and maintenance of homes and infrastructure.	Reject
Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	44.8	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	Policy NATC-P5 refers to the anticipated activities in the riparian margins. There is farmed land with parts of the riparian margin. The policy does refer to enabling earthworks that are for the purpose of maintenance and repair of existing fences, tracks, roads, or for limited new fencing and tracks.	Amend NATC-P5 to recognise there is farmed land within the riparian margin of the river as defined.	Reject
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.87	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	Seeks an amendment to the policy as noted to recognise there may be an operational or functional need to undertake works within riparian margins.	Amend NATC-P5 as follows: NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins <i>Provide for activities in riparian margins which are appropriate for safety, enhancement, wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling:</i> [...] 6. is for the operation, maintenance and repair of regionally significant infrastructure where there is an operational or functional need.	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.117	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	Seeks that the policy have a wider scope, that would allow for the grazing/mowing of grasses to reduce the fire risk and other exotic species which suppress biodiversity, providing cover for predators. Concern that the council is only providing for earthworks, when vegetation clearance is an anticipated process in relation to the maintenance and repair of fences, tracks etc. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins as follows: <i>Provide for activities in riparian margins which are appropriate for safety, enhancement, wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling:</i> 1. [...] 2. vegetation clearance to remove pest and/or exotic species; [...] 5. Vegetation clearance and earthworks that are for the purpose of maintenance and repair of existing fences, tracks [...]	Accept in part

						AND Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.58	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins	The rail network includes bridges over waterways within the Timaru district. The submitter considers recognition of bridge works as an anticipated activity in riparian margins is necessary.	Amend NATC-P5 Anticipated activities in riparian margins as follows: <i>Provide for activities in riparian margins which are appropriate for safety, enhancement, wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling:</i> [...] 4. <i>planting of indigenous species that is for the purpose of restoration and enhancement activities; and</i> 5. <i>earthworks that are for the purpose of maintenance and repair of existing fences, tracks, <u>the rail network</u>, roads or for limited new fencing and tracks.</i>	Accept in part
Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	44.9	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	NATC-P6 Buildings and structures in riparian margins	Policy NATC-P6 refers to buildings and structures in the riparian margins. There are structures associated with farming activities within the riparian margins. (For example irrigators).	Amend NATC-P6 to recognise there are existing structures within the riparian margin of the river. The policy could refer to new buildings and structures.	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.121	NATC - Natural Character	Policies	New	Opposes the lack of policy direction for the identification of further High Naturalness Water Bodies. The CRPS has identified some but the Timaru District Council should endeavour to locate more and look at the values of other rivers. Further the introduction of the chapter says the rules allow for identification and assessment of natural character.	Add a new policy to NATC - Natural Character chapter as follows: <u>NATCP-X Identify, map and schedule significant freshwater bodies</u> <u>Continue the identification, mapping, and scheduling of wetlands, rivers, lakes, and their margins with one or more recognised natural character attributes, where the following apply:</u> <u>1. the wetland, river, lake, and their margins have high indigenous species and habitat values, where they support threatened, at risk, or regionally distinct indigenous species;</u> <u>2. the presence of distinctive geological features, such as fault traces, fossil localities, geoscience and geohistoric values, or represents a unique geomorphic process;</u> <u>cultural, spiritual or heritage associations of Ngāi Tūāhuriri to the freshwater body, including the ability to undertake customary practices; and</u> <u>4. importance of the freshwater body to provide access and connections to areas of recreational use.</u>	Reject
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.78	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	General	Supports the matters of discretion but considers the benefits of network utilities and operational need should be included to give effect to the NPSET.	Amend all the matters of discretion in the rules of NATC chapter as follows: <i>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</i> <i>x. the local, regional or national benefits of the activity and whether there is a functional need or operational need for the activity to locate in a riparian margin.</i>	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.118	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	General	Supports the NATC rules proposed and seeks to retain them as written. Commends the council for finding a balance of between conservation and also current land uses working alongside one another in this chapter.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect.	Accept in part

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.124	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Considers that PER-3 and PER-4 are too far reaching and should have spatial limits associated with them if they relate to indigenous vegetation clearance.	Either: 1. Amend NATC-R1 to make it clear that vegetation clearance does not include clearance of indigenous vegetation; OR 2. Amend NATC-R1 to affix a spatial limit to any clearance.	Accept in part
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.76	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Supports that the rule enables vegetation clearance for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid. But seeks that the rule provide for the upgrade of the National Grid consistent with the NESETA and gives effect to the NPSET.	Amend NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance as follows: Riparian Margins of a river that is not an HNWB Activity status: Permitted Where: [...] PER-3 The vegetation clearance is for the operation, maintenance, or repair <u>or upgrade</u> of the National Grid; or [...]	Reject
Road Metals Company Limited	169.23	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Opposes NATC-R1 as it provides only for a very narrow range of activities in riparian margins and constrains day-to-day activities which provide for activities necessary to support the operation and maintenance of existing infrastructure. Supports NATC-R1 matters of discretion no. 6 as it recognises that some activities have a functional need to be located in proximity to rivers.	Amend NATC- R1 Vegetation clearance Riparian margins of a river that is not an HNWB as follows: Activity status: Permitted PER-1 [...] PER-4 The vegetation clearance is for the maintenance, repair, or upgrade <u>in-seal-cover</u> , of existing roads, <u>including their associated bridges, stormwater infrastructure and signage</u> ; or [...] AND Retain NATC-R1 Vegetation Clearance, Activity status when compliance not achieved with PER-2, PER-3. PER-5, PER-6 or CON-1: Restricted Discretionary, Matter of discretion (6).	Reject
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.24	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Opposes NATC-R1 as it provides only for a very narrow range of activities in riparian margins and constrains day-to-day activities which provide for activities necessary to support the operation and maintenance of existing infrastructure. Supports NATC-R1 matters of discretion no. 6 as it recognises that some activities have a functional need to be located in proximity to rivers.	Amend NATC- R1 Vegetation clearance Riparian margins of a river that is not an HNWB as follows: Activity status: Permitted PER-1 [...] PER-4 The vegetation clearance is for the maintenance, repair, or upgrade <u>in-seal-cover</u> , of existing roads, <u>including their associated bridges, stormwater infrastructure and signage</u> ; or [...] AND Retain NATC-R1 Vegetation Clearance, Activity status when compliance not achieved with PER-2, PER-3. PER-5, PER-6 or CON-1: Restricted Discretionary, Matter of discretion (6).	Reject

Silver Fern Farms	172.60	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Supports permitted activity status, with a default restricted discretionary consenting pathway, for vegetation clearance in a riparian margin.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part ¹
Alliance Group Limited	173.64	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Supports a permitted activity status, with a default restricted discretionary consenting pathway, for vegetation clearance in a riparian margin.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part ¹
Port Blakely Limited	94.9	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Considers NATC-R1 imposes stricter standards than the NES-PF in relation to the clearance of vegetation in riparian margins. The setbacks contained in the NES-PF are specific to plantation forestry standards and are deliberately comprehensive and robust to ensure they do not permit an activity with significant adverse effects. This also prevents unwarranted variation across regions and districts in the management of plantation forestry. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance to: 1. Allow for the clearance of vegetation along riparian margins, provided that the clearance is related to plantation forestry activities and the clearance complies with the setbacks indicated in the NES-PF. 2. Amend PER-4 , to allow vegetation clearance for the maintenance, repair or upgrade of forestry tracks and river crossings.	Accept in part ¹
Te Kotare Trust	115.29	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Considers the rule needs to provide for the recognition of mana whenua interests in the occupation of ancestral land and formation of a thriving, sustainable and self-sufficient Māori community on Māori Trust land.	Amend NATC-R1 to allow vegetation clearance outside of the footprint of the building as a permitted activity, if the vegetation clearance is required to upgrade and/or replace an existing building of the same or similar footprint.	Accept in part ¹
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.88	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	The rule is supported in that it provides for permitted vegetation clearance. However, an additional amendment is requested to provide for vegetation clearance that is for the maintenance, repair or operation of regionally significant infrastructure.	Amend NATC-R1 to provide for vegetation clearance associated with maintenance, repair or operation of regionally significant infrastructure.	Accept in part ¹
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.59	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Seeks that vegetation clearance for the operation, maintenance, repair or upgrade of the rail corridor within riparian margins be provided for as a permitted activity.	Amend NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance as follows: 1. Riparian margins of a river that is not an HNWB Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 [...] PER-7 <u>The vegetation clearance is for the operation, maintenance, or repair of the rail network.</u>	Accept in part ¹
Waipopo Huts Trust	189.41	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Opposes NATC-R1 and seeks the recognition of mana whenua interests in the occupation of ancestral land and formation of a thriving, sustainable and self-sufficient Māori community on Māori Trust land.	Amend NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance to allow vegetation clearance outside of the footprint of the building as a permitted activity, if the vegetation clearance is required to upgrade and/or replace an existing building of the same or similar footprint.	Accept in part ¹

¹ Noting that the recommendation is that NATC-R1 is deleted, with these matters instead considered in ECO-R1.

Horticulture New Zealand	245.59	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R1 Vegetation clearance	Supports the ability to undertake vegetation clearance to remove material infected by unwanted organisms. [refer to original submission for full reasons]	Retain as notified.	Accept in part ¹
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.54	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R2 Vegetation planting	The submitter supports the proposed rule which encourages indigenous vegetation planting and to restore the ecological values of the riparian margin.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.125	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Considers that NATC-R3(1).PER-3 is too wide, there would be instances where 3 metres would incorporate the entire margin. Considers NATC-R3(1).PER-1 and PER-2 need spatial limits and these spatial limits may vary from the size of the margin. Considers spatial limits are required for NATC-R3.3	Amend NATC- R3 Earthworks Riparian margins to: 1. Delete NAT- R3.1.PER-3 AND 2. Add spatial limits to NATC- R3.1.PER-1 and PER-2 which relate to width of the margin within which the activity is to occur. AND 3. Amend NATC-R3.3 by affixing spatial limits to the activities.	Reject
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.77	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Supports the enabling of earthworks for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid seeks that it also provide for the upgrade of the National Grid in a manner that is consistent with the NESETA and gives effect to the NPSET.	Amend NATC-R3 Earthworks as follows: 1. Riparian margins of a river that is not a HNWB Activity status: Permitted Where: [...] PER-4 <i>The earthworks are required for the operation, maintenance, or repair <u>or upgrade</u> of the National Grid.</i> [...] 2 Riparian margins of an HNWB Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 <i>The earthworks are required for the maintenance and repair of existing fences, tracks, roads or natural hazard mitigation works; or</i> PER-2 <i>The earthworks are required for the operation, maintenance, or repair <u>or upgrade</u> of the National Grid.</i>	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation	166.55	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	The submitter considers that PER-2 is too lenient and should contain a limit to what can be allowed for earthworks to construct a new fence. The current rule is not consistent with point 5 of Policy NATC-P5 as the policy refers to ' <u>limited</u> new fencing and tracks'	Amend NATC-R3 Earthworks, PER-2 to include some limits for earthworks associated with new fencing along a river margin[...]	Reject

Tumuaki Ahurei							
Road Metals Company Limited	169.24	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Opposes NATC-R3 as there are other activities that should be provided for. Expanding the activities covered by the rule, would reduce the consenting burden but have similar effects.	<p>Amend NATC-R3 Earthworks as follows:</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1</p> <p>The earthworks are required for the maintenance, <u>upgrade</u>, and/or repair of existing fences, tracks, roads, <u>bridges, pipelines, drainage or sewerage and other critical infrastructure</u>, or natural hazard mitigation works; or -</p> <p>[...]</p>	Reject
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.25	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Opposes NATC-R3 as there are other activities that should be provided for. Expanding the activities covered by the rule, would reduce the consenting burden but have similar effects.	<p>Amend NATC-R3 Earthworks as follows:</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1</p> <p>The earthworks are required for the maintenance, <u>upgrade</u>, and/or repair of existing fences, tracks, roads, <u>bridges, pipelines, drainage or sewerage and other critical infrastructure</u>, or natural hazard mitigation works; or -</p> <p>[...]</p>	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.61	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Provision of a permitted activity status with a default restricted discretionary consenting pathway, for earthworks in a riparian margin, is supported. Although an amendment is sought to facilitate earthworks associated riparian restoration activities.	<p>Amend NATC-R3 as follows:</p> <p>NATC-R3 Earthworks</p> <p>Riparian margins of a river that is not an HNWB Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>PER-X</p> <p><u>The earthworks are to restore or enhance the natural character or ecological values of the riparian margin.</u></p>	Reject
Alliance Group Limited	173.65	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Provision of a permitted activity status with a default restricted discretionary consenting pathway, for earthworks in a riparian margin, is supported. Although an amendment is sought to facilitate earthworks associated riparian restoration activities.	<p>Amend NATC-R3 Earthworks as follows:</p> <p>NATC-R3 Earthworks</p> <p>Riparian margins of a river that is not an HNWB Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>PER-X</p> <p><u>The earthworks are to restore or enhance the natural character or ecological values of the riparian margin</u></p>	Reject
Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	44.10	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	There are existing farming activities within parts of the riparian margin, there is also existing infrastructure for irrigation and stockwater systems. Such systems can	<p>Amend NATC-R3 to enable the maintenance, repair and re-instatement of existing stockwater and irrigation systems (including associated structures) within the riparian margin as a permitted activity.</p>	Accept in part

					<p>periodically require earthworks for maintenance and repair, or re-instatement.</p> <p>There is also a flood risk within the riparian margins, and farmland with the riparian margin can at times require remedial work for reinstatement.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason].</p>	<p>Enable earthworks within the riparian margin for remedial works to reinstate on a like for like basis farmland and infrastructure following a flood event as a permitted activity.</p>	
Hermann Frank	90.13	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	<p>Amend NATC-R3. Considers no new tracks should be built in river margins.</p>	<p>Amend NATC-R3 Earthworks as follows: NATC-R3 Earthworks Activity status: Permitted Where:</p> <p>PER-1</p> <p><i>The earthworks are required for the maintenance and repair of existing fences, tracks, roads or natural hazard mitigation works; or</i></p> <p>PER-2</p> <p><i>The earthworks are required to construct a new fence <u>which is erected for the protection of the river margin</u>; or</i></p> <p>PER-3</p> <p><i>The earthworks are required to construct a new track up to 3m in width; or</i></p> <p>PER-4-3</p> <p><i>The earthworks are required for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid.</i></p>	Reject
Port Blakely Limited	94.10	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	<p>Considers that NATC-R3 imposes stricter standards than the NES-PF in relation to earthworks carried out in riparian margins. The NES-PF contains setbacks for earthworks carried out in riparian margins. These standards are deliberately targeted towards plantation forestry activities to ensure activities do not have a significantly adverse effect on the environment.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Amend NATC-R3 within Riparian margins for a river that is not an HNWB to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. permit earthworks associated with plantation forestry activities, provided that the earthworks comply with the conditions set out in the NES-PF. 2. permit earthworks for the maintenance and repair of existing river crossings. 	Accept in part
Te Kotare Trust	115.30	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	<p>Considers the rule needs to provide for the recognition of mana whenua interests in the occupation of ancestral land and formation of a thriving, sustainable and self-sufficient Māori community on Māori Trust land.</p>	<p>Amend NATC-R3 to allow earthworks outside of the footprint of the building as a permitted activity, if the earthworks are required to replace and/or upgrade an existing building of the same or similar footprint.</p>	Accept in part
Simon Connolly	136.2	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	<p>Concerns about the cost of consenting for the submitter's farming operation where resource consent is required. The cost of the consenting process would financially affect the submitter's ability to maintain and operate the farm.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason.</p>	<p>Amend NATC-R3 to exempt digging up ground that has already been excavated, and exempt emergency work for the repair of the irrigation mainline.</p>	Accept in part

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.89	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Supported in that it provides for earthworks within riparian margins of a river not in a HNWB. An additional provision is requested in 1 to provide for earthworks that are associated with the maintenance, repair or operation of regionally significant infrastructure outside of the HNWB.	Amend NATC-R3 as follows: NATC-R3 Earthworks Riparian margins of a river 1. Riparian margins of a river that is not an HNWB Activity status: Permitted Where: [...] PER-5 <u>The earthworks are required for the maintenance, repair or operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</u> [...]	Accept in part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.60	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Seeks that earthworks for the operation, maintenance, repair or upgrade of the rail corridor within riparian margins be provided for as a permitted activity.	Amend NATC-R3 Earthworks as follows: 1 Riparian margins of a river that is not an HNWB Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 [...] PER-5 <u>The earthworks are required for the operation, maintenance or repair of the rail network.</u> 2 Riparian margins of an HNWB Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 [...] PER-3 <u>The earthworks are required for the operation, maintenance or repair of the rail network.</u>	Accept in part
Waipopo Huts Trust	189.42	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Opposes NATC-R3 and seeks the recognition of mana whenua interests in the occupation of ancestral land and formation of a thriving, sustainable and self-sufficient Māori community on Māori Trust land.	Amend NATC-R3 Earthworks to allow earthworks outside of the footprint of the building as a permitted activity, if the earthworks are required to replace and/or upgrade an existing building of the same or similar footprint.	Accept in part
Horticulture New Zealand	245.60	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R3 Earthworks	Supports the ability to undertake earthworks for the maintenance and repair of existing fences, tracks, roads	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

Zolve Environmental	164.4	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R4 Construction of fences	Considers the rule, permitting only post and wire fencing does not allow for predator fencing for conservation purposes. Considering these areas are more significant to the district regarding biodiversity values, seems possible this is where conservation projects requiring predator fencing may be applied.	Amend NATC-R4 Construction of fences as follows: <i>Riparian margins of a river that is not an HNWB Activity status: Permitted</i> Where: PER-1 <i>The fence is a post and wire fence only. <u>or</u></i> PER-2 <i><u>Fencing requirements for conservation purposes</u></i>	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.62	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R4 Construction of fences	Provision of a permitted activity status for post-and-wire fences in a riparian margin, is supported.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.66	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R4 Construction of fences	Supports a permitted activity status for post-and-wire fences in a riparian margin.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Peter Bonifacio	36.3	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R4 Construction of fences	Opposes NATC-R4 which would result in the need for a resource consent (cost) for a fence to exclude stock out of high value areas.	Provide justification for how the restrictions listed in NATC-R4 have been determined.	Accept in part
Bruce Speirs	66.24	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R4 Construction of fences	Considers a post and netting fence will be more appropriate in many situations.	Amend NATC-R4 Construction of fences as follows: Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 <i>The fence is a post and wire fence <u>or a post and netting fence</u> only.</i>	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.119	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R4 Construction of fences	Submitter asks the Council to clarify NATC-R4 PER-1, which states 'the fence is a post and wire fence only'. The submitter would like clarity to see if this includes rabbit-proof netting as well.	Amend NATC-R4 Construction of fences Riparian margin to provide better clarity as to what a post and wire fence includes.	Reject
Horticulture New Zealand	245.61	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R4 Construction of fences	Supports the ability to construct a post and wire fence in a riparian margin.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Silver Fern Farms	172.63	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R5 Buildings and structures excluding fences	Supports a restricted discretionary consenting pathway for buildings and structures, excluding fences in non-HNWB riparian margins.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.67	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R5 Buildings and structures excluding fences	Supports a restricted discretionary consenting pathway for buildings and structures, excluding fences in non-HNWB riparian margins.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Te Kotare Trust	115.31	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R5 Buildings and structures excluding fences	Considers the rule needs to provide for the recognition of mana whenua interests in the occupation of ancestral land and formation of a thriving, sustainable and self-sufficient Māori community on Māori Trust land.	Amend NATC-R5 to allow for the construction of buildings outside of the footprint of the previous building as a permitted activity, if the construction of the building is required to replace and/or upgrade an existing building of the same or similar footprint.	Accept in part

Waipopo Huts Trust	189.43	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R5 Buildings and structures excluding fences	Opposes NATC-R5 and seeks the recognition of mana whenua interests in the occupation of ancestral land and formation of a thriving, sustainable and self-sufficient Māori community on Māori Trust land.	Amend NATC-R5 Buildings and structures excluding fences to allow for the construction of buildings outside of the footprint of the previous building as a permitted activity, if the construction of the building is required to replace and/or upgrade an existing building of the same or similar footprint.	Accept in part
Bruce Speirs	66.50	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	NATC-R6 Subdivision of land containing a riparian margin	When we consider that subdivision is given considerable prominence and significance in resource management, it makes sense to have all rules involving subdivision in one place in the plan.	1. Delete NATC-R6 Subdivision of land containing a riparian margin . AND 2. If necessary, develop appropriate objectives, policies, rules, standards, activity status, matters of control and discretion, for subdivision of land containing a riparian margin, in the Subdivision Chapter of the plan.	Consider as part of Subdivision Topic
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.53	NATC - Natural Character	Rules	Note	The submitter considers that there should be a note included here that highlights to the user that there are indigenous vegetation clearance rules that also apply within riparian margins	Amend the Note to the Rules section of the NATC Chapter as follows: <i>Activities not listed in the rules of this chapter are classified as a permitted under this chapter. For certain activities, consent may be required by rules in more than one chapter in the Plan. <u>For example, rules for indigenous vegetation clearance within the river margin are found in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter (insert hyperlink)</u>. Unless expressly stated otherwise by a rule, consent is required under each of those rules. The steps plan users should take to determine what rules apply to any activity, and the status of that activity, are provided in Part 1, HPW - How the Plan Works - General Approach.</i>	Reject
Opuha Water Limited	181.75	Natural Environment Values	Natural Character	Policies and rules	For consistency and to aid plan interpretation, OWL considers it would be appropriate for the policies and rules of this chapter to include similar regionally significant infrastructure/network utility provisions to NFL-P4(7)(d) and NFL-R3. (noting OWL's earlier submission requesting greater consistency in terminology across the PDP, particularly in relation to "infrastructure" and "network utilities").	Include a new policy and rule in the Natural character chapter that address RSI within the sensitive environments addressed by this chapter, similar to NFL-P4(7)(d) and NFL-R3.	Accept in part

Table B3 - Natural Features and Landscapes

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.126	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	General	General	Ensure that the chapter gives effect to the CRPS Natural Features and Landscapes.	Amend NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes chapter to give effect to the CRPS.	Reject
Opuha Water Limited	181.63	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	General	General	Considers it would be appropriate for the policies and rules of this chapter to include similar regionally significant infrastructure/network utility provisions to NFL-P4.7.d and NFL-R3. The earlier submission on consistency in terminology across the PDP, particularly in relation to 'infrastructure' and 'network utilities', should also be noted.	Amend the NFL-Natural Features and Landscapes Chapter to include a new policy and rule that address RSI within Sensitive Environments.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.14	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	General	General	Support the rationale and the Objectives, Policies and Rules of this chapter in general, with amendments.	Support the rationale and the Objectives, Policies and Rules of this chapter in general, with amendments.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.56	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Introduction	General	The introduction provides a clear description of Outstanding Natural Landscapes and Outstanding Natural Features.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Connexa Limited	176.72	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Introduction	General	Supports the introduction as it is clear that the objectives and policies of the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter are applicable to any resource consent application sought for infrastructure in an ONL, ONF or VAL.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.72	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Introduction	General	Supports the introduction as it is clear that the objectives and policies of the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter are applicable to any resource consent application sought for infrastructure in an ONL, ONF or VAL.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.72	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Introduction	General	Supports the introduction as it is clear that the objectives and policies of the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter are applicable to any resource consent application sought for infrastructure in an ONL, ONF or VAL.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.72	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Introduction	General	Supports the introduction as it is clear that the objectives and policies of the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter are applicable to any resource consent application sought for infrastructure in an ONL, ONF or VAL.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Federated Farmers	182.120	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Natural features and landscapes	General	Supports the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes, but considers that this must be done through the appropriate identification of the features and landscapes as well as with consultation with the impacted landowners who are protecting such areas. Strongly opposes placing restrictions on farming activities within outstanding natural	1. Amend the NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes overview to recognise and acknowledge the role that landowners have played and still play in the preservation of outstanding natural landscapes and features. 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Reject

					landscapes and features. Farming activities are appropriate land use activities that still preserve the character and amenity value of such areas of significance. [Refer to original submission for full reason]		
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.57	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Objectives	NFL-O1 Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes	The proposed objective gives effect to Objective 12.2.1 and 12.3.2 of the CRPS.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Federated Farmers	182.121	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Objectives	NFL-O1 Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes	Supports the objective.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.88	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Objectives	NFL-O1 Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes	Supports NFL-O1 as it is consistent with CRPS Objective 12.2.1.	Retain NFL-O1 as notified or preserve the original intent.	Accept
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.80	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Objectives	NFL-O1 Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes	Considers all the values should be protected, not just the landscape values.	Amend NFL-O1 Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes as follows: <i>The landscape values of the Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes of the Timaru District are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</i>	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.122	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Objectives	NFL-O2 Visual Amenity Landscapes	Supports the objective.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Accept
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.81	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Objectives	NFL-O2 Visual Amenity Landscapes	Consider all amenity values should be maintained or enhanced and not just visual amenity.	Amend NFL-O2 Visual Amenity Landscapes as follows: <i>The landscape character and visual amenity values of the visual amenity landscapes of the Timaru District are maintained or enhanced.</i>	Reject
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.84	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	New	Consider a policy is necessary to recognise and give guidance to cultural values, and natural features and landscape areas.	Add new policy to the NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes Chapter as follows: <i>NFL-PX Mātauranga Māori</i> <i>Consider the incorporation of mātauranga Māori principles into the design, development and/or operation of activities in outstanding natural features and landscapes with cultural, spiritual and/or historic values, interests or associations of importance to Kāi Tahu and opportunities for Kāi Tahu to exercise their customary responsibilities as mana whenua and kaitiaki in respect of the feature or landscape.</i>	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation	166.58	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P1 Identification of Outstanding Natural Features, Outstanding Natural Landscapes and	The proposed objective gives effect to Objective 12.2.1 and 12.3.1 of the CRPS.	Retain as notified.	Accept

Tumuaki Ahurei				Visual Amenity Landscapes			
Federated Farmers	182.123	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P1 Identification of Outstanding Natural Features, Outstanding Natural Landscapes and Visual Amenity Landscapes	Supports this policy.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.89	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P1 Identification of Outstanding Natural Features, Outstanding Natural Landscapes and Visual Amenity Landscapes	Specifically support clause (2), and the identification of values for each site. Consistent with CRPS Objective 12.2.1 & Policy 12.3.1.	Retain NFL-P1 as notified or preserve the original intent.	Accept
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.82	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P1 Identification of Outstanding Natural Features, Outstanding Natural Landscapes and Visual Amenity Landscapes	Generally supports this policy.	Retain NFL-P1 as notified.	Accept
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.59	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P2 Enabling appropriate use and development	The proposed objective gives effect to Objective 12.2.1 and 12.3.2 of the CRPS	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Road Metals Company Limited	169.26	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P2 Enabling appropriate use and development	Supports NFL-P2 as it recognises and provides for the continuation of existing primary production activities, provided the recognised values are maintained.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.26	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P2 Enabling appropriate use and development	Supports NFL-P2 as it recognises and provides for the continuation of existing primary production activities, provided the recognised values are maintained.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.90	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P2 Enabling appropriate use and development	Seeks an amendment to the policy. It is considered that the policy should provide for the upgrade, maintenance and operation of regionally significant infrastructure, such as the state highway, within the areas identified in SCHED8 and SCHED9. The policy should also recognise that there are operational or functional needs for regionally significant infrastructure to be within these areas.	Amend NFL-P2 as follows: <i>NFL-P2 Enabling appropriate use and development</i> <i>Enable certain activities in Visual Amenity Landscapes, Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, including existing non-intensive primary production, small scale earthworks, maintenance of existing tracks and fences, <u>upgrade, maintenance and the operation of regionally significant infrastructure</u> and underground utilities, that are consistent with:</i> <i>1. protecting the identified values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Landscapes and Outstanding Natural Features described in SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes and SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features <u>unless there is an operational or functional need</u>; and</i>	Accept in part

						2. maintaining or enhancing the identified values and characteristics of Visual Amenity Landscapes described in SCHED10 - Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes.	
Federated Farmers	182.124	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P2 Enabling appropriate use and development	Considers the council should not be able to cease intensive primary production in a VAL or outstanding natural features or landscape from continuing. Council should note especially if the landscape still merits the classification.	1. Amend NFL-P2 Enabling appropriate use and development as follows: <i>Enable certain activities in Visual Amenity Landscapes, Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, including existing non-intensive primary production, small scale earthworks...</i> [...] AND 2. Any consequential amendments.	Accept in part
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.83	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P2 Enabling appropriate use and development	Concerned about the reference to 'non-intensive primary production'. As outlined in another submission point, the definitions surrounding and cross referencing primary production activities is confusing and open to interpretation. Therefore it is not clear to the submitter how non-intensive primary production activities will not impact the values of these landscapes. If it only applies with existing activities, then it is already covered by existing use rights and does not require express approval in a policy. ONLs and ONFs in particular are about the naturalness of the environment which does not include primary production activities.	Amend NFL-P2 Enabling appropriate use and development as follows: <i>Enable certain activities in Visual Amenity Landscapes, Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, including existing non-intensive primary production, small scale earthworks, maintenance of existing tracks and fences, and underground utilities, that are</i> - <i>consistent with: [...]</i>	Accept in part
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.79	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P3 Maintaining and enhancing Visual Amenity Landscapes	Supports the explicit cross-reference to EI-P2 and that providing the direction on regionally significant infrastructure and visual amenity landscapes in one place avoids duplication and the potential for conflict. However, considers that cross-referencing should be consistent across the PDP. The submitter also seeks the inclusion of a further cross-reference.	Amend Policy NFL-P3 Maintaining and enhancing Visual Amenity Landscapes as follows: <i>Only allow subdivision, use and development within visual amenity landscapes, that is not provided in NFL-P2, where it can demonstrate:</i> [...] <i>3. that the proposal can be visually integrated into the landscape and will not break the skyline or ridgelines;</i> <i><u>x. that adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure are managed in accordance with EI- P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure and EI-Px Managing the effects of the National Grid.</u></i> <i>while taking into account:</i> [...]	Accept in part

						<p>6. the measures proposed to mitigate the effects on the values and characteristics, including the location, design, scale and finish of any buildings or structures or earthworks, and landscaping;and</p> <p>7. EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure.</p>	
Federated Farmers	182.125	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P3 Maintaining and enhancing Visual Amenity Landscapes	Supports this policy.	<p>1. Retain as notified; OR</p> <p>2. Wording with similar effect; AND</p> <p>3. Any consequential amendments.</p>	Accept in part
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.80	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes	Supports the explicit cross-reference to the Policy EI-P2 and that providing the direction on regionally significant infrastructure and visual amenity landscapes in one place avoids duplication and the potential for conflict. However, considers that cross-referencing should be consistent across the PDP. The submitter also seeks the inclusion of a further cross-reference.	<p>Amend NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes as follows:</p> <p><i>Avoid subdivision, use and development within outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes that area not provided in NFL-P2, unless it:</i></p> <p>[...]</p> <p>4. will maintain natural landforms, natural processes and vegetation areas and patterns,</p> <p>x. is regionally significant infrastructure that can demonstrate that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure and EI-Px Managing the effects of the National Grid.</p> <p>while taking into account:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>7. the measures proposed to mitigate the effects on the values and characteristics, including:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>c. the finish of any buildings or structures, including materials, reflectivity and colour; and landscaping and fencing;and</p> <p>d. EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure.</p>	Accept in part

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.60	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes	The proposed objective gives effect to Objective 12.2.1 and 12.3.2 of the CRPS. Make a correction to the first line of the policy.	Amend Policy NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes as follows: <i>Avoid subdivision, use and development within outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes that are not provided in NFL-P2, unless it:</i> 1[...]	Accept
Opuha Water Limited	181.64	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and outstanding Natural landscapes	Considers it is appropriate for the policies and rules of this chapter to include regionally significant infrastructure/network utility provisions: NFL-P4.7.d and NFL-R3. The inclusion of these provisions provides clarity for plan users and those implementing and enforcing the PDP for such activities.	Retain NFL-P4.7.d Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and outstanding Natural landscapes as notified.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.15	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes	Considers the wording 'Avoid' is too weak and should be replaced by 'Prohibit'. Considers that when the conditions are not met, this should not be permitted.	Amend NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes as follows: Avoid Prohibit subdivision, use and development within outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes that area not provided in NFL-P2, unless it: [...]	Reject
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.91	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes	The intent of the policy is supported. However, considers the policy should recognise that there is a functional or operational need for regionally significant infrastructure to be within SCHED8 or SCHED9. There are instances where there are no suitable alternatives, and the infrastructure must be located within these areas and they will likely have some impact on the landscapes or features.	Amend NFL-P4 as follows: NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes [...] 4. will maintain natural landforms, natural processes and vegetation areas and patterns, <u>or</u> 5. <u>is regionally significant infrastructure that has a functional or operational need to be located within outstanding natural landscapes and outstanding natural features described in SCHED8 - Schedule of outstanding natural landscapes and SCHED9 - Schedule of outstanding natural features.</u> [...]	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.126	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Policies	NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes	Considers the policy needs to focus on mitigating inappropriate subdivision, use and development within the two layers while recognising certain activities can occur as long as they are appropriate for the areas, within reason.	1. Amend NFL-P4 to achieve consistency with section 6 of the RMA and to recognise the need to allow appropriate subdivision, use and development; AND 2. Amend NFL-P4 as follows: NFL-P4 Protecting Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Avoid Mitigate inappropriate subdivision, use and development within outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes, <u>within reason, not to stifle development</u> that area not provided in NFL-P2, unless it: [...] OR	Reject

						3. Wording to similar effect. AND 4. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	
Federated Farmers	182.128	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	General	Supports NFL-R2 to NFL-R10	1. Retain NFL-R2 to NFL-R10 as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments. [NB: Specific submission made on NFL-R2, NFL-R4, NFL-R5, NFL-R6 and NFL-R8 with different relief sought]	Accept in part
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.86	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	General	Considers the extent of any impact on cultural values should be a matter of discretion for all the activities requiring consent in this overlay. The rules of the chapter should include new matters of discretion.	Amend all the rules in the NFL-Natural Features and Landscape Chapter to include the following additional matters of discretion for Restricted Discretionary Activities: <i>x. the extent of any adverse social, cultural and environmental effects, including on any sensitive environments;</i> <i>x. the potential of any adverse effects on the spiritual and cultural values and beliefs of Kāti Huirapa, including measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects.</i> [The submitter highlighted NFL-R1, NFL-R2. NFL-R3, NFL-R4, NFL-R5, NFL-R6, NFL-R7 and NFL-R8]	Reject
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.85	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	New	Considers that as landscapes hold cultural value to Kāti Huirapa. As a Section 6 matter, considers the rules need to provide for the relationship of to land. Mahika kai is a critical aspect of Kāti Huirapa values and erection of buildings and structures associated with mahika kai should be a permitted activity.	Add new rule to the NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes Chapter as follows: <i>NFL-RX Kāti Huirapa Activities Activity Status Permitted</i> <i>Where this includes:</i> <i>1. the use of land and/or buildings for traditional Māori activities and includes making and/or creating cultural goods, textiles and art, medicinal and food gathering, waka ama, events, management and activities that recognise and provide for the special relationship between Kāti Huirapa and places of cultural importance or</i> <i>2. activities associated with the protection and restoration of Kā tuhituhi o neherā;</i> <i>or</i> <i>3. Cultural harvest (which may including the clearance of vegetation) for mahika kai.</i>	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.61	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R1 Buildings, structures (other than fences) and irrigators and associated earthworks	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework NFL-R1.1 for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	
Federated Farmers	182.127	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R1 Buildings, structures (other than fences) and irrigators and associated earthworks	Supports NFL-R1 in part, but PER-2 creates unnecessary regulation for farmers. The addition of water management and allocation in their farm plans would be more beneficial and that farm plans already take into consideration the natural features and landscapes when planning for stock. For some farms a blanket ban would affect a whole farm operation and its existing use.	Amend NFL-R1 as follows: <i>NFL-R1 Buildings, structures (other than fences) and irrigators and associated earthworks ONF overlay</i> <i>ONL overlay</i> <i>Activity Status: Permitted Where</i> <i>PER-1</i>	Accept in part

						<p>The building or structure is either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. a farm building or structure associated with an existing non-intensive primary production, including residential units permitted in the applicable zones, and including earthworks associated with the building/structure; or 2. a public amenity building, including earthworks associated the building; or <p>PER-2</p> <p>The structure is an irrigator that is not a travelling, mobile or pivot irrigator; and</p> <p>PER-3</p> <p>The activity does not require the clearance of any indigenous vegetation.</p> <p>PER-4 2</p> <p>NFL-S1, NFL-S2, NFL-S3, NFL-S4 and NFL-S5 are complied with</p> <p>AND</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought. 	
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.70	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R10 Mining and quarrying	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Federated Farmers	182.129	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R2 Earthworks not listed in NFL- R1 , NFL-R3 or NFL- R4	Considers NFL-R2, 2 VAL overlay is very similar to that already outlined in the previous chapter. VAL (amenity landscapes) are a matter that is covered within s7(c) of the RMA. These are matters that councils shall have particular regard to. However not a matter deemed to be of national significance as applied to outstanding natural features and landscapes. Planting restrictions for these secondary landscapes should not be provided with similar levels as that is provided for outstanding natural features and landscapes in this proposed district plan.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Delete NFL-R2. 2 for VAL overlay. AND 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought. 	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.62	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R2 Earthworks not listed in NFL- R1, NFL-R3 or NFL- R4	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework NFL-R2.1 for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.81	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks	Considers the rule appropriately provides for the operational, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid in protected landscapes in a manner that gives effect to the NPSET and CRPS.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.63	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Connexa Limited	176.76	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks	Supports the clarity that network utilities, including earthworks, are permitted in ONF, ONL and VAL overlays. It is noted that there are no permitted standards relating to the size of network utility infrastructure maintenance or upgrading. Consequently, it is assumed that the underlying zone provisions apply.	Amend NFL-R3 as follows: NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthwork [no amendments provided]	Accept in part
Opuha Water Limited	181.65	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks	Considers it is appropriate for the policies and rules of this chapter to include regionally significant infrastructure/network utility provisions: NFL-P4.7.d and NFL-R3. The inclusion of these provisions provides clarity for plan users and those implementing and enforcing the PDP for such activities.	Retain NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks as notified.	Accept in part
Alpine Energy Limited	55.18	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks	Considers that, while more aesthetically pleasing, the requirement to underground lines imposes considerable additional cost on the operation, maintenance, and development of the electricity distribution network. This cost is ultimately borne by the community through electricity lines charges or customers through connection charges. An amendment to permit the installation of new overhead network utilities and structures is sought. [Refer original submission for full reason].	Amend NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks PER-2 as below: Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 <i>The work involves the maintenance, upgrading or removal of existing network utilities; or</i> PER-2 <i>The installation of new or upgrading of underground network utilities where:</i> <i>1. within the ONF and ONL overlays, the installation does not include more than 1,000m² of temporary trenching / earthworks; and</i> <i>2. within the VAL overlay, the installation does not include more than 1,500m² of temporary trenching / earthworks in any 12-month period; and</i> <i>3. the installation does not require the clearance of any indigenous vegetation.</i>	Reject
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.76	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks	Supports the clarity that network utilities, including earthworks, are permitted in ONF, ONL and VAL overlays. It is noted that there are no permitted standards relating to the size of network utility infrastructure maintenance or upgrading. Consequently, it is assumed that the underlying zone provisions apply.	Amend NFL-R3 as follows: NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthwork [no amendments provided]	Accept in part
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.76	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks	Supports the clarity that network utilities, including earthworks, are permitted in ONF, ONL and VAL overlays. It is noted that there are no permitted standards relating to the size of network utility infrastructure maintenance or upgrading. Consequently, it is assumed that the underlying zone provisions apply.	Amend NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthwork as follows: [no amendments provided]	Accept in part

Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.76	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthworks	Supports the clarity that network utilities, including earthworks, are permitted in ONF, ONL and VAL overlays. It is noted that there are no permitted standards relating to the size of network utility infrastructure maintenance or upgrading. Consequently, it is assumed that the underlying zone provisions apply.	Amend NFL-R3 Network utilities including associated earthwork as follows: [no amendments provided]	Accept in part
Zolve Environmental	164.5	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R4 Construction of fences, including earthworks	Considers restricting fencing to post and wire (as permitted activities) does not allow for predator fencing for conservation purposes. Considering these areas are more significant to the district regarding biodiversity values, seems possible this is where conservation projects requiring predator fencing may be applied.	Amend NFL-R4 to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> include a rule 'or fencing requirements for conservation purposes'; and Also allow indigenous vegetation clearance if it is for the purpose of conservation outcomes such as erecting a predator fence. 	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.64	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R4 Construction of fences, including earthworks	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.130	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R4 Construction of fences, including earthworks	Clarify in NFL-R4 PER 1, whether a post and wire fence includes netting. Netting fences are used for deer but also used for pest proofing land from rabbits and wallabies.	1. Amend NFL-R4 Construction of fences, including earthworks to provide clarification whether post and wire fencing includes netting; AND 2. Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.65	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R5 Tree planting, other than plantation forestry	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.131	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R5 Tree planting, other than plantation forestry	Concerns there are no provisions for the planting of shelterbelts. Given that outstanding natural landscapes include the Rangitata catchment where there are fierce nor westers, it is concerning council is not providing for shelterbelts that prevent soil erosion and are important for animal welfares. Requiring a consent for planting of shelterbelts is inappropriate, especially as existing primary production is enabled as per Policy 2.	1. Amend NFL-R5 Tree planting, other than plantation to allow shelterbelts as a permitted activity in the ONFL overlay; AND 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.66	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R6 Primary production not listed in the Rules section of this chapter	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.132	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R6 Primary production not listed in	Considers that NFL-R6 PER-2 does not allow primary production to adopt new technology or innovation or adapt to changing market patterns and customer preferences. It also stifles the ability of landowners to respond to and	1. Delete NFL-R6 . AND 2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Accept in part

				the Rules section of this chapter	become more resilient against other factors such as climate change. It locks in the current farming practice. Normal primary production activities should be able to continue without over- regulation. Having a status as either permitting or non-complying is disproportionate. Restricted Discretionary would be more reasonable. [Refer to original submission for full reason]		
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.67	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R7 Afforestation	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.37	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R7 Afforestation	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation ; OR Delete VAL-4 .	Reject
Port Blakely Limited	94.11	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R7 Afforestation	Considers an amendment to the matters of control to those listed in reg.15(4) of the NES-PF Reg.15(4) NES-PF. Considers the s.32(4) analysis report shows no analysis done to explain why NATC-R7(1) VAL overlay should contain stricter standards than matters of control indicated in reg.15(4) NES-PF, or why these stricter standards are justified. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend NFL-R7 Afforestation as follows: 1. VAL overlay Activity status: Controlled Matters of control are restricted to: 1.—effects on landscape values, and qualities of the Visual Amenity Landscape described in SCHED10—Schedule of visual amenity landscapes; and 2.—the location and extent of the afforestation; and 3.—any mitigation measures. 1. <u>the effects on the visual amenity values of the visual amenity landscape, including any future effects from plantation forestry activities</u> [...]	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.92	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R7 Afforestation	Supports the assessment of impacts on Landscape Values when considering afforestation.	Ensure Plantation Forestry provisions within the Plan are consistent with the NESPF.	Accept in part
GJH Rooney	191.37	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R7 Afforestation	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation ; OR Delete VAL-4 .	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.37	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R7 Afforestation	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either: Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation ; OR Delete VAL-4 .	Reject

Rooney Farms Limited	250.37	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R7 Afforestation	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation ; OR Delete VAL-4 .	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.37	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R7 Afforestation	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either: Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation ; OR Delete VAL-4 .	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.37	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R7 Afforestation	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation ; OR Delete VAL-4 .	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.68	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	Reject
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.38	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks	Oppose NFL-R8 applying to the VAL overlay. Including the VAL overlay is unduly restrictive and unnecessary.	Amend NFL- R8 as follows: NFL- R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks ONF overlay ONL overlay VAL overlay Activity status: Restricted Discretionary [...]	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.133	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks	Considers that farm tracks have much less impact on the landscape than a new road, walking/cycle track. If farm tracks do remain in this rule, then VAL's and ONFs and ONLs receiving the same level of protection. Farm tracks in VAL's should be permitted, at the most, controlled.	1. Amend the rule title of NFL-R8 as follows: NFL-R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks; OR 2. amend wording so it has the same intent; AND 3. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Accept in part
GJH Rooney	191.38	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks	Oppose NFL-R8 applying to the VAL overlay. Including the VAL overlay is unduly restrictive and unnecessary.	Amend NFL- R8 as follows: NFL- R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks ONF overlay ONL overlay VAL overlay Activity status: Restricted Discretionary [...]	Accept in part
Rooney Group Limited	249.38	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks	Oppose NFL-R8 applying to the VAL overlay. Including the VAL overlay is unduly restrictive and unnecessary.	Amend NFL- R8 as follows: NFL- R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks ONF overlay ONL overlay VAL overlay	Accept in part

						Activity status: Restricted Discretionary [...]	
Rooney Farms Limited	250.38	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks	Oppose NFL-R8 applying to the VAL overlay. Including the VAL overlay is unduly restrictive and unnecessary.	Amend NFL- R8 as follows: NFL- R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks ONF overlay ONL overlay VAL-overlay Activity status: Restricted Discretionary [...]	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.38	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks	Oppose NFL-R8 applying to the VAL overlay. Including the VAL overlay is unduly restrictive and unnecessary.	Amend NFL- R8 as follows: NFL- R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks ONF overlay ONL overlay VAL-overlay Activity status: Restricted Discretionary [...]	Accept in part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.38	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks	Oppose NFL-R8 applying to the VAL overlay. Including the VAL overlay is unduly restrictive and unnecessary.	Amend NFL- R8 as follows: NFL- R8 New roads, farm tracks and walking and cycling tracks ONF overlay ONL overlay VAL-overlay Activity status: Restricted Discretionary [...]	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.69	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R9 Subdivision	The submitter supports the proposed rule framework for earthworks in outstanding natural features. The thresholds are appropriate to manage effects and protect values.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.39	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R9 Subdivision	Oppose all subdivision being discretionary within an ONF, ONL or VAL overlay. Considered the Discretionary activity status are unnecessarily restrictive: 1. For any subdivisions within VAL; and 2. For boundary adjustment subdivision and subdivisions for primary production on ONF and ONL. And consider the above activities should not be controlled under this rule	Amend NFL-R9 Subdivision to: 1. remove the VAL Overlay; and 2. exclude boundary adjustment subdivisions; and 3. exclude subdivision of land used for primary production.	Reject
Bruce Speirs	66.51	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R9 Subdivision	When we consider that subdivision is given considerable prominence and significance in resource management, it makes sense to have all rules involving subdivision in one place in the plan.	1. Delete NFL-R9 Subdivision . AND 2. If necessary, develop appropriate objectives, policies, rules, standards, activity status, matters of control and discretion, for subdivision in a ONF Overlay Area, in the subdivision section of the plan.	Consider as part of Subdivision Topic

Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.93	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R9 Subdivision	Supports NF-R9 as it is Consistent with CRPS Objective 5.2.1.	Retain NFL-R9 as notified.	Accept
GJH Rooney	191.39	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R9 Subdivision	Oppose all subdivision being discretionary within an ONF, ONL or VAL overlay. Considered the Discretionary activity status are unnecessarily restrictive: 3. For any subdivisions within VAL; and 4. For boundary adjustment subdivision and subdivisions for primary production on ONF and ONL. And consider the above activities should not be controlled under this rule	Amend NFL-R9 Subdivision to: 1. remove the VAL Overlay; and 2. exclude boundary adjustment subdivisions; and 3. exclude subdivision of land used for primary production.	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.39	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R9 Subdivision	Oppose all subdivision being discretionary within an ONF, ONL or VAL overlay. Considered the Discretionary activity status are unnecessarily restrictive: 5. For any subdivisions within VAL; and 6. For boundary adjustment subdivision and subdivisions for primary production on ONF and ONL. And consider the above activities should not be controlled under this rule	Amend NFL-R9 Subdivision as follows: 1. remove the VAL Overlay; and 2. exclude boundary adjustment subdivisions; and 3. exclude subdivision of land used for primary production.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.39	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R9 Subdivision	Oppose all subdivision being discretionary within an ONF, ONL or VAL overlay. Considered the Discretionary activity status are unnecessarily restrictive: 7. For any subdivisions within VAL; and 8. For boundary adjustment subdivision and subdivisions for primary production on ONF and ONL. And consider the above activities should not be controlled under this rule	Amend NFL-R9 Subdivision as follows: 1. remove the VAL Overlay; and 2. exclude boundary adjustment subdivisions; and 3. exclude subdivision of land used for primary production.	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.39	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R9 Subdivision	Oppose all subdivision being discretionary within an ONF, ONL or VAL overlay. Considered the Discretionary activity status are unnecessarily restrictive: 9. For any subdivisions within VAL; and 10. For boundary adjustment subdivision and subdivisions for primary production on ONF and ONL.	Amend NFL-R9 Subdivision as follows: 1. remove the VAL Overlay; and 2. exclude boundary adjustment subdivisions; and 3. exclude subdivision of land used for primary production.	Reject

					And consider the above activities should not be controlled under this rule		
Timaru Developments Limited	252.39	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Rules	NFL-R9 Subdivision	Oppose all subdivision being discretionary within an ONF, ONL or VAL overlay. Considered the Discretionary activity status are unnecessarily restrictive: 11. For any subdivisions within VAL; and 12. For boundary adjustment subdivision and subdivisions for primary production on ONF and ONL. And consider the above activities should not be controlled under this rule	Amend NFL-R9 Subdivision as follows: 1. remove the VAL Overlay; and 2. exclude boundary adjustment subdivisions; and 3. exclude subdivision of land used for primary production.	Reject
Hermann Frank	90.16	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S2 Location of buildings, structures and irrigators	Considers NFL-S2 does not match NFL-P2 and generally does not make sense to allow new structures above this altitude considering the character and location of these natural features and landscapes in the Timaru District.	Amend NFL-S2 Location of buildings, structures and irrigators as follows: 1. ONF Overlay ONL Overlay <i>Buildings and structures within ONF and ONL overlays shall not be located:</i> 1. within a 20m vertical or 100m horizontal distance of any ridgeline; or 2. at any point above 900m <u>500m</u> above sea level. [...]	Accept
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.40	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings	Oppose NFL-S3.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S3.2) from the standard.	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.134	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings	Considers the council has a role to manage the effects of buildings on landscapes, not confining them to one location. The plan should acknowledge farming and enable activities. The submitter thinks TDC could adopt the Queenstown Lakes District Plan [example provided in original submission].	1. Delete NFL-S3 ; OR 2. Amend to follow the approach of QLDC 21.2.1 Objective and 21.2.1.2. AND 3. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.40	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings	Oppose NFL-S3.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S3.2) from the standard.	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.40	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings	Oppose NFL-S3.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S3.2) from the standard.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.40	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings	Oppose NFL-S3.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S3.2) from the standard.	Reject

Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.40	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings	Oppose NFL-S3.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S3.2) from the standard.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.40	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings	Oppose NFL-S3.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S3 Proximity of new residential units, farm buildings and structures to existing buildings to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S3.2) from the standard.	Reject
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.41	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and lengths of irrigators	Oppose NFL-S4.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and length of irrigators to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S4.2) from the standard.	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.41	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and lengths of irrigators	Oppose NFL-S4.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and length of irrigators to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S4.2) from the standard.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.41	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and lengths of irrigators	Oppose NFL-S4.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and length of irrigators to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S4.2) from the standard.	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.41	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and lengths of irrigators	Oppose NFL-S4.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and length of irrigators to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S4.2) from the standard.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.41	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and lengths of irrigators	Oppose NFL-S4.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and length of irrigators to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S4.2) from the standard.	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.41	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and lengths of irrigators	Oppose NFL-S4.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S4 Footprint of buildings and structures and length of irrigators to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S4.2) from the standard.	Reject
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.42	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S5 Colours and materials	Oppose NFL-S5.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S5 Colours and materials to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S5.2) from the standard.	Accept in part
GJH Rooney	191.42	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S5 Colours and materials	Oppose NFL-S5.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S5 Colours and materials to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S5.2) from the standard.	Accept in part
Rooney Group Limited	249.42	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S5 Colours and materials	Oppose NFL-S5.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S5 Colours and materials to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S5.2) from the standard.	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.42	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S5 Colours and materials	Oppose NFL-S5.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S5 Colours and materials to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S5.2) from the standard.	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.42	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S5 Colours and materials	Oppose NFL-S5.2 as this level of control is unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S5 Colours and materials to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S5.2) from the standard.	Accept in part

Timaru Developments Limited	252.42	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S5 Colours and materials	Oppose NFL-S5.2 as this level of control in unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S5 Colours and materials to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-S5.2) from the standard.	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.43	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S6 Earthworks	Oppose NFL-S6.2 as this level of control in unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S6 Earthworks to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-6.2) from the standard:	Accept in part
Timaru District Council	42.36	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S6 Earthworks	Modify NFL-S6 to allow for sufficient depth.	Amend NFL-S6 Earthworks : <i>Earthworks shall comply with all of the following:</i> <i>1. the depth of the earthworks shall not exceed 1m 2m below the original surface of the ground; and</i> <i>2. the depth of fill shall not exceed 1m above the original surface of the ground; and</i> <i>3. the area of the earthworks shall not exceed 1,000m² in any 12 month period.</i>	Accept
GJH Rooney	191.43	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S6 Earthworks	Oppose NFL-S6.2 as this level of control in unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S6 Earthworks to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-6.2) from the standard:	
Rooney Group Limited	249.43	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S6 Earthworks	Oppose NFL-S6.2 as this level of control in unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S6 Earthworks to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-6.2) from the standard:	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.43	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S6 Earthworks	Oppose NFL-S6.2 as this level of control in unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S6 Earthworks to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-6.2) from the standard:	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.43	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S6 Earthworks	Oppose NFL-S6.2 as this level of control in unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S6 Earthworks to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-6.2) from the standard:	Accept in part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.43	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	Standards	NFL-S6 Earthworks	Oppose NFL-S6.2 as this level of control in unnecessary for a visual amenity landscape.	Amend NFL-S6 Earthworks to delete the control within VAL overlay (NFL-6.2) from the standard:	Accept in part
Connexa Limited	176.73	Planning Maps	ONF overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from the provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment.	Amend the extent of the ONF overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas.	Accept in part
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.73	Planning Maps	ONF overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from the provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment.	Amend the extent of the ONF overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas.	Accept in part
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.73	Planning Maps	ONF overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from the provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment.	Amend the extent of the ONF overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas.	Accept in part
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.73	Planning Maps	ONF overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from the provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment.	Amend the extent of the ONF overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas.	Accept in part

Connexa Limited	176.74	Planning Maps	ONL overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from the provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment.	Amend the extent of the ONL overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas.	Accept in part
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.74	Planning Maps	ONL overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from the provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment.	Amend the extent of the ONL overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas.	Accept in part
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.74	Planning Maps	ONL overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from the provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment.	Amend the extent of the ONL overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas.	Accept in part
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.74	Planning Maps	ONL overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from the provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment.	Amend the extent of the ONL overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.73	Planning Maps	ONL overlay	ONL-1	Notes that a small area off Dr Sinclairs in Upper Rangitata has been missed on the planning maps (ONL-1).	Amend the Planning Maps to correct the Outstanding Natural Landscape map extent of ONL-1 to include the small area off Dr Sinclairs.	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.141	Planning Maps	ONLs, ONFs and ONCs		Considers it is not clear if this plan mapped ONLs, and ONFs in accordance with the NZCPS. There is no reference to any ONCs in the Timaru District. It is not clear if this exercise was undertaken, and none were found.	Reconsider the mapping of ONF and ONL and map ONCs in accordance with the NZCPS.	Reject
Connexa Limited	176.75	Planning Maps	VAL overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment. Considers that Rural residential areas should be excluded from Visual Amenity Landscapes as they are defined as rural areas under the NESTF	1. Amend the extent of the VAL overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas. 2. Amend the extent of the VAL to exclude any areas zoned for rural residential land use.	Accept in part
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.75	Planning Maps	VAL overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment. Considers that Rural residential areas should be excluded from Visual Amenity Landscapes as they are defined as rural areas under the NESTF	1. Amend the extent of the VAL overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas. 2. Amend the extent of the VAL to exclude any areas zoned for rural residential land use.	Reject Accept in part
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.75	Planning Maps	VAL overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment. Considers that Rural residential areas should be excluded from Visual Amenity Landscapes as they are defined as rural areas under the NESTF	1. Amend the extent of the VAL overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas. 2. Amend the extent of the VAL to exclude any areas zoned for rural residential land use.	Accept in part

Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.75	Planning Maps	VAL overlay		Considers that roads should be excluded from provisions relating to the ONF, ONL and VAL overlays, as they are a modified environment. Considers that Rural residential areas should be excluded from Visual Amenity Landscapes as they are defined as rural areas under the NESTF	1. Amend the extent of the VAL overlay so it is clear that roads are not included within these areas. 2. Amend the extent of the VAL to exclude any areas zoned for rural residential land use.	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.36	SCHED 10 Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes	Visual Amenity Landscape overlay	VAL-4 Cave Hill	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either: Delete VAL-4 ; OR Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation .	Reject
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.40	SCHED10 - Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes	SCHED10 - Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes	General	Support the schedule, however request minor changes to improve clarity and ensure that all cultural values are given the appropriate weight.	Amend SCHED10 - Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes so the attributes/ values of these areas cross reference the SASM references to ensure that the cultural values are fully recognised and protected as required by case law for landscape assessments.	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.36	SCHED10 Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes	Visual Amenity Landscape overlay	VAL-4 Cave Hill	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either: Delete VAL-4 ; OR Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation .	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.36	SCHED-10 Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes	Visual Amenity Landscape overlay	VAL-4 Cave Hill	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either: Delete VAL-4 ; OR Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation .	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.36	SCHED-10 Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes	Visual Amenity Landscape overlay	VAL-4 Cave Hill	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either: Delete VAL-4 ; OR Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation .	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.36	SCHED-10 Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes	Visual Amenity Landscape overlay	VAL-4 Cave Hill	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either: Delete VAL-4 ; OR Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation .	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.36	SCHED-10 Schedule of Visual Amenity Landscapes	Visual Amenity Landscape overlay	VAL-4 Cave Hill	Opposes NFL-R7 requiring a resource consent for afforestation within VAL-4. This VAL layer covers a significant area of land that is already subject to multiple SNAs.	Either: Delete VAL-4 ; OR Delete NFL-R7 Afforestation .	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.71	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	General	The proposed schedules are consistent with Policy 12.3.1 of the CRPS.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.170	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	General	Inclusion of this Schedule is consistent with CRPS Objective 12.2.1 and Policy 12.3.1	Retain SCHED8 as notified.	Accept in part
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.39	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	General	Support the schedule, however request minor changes to improve clarity and ensure that all cultural values are given the appropriate weight.	Amend SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes so the attributes/values of these areas cross reference the SASM references to ensure that the cultural values are fully recognised and protected as required by case law for landscape assessments.	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.184	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	New	Considers the PDP should include the Two Thumb, Hall, and Gammack Range ONL that straddles the boundary between the Timaru and Mackenzie Districts to give better give effect to the CRPS. ONL 2 should be called Mt Peel and the Four Peaks Range.	Add to SCHED8 the Two Thumb, Hall, and Gammack Range ONL as set out in APP 4 of the CRPS. ONL 2 should be called Mt Peel and the Four Peaks Range.	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.183	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	ONL-2 Peel Forest and Four Peaks Range	Support the inclusion of the existing ONLs. Considers ONL 2 should be called Mt Peel and the Four Peaks Range.	Retain and amend ONL-2 as follows: ONL-2 Peel Forest Mt Peel and Four Peaks Range.	Accept
Hermann Frank	90.18	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	SCHED8 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes	ONL-2 Peel Forest and Four Peaks Range	Considers an amendment is required.	Amend ONL-2 as follows: ONL-2 Peel Forest and Four Peaks Range Biophysical - Very High <ul style="list-style-type: none"> [...] The Ōrāri Gorge is a distinctive feature within the wider ONL which has particularly high biophysical and biodiversity values with native vegetation along the steep banks and high legibility of the incised landform traversing the foothills. Numerous species of ferns are found within Peel Forest. At least ten species of native bird occur in Peel Forest and Orari Gorge including bellbird/korimako, silvereye/tauhou, tomtit/miromiro, rifleman/tititipounamu, grey warbler/riroriro, native wood pigeon/kererū, fantail/ pīwakawaka, shining cuckoo/ pipiwharau and (migrating) long tailed cuckoo/koekoea. The Orari River and tributaries provide habitat for the blue duck/whio. The New Zealand falcon/karearea and the New Zealand pipit/ pihoihoi occur in the surrounding area. Four of the five extant lizard species in the District are present in this ONL Mt Peel edelweiss <i>Leucogenes tarahaoa</i> is endemic to the higher region of Middle/Big Mt Peel. The Orari Gorge is a stronghold for the rare stem-clasping hebe <i>Veronica amplexicaulis</i> which is endemic to South Canterbury. Other threatened species in the Gorge and the catchment are three native broom species (the Canterbury pink broom <i>Carmichelia torulosa</i>, the scrambling broom <i>C. kirkii</i>, the coral broom <i>C. crassicaule</i>) as well as at least seven other At Risk plant species. 	Accept in part

						<p>Sensory - High:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> [...] The Four Peaks and Tarahaoa/Mt Peel form the Front Ranges that are the backdrop to views from South Canterbury and the Timaru Plains. <u>The Orari River has high water quality and is one of the few Canterbury rivers which are suitable for swimming.</u> <p>[...]</p>							
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.182	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	General	Support the inclusion of all the ONFs and add more ONFs as they become known to the schedule.	<p>1. Retain SCHED9 as notified; AND</p> <p>2. add to as new ONFs become known.</p>	Accept in part						
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.72	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	General	The proposed schedules are consistent with Policy 12.3.1 of the CRPS.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part						
Hermann Frank	90.19	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	General	Supports the inclusion of limestone escarpments. They are an important and distinct landscape feature in the District and provide habitat for long-tailed bats and for endangered plant species.	The schedule is generally supported with amendments.	Accept in part						
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.171	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	General	Supports the inclusion of this Schedule as it is consistent with CRPS Objective 12.2.1 and Policy 12.3.1.	Retain SCHED9 and ensure all outstanding natural features of international, national and regional significance listed in the geopreservation inventory are included in the schedule.	Accept in part						
Hermann Frank	90.21	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	ONF-6 Claremont Bush	Considers the importance of including limestone escarpments here. They are an important and distinct landscape feature in the District and provide habitat for long-tailed bats and for endangered plant species.	<p>Amend SCHED9 as follows:</p> <p>SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Unique Name and characteristics Identifier</th> <th>Landscape values</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>[...]</td> <td>[...]</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ONF-6 Claremont Bush</td> <td>Biophysical - High</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> [...] Reserve with native vegetation and walking tracks located along the eastern extent of the Mt Horrible escarpment. <u>High diversity of ground beetles, some of them endemic to South Canterbury</u> <p>Sensory - High</p> <p>[...]</p>	Unique Name and characteristics Identifier	Landscape values	[...]	[...]	ONF-6 Claremont Bush	Biophysical - High	Accept
Unique Name and characteristics Identifier	Landscape values												
[...]	[...]												
ONF-6 Claremont Bush	Biophysical - High												

Hermann Frank	90.20	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features	ONL-2 Peel Forest and Four Peaks Range	This Schedule is generally supported. Considers the inclusion of limestone escarpments in the District are an important and distinct landscape feature and provide habitat for long-tailed bats and for endangered plant species which only occur in this calcium-rich environment. Two of them, the Taiko gentian <i>Gentianella calcis</i> subsp. taiko and the Rockdale bittercress <i>Cardamine integra</i> are endemic to the Timaru District (with very small populations outside the District) and are classified Nationally critical.	<p>Amend SCHED9 as follows:</p> <p>SCHED9 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1822 247 1952 310">Unique characteristics</th> <th data-bbox="1961 247 2101 310">Name Identifier</th> <th data-bbox="2368 247 2620 279">Landscape values and</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 338 1872 369">[...]</td> <td data-bbox="1961 338 2012 369">[...]</td> <td data-bbox="2368 338 2418 369">[...]</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 396 1923 428">ONF-2a</td> <td data-bbox="1961 396 2012 428">[...]</td> <td data-bbox="2368 396 2576 428">Biophysical - High</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 455 1923 487">ONF-2b</td> <td data-bbox="1961 455 2012 487">[...]</td> <td data-bbox="2368 455 2457 487">☐ [...]</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 514 1923 546">ONF-2c</td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="2368 514 2709 619">☐ <i>Limestone areas around support the only known habitat of the endangered</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 646 1923 709">ONF-2d</td> <td data-bbox="1961 646 2323 709"><i>Downlands limestone features - population in</i></td> <td data-bbox="2368 646 2709 772"><i>pekapeka (long-tailed bat) the eastern South Island.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 800 1923 831">ONF-2e</td> <td data-bbox="1961 800 2323 957"><i>Raincliff/ Rockpool/Mt Gay/Mt Donald remnants, with ONF-2f species of high</i></td> <td data-bbox="2368 852 2650 1041">☐ <i>Native vegetation specialised limestone ecological value, are present.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 1073 1923 1104">ONF-2g</td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="2368 1125 2680 1157">Sensory - Moderate to High</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 1188 1923 1220">ONF-2h</td> <td data-bbox="1961 1188 2012 1220">[...]</td> <td data-bbox="2368 1241 2418 1272">[...]</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 1304 2516 1335">ONF-2i ONF-2j ONF-2k ONF-2l ONF-2m ONF-2n ONF-2o ONF-2p</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 1398 1923 1430">ONF-2q</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1822 1461 1872 1493">[...]</td> <td data-bbox="1961 1461 2012 1493">[...]</td> <td data-bbox="2368 1461 2418 1493">[...]</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Unique characteristics	Name Identifier	Landscape values and	[...]	[...]	[...]	ONF-2a	[...]	Biophysical - High	ONF-2b	[...]	☐ [...]	ONF-2c		☐ <i>Limestone areas around support the only known habitat of the endangered</i>	ONF-2d	<i>Downlands limestone features - population in</i>	<i>pekapeka (long-tailed bat) the eastern South Island.</i>	ONF-2e	<i>Raincliff/ Rockpool/Mt Gay/Mt Donald remnants, with ONF-2f species of high</i>	☐ <i>Native vegetation specialised limestone ecological value, are present.</i>	ONF-2g		Sensory - Moderate to High	ONF-2h	[...]	[...]	ONF-2i ONF-2j ONF-2k ONF-2l ONF-2m ONF-2n ONF-2o ONF-2p			ONF-2q			[...]	[...]	[...]	Accept in part
Unique characteristics	Name Identifier	Landscape values and																																									
[...]	[...]	[...]																																									
ONF-2a	[...]	Biophysical - High																																									
ONF-2b	[...]	☐ [...]																																									
ONF-2c		☐ <i>Limestone areas around support the only known habitat of the endangered</i>																																									
ONF-2d	<i>Downlands limestone features - population in</i>	<i>pekapeka (long-tailed bat) the eastern South Island.</i>																																									
ONF-2e	<i>Raincliff/ Rockpool/Mt Gay/Mt Donald remnants, with ONF-2f species of high</i>	☐ <i>Native vegetation specialised limestone ecological value, are present.</i>																																									
ONF-2g		Sensory - Moderate to High																																									
ONF-2h	[...]	[...]																																									
ONF-2i ONF-2j ONF-2k ONF-2l ONF-2m ONF-2n ONF-2o ONF-2p																																											
ONF-2q																																											
[...]	[...]	[...]																																									

Table B4 - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity

Submitter	Sub No.	Section/ Appendix	Sub-section	Provision	Submission Point Summary	Relief/ Decision Sought Summary	Accept / Reject
Hermann Frank	90.22	APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas	General	General	Support appendices APP5. This criteria is widely recognised and used by scientists and institutions.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.176	APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas	General	General	Considers the plan should give effect to the NPS - Indigenous Biodiversity which came out in December 2022 in regard to the criteria for significance.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.48	APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas	General	General	The submitter supports the inclusion of criteria for identifying significant natural areas which is consistent with the CRPS. However, it is suggested that the criteria are aligned with the NPS-IB draft criteria as they are likely to become the nationally consistent criteria.	Amend the criteria included in APP5 to align with the draft NPS-IB criteria (Appendix 1).	Reject
Road Metals Company Limited	169.48	APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas	General	General	Opposes Appendix 5 as it is not consistent with the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB) framework for assessing Significant Natural Areas.	Amend APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas to be consistent to the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity.	Reject
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.50	APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas	General	General	Notes that the exposure draft of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB) sets a national framework for assessing Significant Natural Areas. Requests the PDP is consistent with the NPSIB.	Amend APP5 Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas to be consistent with the final form of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity.	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.159	APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas	General	General	Considers it is appropriate to apply a methodology for the identification of SNAs that is consistent with the Canterbury RPS.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.151	APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas	General	General	Considers it is appropriate to apply a methodology for the identification of SNAs that is consistent with the Canterbury RPS.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.167	APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas	General	General	Supports as this reflects criteria in the CRPS.	Retain APP5 as notified.	Accept
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.10	Definitions	Definitions	Biodiversity Management Plan	Only where they are used as a matter of discretion in Rule ECO-R1.2.	Retain only where Biodiversity Management Plans are used as a matter of discretion in Rule ECO- R1.2 .	Accept

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.4	Definitions	Definitions	Biodiversity Management Plan	The submitter supports the inclusion of this definition. It is noted that Biodiversity Management Plans are required as a matter of discretion for vegetation clearance within Significant Natural Areas (SNAs). These are encouraged to assist with the protection or enhancement of indigenous vegetation and habitats.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.9	Definitions	Definitions	Biodiversity/Biological Diversity	Considers that the wording is slightly different to that of section 2 of the RMA, creating inconsistency in applying the definition.	Amend definition of Biodiversity/Biological Diversity as follows: <i>has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA (as set out in box below).</i> <i>means the variability of among living organisms and the ecological complexes of which they are a part, including diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems.</i>	Accept
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.11	Definitions	Definitions	Clearance of Indigenous Vegetation	Considers the PDP needs a definition for vegetation clearance regardless of whether it is indigenous or not. Any discrepancies as to when vegetation clearance (exotic and indigenous) versus clearance of only indigenous vegetation can be addressed in the rules. It is important to note that neither the NES-FW nor the NES-PF definitions of vegetation clearance qualify it with the word indigenous. This definition also seems to only apply to the complete destruction or removal of vegetation. The definition should reflect clearance that is less than the complete removal of vegetation such cutting, damage or disturbance. This aligns with the definitions in NES-FW and NES-PF.	Amend definition of Clearance of Indigenous Vegetation as follows: <i>Clearance of indigenous vVegetation clearance</i> <i>means the clearing, felling, disturbance, or removal of any indigenous vegetation by any means, including grazing, cutting, crushing, cultivation, spraying, irrigation, chemical application, artificial drainage, overplanting, over sowing, or burning.</i>	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.5	Definitions	Definitions	Clearance of indigenous vegetation	The submitter supports the proposed definition however seeks amendments to make it explicit that this also includes any activity that destroys indigenous vegetation	Amend the definition of Clearance of Indigenous Vegetation as follows: <i>Means any activity that destroys or removes indigenous vegetation means the clearing or removal of 'indigenous vegetation' by any means, including clearing, grazing, cutting, crushing, cultivation, spraying, irrigation, chemical application, artificial drainage, overplanting, over sowing, or burning.</i>	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.8	Definitions	Definitions	Clearance of Indigenous Vegetation	Opposes in part the definition of 'Clearance of Indigenous Vegetation'. This definition has been slightly taken out of context from the 'National Policy Statement for Improved Pastures'. and can create confusion among farmers. Considers it helpful if the definition covers the needs for the farm systems, whilst also tying into the national requirements and regulation.	Either: 1. Amend the definition of Clearance of Indigenous Vegetation ; OR 2. Delete the definition; AND 3. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Accept in part
Helicopters South Canterbury 2015 Ltd	53.10	Definitions	Definitions	Improved pasture	Support the definition of improved pasture as defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPSFM 2020).	Retain the definition as notified.	Accept
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	132.6	Definitions	Definitions	Improved Pasture	Supports the definition of improved pasture as defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPSFM 2020).	Retain as notified.	Accept

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.20	Definitions	Definitions	Improved pasture	Considers the definition is problematic because much of the New Zealand agricultural landscape has been deliberately modified in some way with exotic pasture species. This is particularly relevant to the high country where top dressing and over sowing has modified large areas of indigenous vegetation for livestock grazing. For certainty, improved pasture should be fully converted pasture where indigenous vegetation has been fully removed and that is mapped.	Delete the definition of Improved Pasture , and include a new definition as follows: <i>means an area of land where exotic pasture species have been deliberately sown or maintained for the purpose of pasture production, and species composition and growth has been modified and is being managed, for livestock grazing.</i> <i>means an area where indigenous vegetation has been fully removed and the vegetation converted to exotic pasture or crops at the time this plan was written, and that has been mapped.</i> [see related submission point on Planning Maps]	Reject
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.14C	Definitions	Definitions	Improved pasture	This definition reflects the draft NPSIB. While the NPSIB definition may change before the NPSIB becomes operative, it provides helpful guidance in lieu of a definition in the CRPS.	Retain definition of 'improved pasture' as notified.	Accept
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.22	Definitions	Definitions	Indigenous Vegetation	Considers it useful to include a reference to the presence of exotic species given that they are ubiquitous in almost all native plant communities throughout New Zealand - this is particularly relevant in the high country and as it related to the comment on the definition of improved pasture.	Amend Indigenous Vegetation definition as follows: <i>means a community of vascular and nonvascular plants, <u>mosses and/or lichens or fungi</u> that, in relation to a particular area, includes species are native to the ecological district, in which that area is located. <u>The community may include exotic species.</u></i>	Reject
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.6	Definitions	Definitions	Indigenous Vegetation	Transpower is neutral in respect of the definition of 'indigenous vegetation' but notes that it is vital that the definition is sufficiently clear and appropriate so that the provisions in the Proposed District Plan that relate to indigenous vegetation give effect to higher order planning documents (including the NPSET and the CRPS). Further the definition must also be appropriate to National Environmental Standards that defer provisions in the Proposed District Plan, such as those in the NESETA. Transpower as some concern that, as drafted, a single indigenous plant would fall within the definition of 'indigenous vegetation' and also (as a result) the definition of 'clearing of indigenous vegetation'	None specified.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.7	Definitions	Definitions	Indigenous Vegetation	The Submitter supports the definition, which is consistent with the draft NPSIB.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.14D	Definitions	Definitions	Indigenous vegetation	The definition reflects the definition contained within the draft NPS on Indigenous Biodiversity.	Retain definition of 'indigenous vegetation' as notified.	Accept

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.14	Definitions	Definitions	New	In relation to other submission points made by the Submitter, seeks that the effects management hierarchy is defined in the Plan to ensure that there is an appropriate cascade of effects management approaches, starting with avoidance, and ending with offsetting or compensation of residual adverse effects, to appropriate manage adverse effects on significant values. The draft National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPS-IB) gives meaning to the effects management hierarchy in Clause 1.5(4).	Add a new definition of 'Effects Management Hierarchy' which is generally consistent with the draft National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPS-IB).	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.15	Definitions	Definitions	New	Insert new definition for "compensation", as the term is used within the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter, it is considered necessary to define the term so its meaning is clear. The draft National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPS-IB) contains a definition for 'Biodiversity Compensation' which is recommended.	Add a new definition for 'Compensation' as follows (or words to similar effect): Compensation <i>Means any positive actions (excluding biodiversity offsets) to compensate for residual adverse biodiversity effects arising from activities after all appropriate avoidance, remediation, mitigation and biodiversity offset measures have been sequentially applied.</i>	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.16	Definitions	Definitions	New	New Definition - 'Biodiversity Offset': Insert new definition of 'Biodiversity Offset'. Providing for the use of biodiversity offset (where the effects management hierarchy has been applied), enables Councils and applicants to address any residual adverse effects, that cannot otherwise be demonstrably avoided, minimised, or remedied as a result of the proposed activity. This aligns with Section 104(1)(b) of the RMA and the draft National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPS-IB) which includes offsetting to address residual effects via the effects management hierarchy. The definition recommended for 'Biodiversity Offset' has been copied from the NPS-IB.	Add a new definition for 'biodiversity offset' as follows (or words to similar effect): Biodiversity offset <i>means a measurable conservation outcome that results from actions that:</i> <i>a. redress any more than minor residual adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity after all appropriate avoidance, minimisation, and remediation measures have been sequentially applied; and</i> <i>b. achieves a measurable net gain compared to that lost.</i>	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.32	Definitions	Definitions	Significant Natural Area or SNA	Considers that it is possible that not all SNAs have been identified, assessed, and mapped as SNA, hence the definition shouldn't be limited to the mapped areas only.	Amend Significant Natural Area or SNA as follows: <i>Means identified areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna, as set out in ECO-SCHED 2, and shown on the planning maps; or any area that meets the APP5 - Criteria for identifying Significant Natural Areas.</i>	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.12	Definitions	Definitions	Significant Natural Area or SNA	The submitter supports the identification of SNA's as set out in SCHED7 and on the planning maps. However, SNA status criteria could also be applied to new areas that have not yet been identified and mapped as an area of significant indigenous vegetation, or significant habitat of indigenous fauna. This would require an assessment of any new areas against the Criteria in Appendix 5 of the plan. The suggested amendment would also make the definition consistent with the draft NPS-IB definition for SNA and Policy 6. Amend the definition to correct the reference from ECO-SCHED 2 to SCHED 7. It is noted that the SNA definition may need to be reviewed in accordance with any future nationally developed criteria under the NPS IB.	Amend the definition of Significant Natural Area as follows: <i>Means</i> <i>a. identified areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna, as set out in ECO-SCHED2-SCHED7 and shown on the Planning Maps; or</i> <i>b. areas that have been assessed as an area of significant indigenous vegetation or significant habitat of indigenous fauna in accordance with the criteria set out in APP5.</i>	Accept in part

Fenlea Farms Limited	171.6	Definitions	Definitions	Significant Natural Area or SNA	Opposes that the definition of Significant Natural Area refers to an incorrect SCHED number.	Amend the definition of Significant Natural Area to include the correct SCHED number, being SCHED7.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.8	Definitions	Definitions	Significant Natural Area Or SNA	Considers definition of SNAs would only be consistent with the CRPS if all SNAs across the Timaru District had been mapped and listed in ECO-SCHED2, but this is not the case, so the definition should be amended to include Significant Natural Areas that meet the criteria of Schedule 3 but have not yet been mapped or listed in ECO-SCHED2. [See original submission for full detail].	1. Replace the definition of Significant Natural Area as follows or with words to the same effect, so that all SNAs must meet the Appendix 3 criteria, but are not necessarily listed in ECO-SCHED2 &/or shown on the planning maps: <i>Means areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna that meet the criteria for a SNA as described in APP5-Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas. (While areas meeting one or more of the SNA criteria have not been comprehensively identified across the entire district, SNAs that have already been identified are shown on the Planning Maps and set out in ECO-SCHED2).</i> OR 2. Amend the definition of Significant Natural Area follows: <i>Means areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna:</i> • as shown on the Planning maps and • as set out in ECO-SCHED2 <i>and/or</i> • <i>that meet the criteria for a SNA as described in APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas.</i>	Reject
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.73	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas	Supports ECO-P3 as it is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.1 Halting the decline of Canterbury's ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity and CRPS Policy 9.3.5 wetland protection and enhancement.	Retain ECO-P3 as notified or preserve the original intent.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.1	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	Supports the rationale for maintaining indigenous biodiversity and the Objectives, Policies and Rules of the chapter with amendments.	Supports the rationale for maintaining indigenous biodiversity and the Objectives, Policies and Rules of the chapter with amendments.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.23	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	Considers there seem to be appropriate Policies and Rules proposed to protect Significant Natural Areas, but there is no protection for indigenous vegetation not mapped as SNAs in the PDP. This needs to be addressed and Policies and Rules added to give regard to the statement "In addition, there are likely to be a range of other areas not yet assessed but containing significant values."	Add polices and rules to protect indigenous vegetations outside of mapped SNAs. Especially to give effect to the below statement: <i>In addition, there are likely to be a range of other areas not yet assessed but containing significant values.</i>	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.24	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	There is no provision in the PDP for the Council to make funding available on a yearly basis for the protection, maintenance and enhancement of Significant Natural Areas. Considers this is added, possibly under rules.	Add a provision (possibly under rules) for the Council to make funding available on a yearly basis for the protection, maintenance and enhancement of Significant Natural Areas.	Reject
Hermann Frank	90.25	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	Considers the responsibility for the Council to educate the public about the natural values and diversity in the District is missing in the provisions.	Add a provision regarding the responsibility for the Council to educate the public about the natural values and diversity in the District.	Reject

Kerry James McArthur	113.9	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	<p>Cannot understand how cabbage trees can be classified as a SNA. There is uncertainty as to who is managing SNA's which need to be managed to avoid hazards such as fire risk and overhead power cables.</p> <p>Many of the submitter's property are impacted by SNAs. Some new sites are new and submitter has not been consulted. Some of the new sites include cabbage trees on the side of the road and the submitter does not see how a cabbage tree qualifies as significant. The submitter also questions who has responsibility over SNA on roadside locations. Unclear of whether to continue mowing these as a fire safety precaution. Submitter is reluctant to do it for the risk of damaging SNA. Fire risk is a serious concern and has implications for the wider community not to mention insurance risk.</p> <p>Another concern for landowners is that the areas will expand and encroach on farming operations. Areas of SNAs encroaching onto productive farm land will restrict landowner's ability to run their business. Questions whose responsibility is it to manage the SNA when it becomes a hazard.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason].</p>	Request that the Council review the new SNAs in particular the ones on roadsides and provide clarity on long-term maintenance, hazard management, and responsibilities.	Accept in part
James Reese Hart	149.2	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	<p>The Submitter's farm at 916 Main Waitohi Road, Temuka (Pigeon Cliffs) and 318 Matthew Road contains a number of SNAs and Bat Protection Areas, which have been well looked after by the current and previous owners without restrictions. Restrictions imposed by the PDP may significantly impact farming operation.</p> <p>Who will pay compensation? Who will maintain the areas if we are shut out? Will the TDC be able to claim rates on areas that we have been shut out of?</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	Amend the ECO chapter to avoid putting a ban on livestock grazing in restricted areas at 916 Main Waitohi Road, RD25, Temuka.	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.96	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	<p>Considers that the Proposed District Plan should give effect to the NPS-IB where it has not already.</p>	Where the plan does not give effect to the NPS-IB (if it is gazetted), then it should do so through this plan review process.	Accept in part

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.29	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	<p>The submitter generally opposes ECO chapter in its entirety. Considers the provisions do not provide certainty that indigenous biodiversity will be protected, maintained, enhanced, and restored for the following reasons:</p> <p><i>considers the existing SNA survey is incomplete with many areas yet to be surveyed, and existing served areas may need to be re-surveyed against current criteria in the draft NPS-IB;</i></p> <p><i>there are many other areas of indigenous biodiversity not identified in this chapter that are required to be maintained and enhanced;</i></p> <p><i>considers it needs to be made clear in the provisions of the PDP that new subdivision, use and development within a SNA should avoid certain effects as set out in the draft NPS-IB in accordance with the effects management hierarchy, and as well as for areas outside of mapped SNAs, as set out in Clause 1.5(4) and the principles applied for biodiversity offset and compensation in Appendix 3 and 4 of the draft NPS-IB</i></p> <p>4. Encourage Council to align its provisions with the exposure draft as much as possible i.e. definitions, provisions, and criteria. This recognises that the exposure draft represents the current national best-practice on managing indigenous biodiversity in the RMA context</p> <p>[see original submission for full reasons]</p>	Specific relief as outlined in the submission points.	Accept in part
Fenlea Farms Limited	171.32	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	<p>Opposes any objectives, policies, rules, standards and schedules relating to the Significant Natural Areas overlay on properties at 158 Prattley Road and 94 Milford Clandeboye Road.</p> <p>There is no ECO-SCHED2 to the Proposed Plan, and it is unclear whether this is to be read together with SCHED7 – Schedule of Significant Natural Areas</p>	ECO-SCHED2 be made available or reference corrected to SCHED7 if included in error.	Accept in part
Alastair Joseph Rooney	177.14	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	<p>The submitter, notes there is no ECO-SCHED2 to the PDP, and it is unclear whether this is to be read together with SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas.</p>	Amend ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter so that ECO-SCHED2 is made available or reference corrected to SCHED7 if included in error.	Accept in part
Opuha Water Limited	181.61	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	<p>Considers it would be appropriate for the policies and rules of this chapter to include similar regionally significant infrastructure/network utility provisions to NFL-P4.7.d and NFL-R3. The earlier submission on consistency in terminology across the PDP, particularly in relation to 'infrastructure' and 'network utilities', should also be noted.</p>	Add a new Policy and Rules in the ECO- Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity chapter that address RSI within the sensitive environments addressed by this chapter similar to NFL-P4(7)(d) and NFL-R3.	Reject

Federated Farmers	182.100	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	The submitter notes the tension between landowners, iwi and Council around the preservation of indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity. Considers landowners should view biodiversity as a valuable asset rather than a hindrance. As part of this, it is important that activities such as lambing, calving, shelter, water supply and takes, fencing, access and works for access and fire breaks are permitted throughout the plan. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	1. Retain Objectives of ECO chapter as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Accept in part
Roselyne Yeandle	253.2	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	General	General	Oppose the provisions that relate to SNAs and considers the rule changes are unfair. Considers there was lack of consultation on these matters. Considers there is lack of explanation by Runanga and the blanket coverage of the wider Temuka area is considered unacceptable.	Delete all SNAs from the submitter's land and throughout the Timaru District.	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.97	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Introduction	General	Notes that the Timaru District contains some unique and representative examples of indigenous vegetation and habitat for indigenous fauna, including some at-risk, threatened, and endangered species. A more thorough description of the indigenous vegetation and habitat of native fauna; and the presence of at-risk, threatened, and endangered species should be included. A sentence celebrating the districts SNAs would also be helpful.	Amend ECO Introduction as follows: <i>The district contains a diverse range of habitats that support indigenous plants and animals, <u>including at-risk, threatened, and endangered indigenous species, including the nationally critical pekapeka/Long Tailed Bat and the at-risk and declining kororā /Little Penguin.</u> Many of these <u>habitats</u> are endemic, comprising forests, shrub lands, herb fields, tussock grasslands, and waterbody margins, including coastal areas. Some contain exotic species.</i> <i><u>The amount and type of indigenous vegetation remaining in the District varies over the rural area, due to many factors. Some areas have been actively conserved by landholders, and some simply left alone.</u></i> <i><u>The Council has a responsibility In, addition, there are likely to be a range of other areas not yet assessed, but containing significant values, that meet the APP5 Criteria for identifying Significant Natural Areas, and will be progressively assessed, listed, and mapped in the Plan.</u></i>	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.30	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Introduction	General	Considers it should be made clear within the introduction that there are unmapped areas of remnant indigenous vegetation within Timaru (both non-mapped SNAs and indigenous biodiversity that isn't covered under SNAs) that Council is also required to protect in line with the RMA (Part 2, 6(c)), the CRPS (Policy 9.3.1) and the draft NPS-IB (Policy 7 and subpart 2, Clause 3.8(5)).	Amend the Introduction to the ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter as follows: <i>The District contains a diverse range of habitats that support indigenous plants and animals. Many of these are endemic, comprising forests, shrubland, herbfields, <u>drylands</u>, tussock grasslands, and waterbody margins. The Council has a responsibility to maintain 'indigenous biodiversity' generally [...].</i> <i>In addition, there are likely to be a range of other areas <u>including remnant, recovering or restored biodiversity</u> not yet assessed, but containing <u>significant indigenous biodiversity values that council is also required to protect, maintain and enhance.</u></i>	Accept in part
Alpine Energy Limited	55.2	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity	Opposes on the basis further engagement with Timaru District Council is required to ensure the work of Alpine Energy is able to support the objective.	None specified.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.2	ECO - Ecosystems and	Objectives	ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity	Considers there is no provision in the plan to aim for enhancement of natural values, so this needs to be considered in appropriate parts e.g., in regard to SNAs.	Amend ECO-O1 as follows: ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity	Reject

		Indigenous Biodiversity				<i>The values of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna across the District are protected <u>and where possible enhanced</u>.</i>	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.98	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity	Notes the RMA s6c requirement is to protect significant natural areas, not just the values.	Amend ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity as follows: <i>The values of s Significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <u>is are</u> protected.</i>	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.31	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity	<p>Whilst the submitter acknowledges that this objective is consistent with the RMA Part 2, (section 6c) it is recommended that it is replaced with an objective that sets an overall target for the District to increase indigenous biodiversity within the district and that the objective describes how this will be achieved by the Plan provisions. This aligns better with the CRPS (Objective 9.2.1, 9.2.2 and 9.2.3).</p> <p>The CRPS notes that there is a need for action to restore fragmented, degraded or scarce natural habitat, to restore essential ecosystem functions, ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity in particular and to extend the area of particular habitat types. Restoration is also needed to provide connections between currently isolated fragments of natural ecosystems.</p> <p>This submission point also links to submitters comments on SD-O2.</p>	<p>Delete ECO-O1 and replace with the following new objective (or similar):</p> <p><u>ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity</u></p> <p><u>Overall, there is an increase in indigenous biodiversity throughout the District, comprising:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. protected and restored SNAs; and</u> <u>2. other areas of indigenous biodiversity that are maintained and enhanced, and the restoration and enhancement of areas of indigenous biodiversity is encouraged and supported.</u> 	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.47	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity	The objective should be linked to the Significant Natural Area mapping to avoid uncertainty about where the requirement to protect these values applies.	Amend ECO-O1 as follows: <u>ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity</u> <i>The values of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <u>mapped</u> across the District are protected.</i>	Reject
Alliance Group Limited	173.50	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity	Seeks to amend that the objective is linked to the Significant Natural Area mapping to avoid uncertainty about where the requirement to protect these values applies.	Amend ECO-O1 as follows: <u>ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity</u> <i>The values of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <u>mapped</u> across the District are protected.</i>	Reject
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.68	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O1 Protection of significant indigenous biodiversity	Supports the intention of ECO-O1 as its intent is consistent with CRPS objective 9.2.3, however ECO-O1 refers to "The values of significant indigenous vegetation ..." rather than "The values of areas of significant indigenous vegetation [.....]".	Amend ECO-O1 as follows: <i>The values <u>of areas</u> of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna across the District are protected.</i>	Reject
Alpine Energy Limited	55.3	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O2 Maintenance and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity	Recognises the importance of protecting, maintaining, and enhancing indigenous biodiversity within the Timaru District. Submitter looks forward to engaging with Council to ensure our work across the District is able to support these objectives.	None specified.	Accept

New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	132.14	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O2 Maintenance and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity	Supports objective ECO-O2, that seeks to maintain and enhance indigenous biodiversity.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.99	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O2 Maintenance and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity	Not specified.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.32	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O2 Maintenance and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity	See 'Reason' provided by the submitter for submission on ECO-O1.	Delete ECO-O2 and replace with the new objective outlined in relief sought on ECO-O1.	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.48	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O2 Maintenance and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity	Supports the maintenance or enhancement of indigenous biodiversity.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.51	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O2 Maintenance and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity	Supports providing for the maintenance or enhancement of indigenous biodiversity.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.69	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O2 Maintenance and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity	Supports objective ECO-O2 as it is consistent with CRPS objective 9.2.1.	Retain ECO-O2 as notified or preserve the original intent.	Accept
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.100	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O3 Recognition of Ngāi Tahu	Not specified.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.70	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	ECO-O3 Recognition of Ngāi Tahu	Supports the recognition and provision for the relationship of Ngāi Tahu whānui with indigenous biodiversity.	Retain ECO-O3 the intent of this provision.	Accept
Federated Farmers	182.101	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Objectives	General	The submitter supports the objectives ECO-01, ECO-02, and ECO-03 for Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.3	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	ECO-P1 is supported as it is.	Retain as notified.	Accept
New Zealand Agricultural	132.15	ECO - Ecosystems and	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of	Supports policy ECO-R1, that provides for the management of pest plants and pest animals to enhance biodiversity values.	Retain as notified.	Accept

Aviation Association		Indigenous Biodiversity		significant indigenous biodiversity			
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.101	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Not specified.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.33	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	<p>The submitter supports the intent of this policy but seeks to make it clear that there is a process provided in the Plan for identifying new areas of significant vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna - this is likely to be through the resource consent process.</p> <p>This is anticipated by the provisions in the Plan as, for example, matters of discretion for the clearance of vegetation (ECO-R1-2) within sensitive areas includes identifying whether the indigenous vegetation is significant (when assessed against the criteria in APP5).</p> <p>The CRPS requires that District Councils continue to work with landowners to identify the location of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna for inclusion in the district plan (Policy 9.3).</p>	<p>Amend ECO-P1 as follows:</p> <p>ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity</p> <p>Identify Significant Natural Areas by:</p> <p>assessing <u>and continuing to identify new</u> areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna according to the criteria set out in APP5-Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas; and</p> <p>2. [...].</p>	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.49	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Supports the same methodology for identifying SNA's as set down in the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement. Considers consistency with the RPS will assist the efficiency of consenting processes. Also supports the scheduling of SNA's to minimise uncertainty.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Alliance Group Limited	173.52	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Supports the same methodology for identifying SNA's as set down in the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement. Considers consistency with the RPS will assist the efficiency of consenting processes. Also supports the scheduling of SNA's to minimise uncertainty.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.30	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	<p>Oppose ECO-P1 to the extent that Council has identified and mapped SNAs within the beds of rivers and lakes (section 13 RMA land).</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	Amend ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity to specially exclude the identification of SNAs on section 13 RMA land.	Reject
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.71	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Supports ECO-P1 as it is consistent with CRPS Policy 9.3.1.	Retain ECO-P1 as notified or preserve the original intent.	Accept
GJH Rooney	191.30	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	<p>Oppose ECO-P1 to the extent that Council has identified and mapped SNAs within the beds of rivers and lakes (section 13 RMA land).</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason]</p>	Amend ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity to specially exclude the identification of SNAs on section 13 RMA land.	Reject

Rooney Group Limited	249.30	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Oppose ECO-P1 to the extent that Council has identified and mapped SNAs within the beds of rivers and lakes (section 13 RMA land). [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity to specially exclude the identification of SNAs on section 13 RMA land.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.30	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Oppose ECO-P1 to the extent that Council has identified and mapped SNAs within the beds of rivers and lakes (section 13 RMA land). [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity to specially exclude the identification of SNAs on section 13 RMA land.	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.30	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Oppose ECO-P1 to the extent that Council has identified and mapped SNAs within the beds of rivers and lakes (section 13 RMA land). [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity to specially exclude the identification of SNAs on section 13 RMA land.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.30	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Oppose ECO-P1 to the extent that Council has identified and mapped SNAs within the beds of rivers and lakes (section 13 RMA land). [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend ECO-P1 Assessment and identification of significant indigenous biodiversity to specially exclude the identification of SNAs on section 13 RMA land.	Reject
Alpine Energy Limited	55.4	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Submitter illustrates that 69 poles and 44 overhead conductors, and associated vehicle access tracks are located within the SNA's across Timaru (maps provided in original submission). Seeks that clearance of indigenous vegetation for the maintenance and repair of electricity distribution poles and lines, including maintenance of existing vehicle access tracks be a permitted activity. [Refer original submission for full reason].	Amend ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance is Significant Natural Areas as follows: <i>Provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation in Significant Natural Areas where it is appropriate for health and wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling clearance:</i> [...] <i>for flood protection works by appropriate authorities where those works are required to protect people and communities from the effects of flooding; or [...]</i> <i>for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid, <u>electricity distribution network</u> and public roads.</i>	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.4	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Seeks an amendment.	Amend ECO-P2 as follows: ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance <i>Provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation in Significant Natural Areas where it is appropriate for health and wellbeing or customary reasons <u>and this cannot be avoided by other measures</u>, by enabling clearance:</i> [...]	Reject
Port Blakely Limited	94.5	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Considers that the NES-PF provisions regarding this matter should prevail over the proposed district plan rules as there is no justification in the s.32 report in relation to the more stringent rules. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas to: 1. allow for the appropriate clearance of indigenous vegetation in SNAs for the maintenance of forestry tracks and roads that have been used in the last 50 years (see reg 93(2)(d) NES-PF). 2. allow for vegetation clearance in an SNA where it is incidental damage	Reject

						and the damage meets the restrictions in reg.93(5)(c) NES-PF. 3. insert text into this policy to clarify that the NES-PF regulations will prevail over the proposed district plan regarding indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas.	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.82	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports the policy, which provides for the clearance of indigenous vegetation in Significant Natural Areas where it's appropriate for health and wellbeing or customary reasons. Support clearance where the indigenous vegetation causes imminent danger to human life, structures or utilities; and the operation, maintenance or repair of public roads.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.102	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Consider the word 'Appropriate' in the policy heading makes the purpose of the policy uncertain. Considers the policy actually seems to contain provisions that sit better as rules. Requests reword the policy to simplify it and show that Council may consider allowing clearance in certain circumstances.	Amend ECO-P2 as follows: ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas <i>Consider allowing Provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation in Significant Natural Areas where it is appropriate for health, <u>safety, and wellbeing</u> or customary reasons, <u>by enabling clearance</u>:</i> 1. [...]. [...]. <i>for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid and public roads.</i>	Accept in part
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.70	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports that the policy enables indigenous vegetation clearance for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid. However, considers that the policy should provide for the upgrade of the National Grid, consistent with the NESETA and to give effect to the NPSET.	Amend ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas as follows: <i>Provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation in Significant Natural Areas where it is appropriate for health and wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling clearance:</i> [...] <i>5. for the operation, maintenance, or repair <u>or upgrade</u> of the National Grid and public roads.</i>	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.34	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	It is acknowledged that this policy provides for vegetation clearance for a limited number of activities within SNAs, however activities that have a functional need to be located within SNAs are already provided for in other chapters of the Plan (e.g. Infrastructure) and points 4 and 5 are a repeat of the reasons set out in point 2. There is no definition for 'unwanted organisms' and therefore this should be removed from the policy, or a definition provided of what this would include for the Timaru District to provide clarity to the user of the plan.	Amend ECO-P2 as follows: ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas <i>Provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation in Significant Natural Areas where it is appropriate for health and wellbeing or customary reasons by enabling clearance:</i> <i>for mahika kai and other customary uses, where this is undertaken in accordance with tikaka protocols; or</i> <i>2. where it is causing imminent danger to human life, structures, or utilities; or</i> <i>3. where necessary to manage plant or animal pests or unwanted organisms; or</i> <i>for flood protection works by appropriate authorities where those works are required to protect people and communities from the effects of flooding; or</i> <i>5. for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid and public roads.</i> OR with words to similar effect.	Reject

Silver Fern Farms	172.51	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports providing exemptions for certain vegetation removal in SNAs.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.54	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports providing exemptions for certain vegetation removal in SNAs.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Connexa Limited	176.70	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports the PDP recognises that indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs when it is causing danger to infrastructure is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.72	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports the practical approach to protecting SNAs, however this Policy could be interpreted as being inconsistent with ECO-P5 which is to avoid clearance of indigenous vegetation in SNAs.	Review ECO-P2 and ECO-P5 to ensure consistency of Policy and avoid confusion.	Accept in part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.54	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	There are SNA's that extend into the rail corridor. The Submitter seeks amendment to broaden clause 5 of this policy so that it applies to all regionally significant infrastructure that serves the district, not only the national grid and public roads. Vegetation clearance is often required to ensure the rail network can operate safely and efficiently and can be maintained over time.	Amend ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas as follows: <i>Provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation in Significant Natural Areas where it is appropriate for health and wellbeing or customary reasons, by enabling clearance:</i> [...] <i>4. for flood protection works by appropriate authorities where those works are required to protect people and communities from the effects of flooding; or</i> <i>5. for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid, <u>rail network</u> and public roads.</i>	Accept in part
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.70	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports the PDP recognises that indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs when it is causing danger to infrastructure is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.70	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports the PDP recognises that indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs when it is causing danger to infrastructure is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.70	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports the PDP recognises that indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs when it is causing danger to infrastructure is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Helicopters South Canterbury 2015 Ltd	53.13	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas	Support the protection of indigenous biodiversity but the policy should provide for weed and pest control to maintain biodiversity values.	Amend ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas , as follows: <i>Protect indigenous biodiversity by <u>providing for weed and pest control to maintain and enhance biodiversity and managing the clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following sensitive areas:</u></i>	Reject

						<p>1. riparian areas, wetlands and springs; and</p> <p>2. coastal areas; and</p> <p>3. areas at higher altitude; and</p> <p>4. areas on steep slopes.</p>	
Hermann Frank	90.5	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas	Seeks an amendment.	<p>Amend ECO-P3 as follows:</p> <p>ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity</p> <p>Protect and enhance indigenous biodiversity by managing the clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following sensitive areas:</p> <p>1. riparian areas, wetlands and springs; and</p> <p>2. coastal areas; and</p> <p>3. areas at higher altitude; and</p> <p>4. areas on steep slopes.</p>	Reject
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	132.16	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas	Supports the protection of indigenous biodiversity but policy ECO-P3 should provide for weed and pest control to maintain biodiversity values.	<p>Amend ECO-P3 as follows:</p> <p>ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas</p> <p>Protect indigenous biodiversity by providing for weed and pest control to maintain and enhance biodiversity and, by managing the clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following sensitive areas:</p> <p>1. riparian areas, wetlands and springs; and</p> <p>2. coastal areas; and</p> <p>3. areas at higher altitude; and</p> <p>4. areas on steep slopes.</p>	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.103	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas	Considers sensitive areas should also include areas that are predominantly covered in indigenous vegetation but no identified as SNA as nation-wide there isn't sufficient protection over such vegetation. Also refer to areas where threatened indigenous species are found. Inadvertent or intentional cultivation on some farms has resulted in the loss of threatened species. These sorts of activities should in the very least require resource consent.	<p>Amend ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas as follows:</p> <p>Protect indigenous biodiversity by managing the clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following sensitive areas:</p> <p>1. riparian areas, wetlands and springs; and</p> <p>2. coastal areas; and</p> <p>3. areas at higher altitude; and 4. areas on steep slopes; and</p> <p>5. Areas dominated by native vegetation; and</p> <p>6. Areas with a community of threatened indigenous vegetation species.</p>	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.35	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas	<p>The submitter considers it necessary to expand on ECO-P3 to highlight the provisions in the plan that maintain and enhance areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna that do not meet the significance criteria in SCHED 7.</p> <p>The preferred matters to be addressed in such a policy could be such as included in the Proposed Waimakariri District Plan.</p> <p>[see original submission for full reasons and the example from the Waimakariri District Plan]</p>	<p>Delete ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity; AND</p> <p>Replace with a new policy which addresses the maintenance and enhancement of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna that do not meet the significance criteria.</p> <p>Matters that should be set out in the policy are:</p> <p>- the ongoing assessment of the current state of indigenous biodiversity within the District;</p> <p>not only limiting vegetation clearance within sensitive areas but also within areas of indigenous vegetation that contain threatened, at risk or reach their national or regional distribution limits in the District or are naturally uncommon ecosystems; and</p>	Accept in part

						providing support and enabling the use of non-regulatory mechanisms to maintain and enhance indigenous biodiversity.	
Silver Fern Farms	172.52	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas	Supports the policy to contemplate the management of indigenous vegetation clearance rather than applying a mandatory requirement to avoid clearance.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.55	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P3 Protection of indigenous biodiversity in sensitive areas	Supports the policy as it contemplates the management of indigenous vegetation clearance rather than applying a mandatory requirement to avoid clearance.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.6	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P4 Protection for long-tailed bats	ECO-P4 is supported as it is.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.104	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P4 Protection for long-tailed bats	Supports protection for Long Tailed Bats although the high mobility of bats means the Bat protection area should not be limited to the current Bat Protection Area overlay in the planning maps. The policy should not be limited to Long Tailed Bats. The policy should extend to important habitat of other native fauna, including lizards, invertebrates, and birds.	1. Amend ECO-P4 to include the ability to increase the bat protection overlay as more information is understood about Long Tailed Bats and their extent in the district. 2. Add a new policy that provides protection for all other native fauna species.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.36	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P4 Protection for long-tailed bats	The submitter supports the protection provided in the plan for long-tailed bats including ECO-Policy 4. Long-tailed bats have the highest threat ranking of Nationally Critical (the same ranking as the Kākāpō and Takahē). South Canterbury supports the only known long-tailed bat population on the east coast of the South Island. The proposed overlay identifies areas of important bat habitat and provides for a level of protection from disturbance of habitat by limiting tree removal. [see original submission for full reasons]	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.74	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P4 Protection for long-tailed bats	Supports ECO-P4 as it is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.3 and CRPS Policy 9.3.1 Protecting significant natural areas.	Retain ECO-P4 as notified or preserve the original intent.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.7	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Considers the wording 'Avoid' is too weak.	Amend ECO-P5 as follows: ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas Avoid Prohibit the clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks within SNAs, unless these activities: 1. can be undertaken in a way that protects the identified ecological values; and 2. are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure.	Reject

Port Blakely Limited	94.6	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Considers that the NES-PF provisions regarding this matter should prevail over the proposed district plan rules as there is no justification in the s.32 report in relation to the more stringent rules. [Refer original submission for full reason]	Amend ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas to: 1. Allow for the appropriate clearance of indigenous vegetation in SNAs for the maintenance of forestry tracks and roads that have been used in the last 50 years, (see reg 93(2)(d) NES-PF). 2. allow for vegetation clearance in an SNA where it is incidental damage and the damage meets the restrictions in regulation 93(5)(c) NES-PF. 3. Insert text into this policy to clarify that the NES-PF regulations will prevail over the proposed district plan regarding indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas.	Reject
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.83	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Supported as it seeks to avoid clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks in Significant Natural Areas (SNAs) unless these activities are undertaken in a way that protects identified ecological values and are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2. This policy should be amended to include a provision for regionally significant infrastructure as the provisions in EI do not apply to transport.	Amend ECO-P5 as follows: ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas <i>Avoid the clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks within SNAs, unless these activities:</i> <i>1. can be undertaken in a way that protects the identified ecological values; and are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure. ; or</i> <i>are for transport related regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed.</i>	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.105	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Considers the RSI definition is wide ranging and will result in the loss of SNAs.	Amend ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas as follows: <i>Avoid the clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks within SNAs, unless these activities:</i> <i>1. can be undertaken in a way that protects the identified ecological values; and</i> <i>2. are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure.</i>	Reject
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.71	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Supports the inclusion of an explicit cross reference to the Policy EI-P2 and considers that providing the direction in respect of the regionally significant infrastructure and significant natural areas in one place avoids duplication and the potential for conflict.	Amend ECO-P5 Protection Significant Natural Areas as follows: <i>Avoid the clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks within SNAs, unless these activities: [...]</i> <i>2. are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure or, for the National Grid, EI-Px Managing adverse effects of the National Grid.</i>	Reject

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.38	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	<p>Considers that the policy needs to align with the draft NPS-IB and set out the specific adverse effects on SNAs that must be avoided (NPS-IB, Clause 3.10) which applies to all SNAs.</p> <p>Also considers that it is necessary to include a policy setting out the need to protect and <u>restore</u> SNAs and other areas of significant indigenous biodiversity in line with the RMA (Part 2, Section 6(c)), CRPS (Policy 9.3.1). The draft NPS-IB (Clause 3.21) also requires Local Authorities to promote the restoration of indigenous biodiversity.</p> <p>The policy should set out the measures in the plan which seek to protect and restore SNAs which also gives effect to the suggested amendments to the objective ECO-01 and ECO-02.</p>	<p>Delete ECO-P5 and replace with the following new policy (or similar):</p> <p><u>Protect and restore SNAs and those other areas that meet the criteria set out in APP5 by:</u></p> <p>1. <u>avoiding adverse effects on SNAs including:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>loss of ecosystem representation and extent;</u> b. <u>disruption to sequences, mosaics, or ecosystems within an SNA;</u> c. <u>fragmentation of SNAs or the loss of buffers or connection to other important habitats or ecosystems;</u> d. <u>a reduction in the function of the SNA as a buffer or connection to other important habitats or ecosystems;</u> e. <u>a reduction in the population size or occupancy of Threatened, At Risk (Declining) species that use an SNA for any part of their life cycle.</u> <p><u>avoiding the clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks within SNAs unless these activities:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>can be undertaken in a way that protects identified ecological values; and</u> <u>are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure in accordance with the effects management hierarchy</u> <p>3. <u>promoting the restoration and enhancement of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats; and</u></p> <p>4. supporting and promoting the use of covenants, reserves, management plans and community initiatives.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Reorder policies within the ECO Chapter to have this proposed policy after ECO-P1.</p>	Accept in part
Road Metals Company Limited	169.19	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	<p>Opposes ECO-P5 as it does not provide for quarrying activities in SNAs consistent with the exposure draft of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity. This recognises that quarrying activities must be undertaken where the aggregate resources exist and provides for these activities in certain circumstances.</p>	<p>Amend ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas as follows:</p> <p><i>Avoid the clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks within SNAs, unless these activities:</i></p> <p>1. <i>can be undertaken in a way that protects the identified ecological values; and</i></p> <p><i>are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure; <u>or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>are for a quarry that provides significant national or regional public benefit that could not otherwise be achieved domestically.</u></i></p>	Reject

Fulton Hogan Limited	170.20	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Opposes ECO-P5 as it does not provide for quarrying activities in SNAs consistent with the exposure draft of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity. This recognises that quarrying activities must be undertaken where the aggregate resources exist and provides for these activities in certain circumstances.	Amend ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas as follows: <i>Avoid the clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks within SNAs, unless these activities:</i> <i>1. can be undertaken in a way that protects the identified ecological values; and are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure; <u>or</u></i> <i><u>are for a quarry that provides significant national or regional public benefit that could not otherwise be achieved domestically.</u></i>	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.53	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Considers the policy should accord with the subsequent rule ECO-R1 which allows limited indigenous vegetation clearance in SNA's for various other reasons aside from infrastructure development.	Amend ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas to ensure it does not foreclose on the range of exemptions for clearance specified in rule ECO-R1.	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.56	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Considers the policy should accord with the subsequent rule ECO-R1 which allows limited indigenous vegetation clearance in SNA's for various other reasons aside from infrastructure development.	Amend ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas to ensure it does not foreclose on the range of exemptions for clearance specified in rule ECO-R1.	Accept in part
Connexa Limited	176.71	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Supports the PDP recognises that indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs when it is causing danger to infrastructure is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.75	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Supports ECO-P5 as it is consistent with CRPS Objective 9.2.3 and CRPS Policy 9.3.1. However, this Policy could be interpreted as being inconsistent with ECO-P2 which provides for appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs.	Review ECO-P2 and ECO-P5 to ensure consistency of Policy and avoid confusion.	Accept in part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.55	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Supports the linkage to EI-P2 within this policy which allows for regionally significant infrastructure where adverse effects are managed.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	208.71	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Supports the PDP recognises that indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs when it is causing danger to infrastructure is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Chorus New Zealand Limited	209.71	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Supports the PDP recognises that indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs when it is causing danger to infrastructure is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Vodafone New Zealand Limited	210.71	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P5 Protection of Significant Natural Areas	Supports the PDP recognises that indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs when it is causing danger to infrastructure is appropriate.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

Hermann Frank	90.8	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P6 Avoidance of risk species	ECO-P6 is supported as it is.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.39	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	ECO-P6 Avoidance of risk species	This is consistent with the CRPS which identifies the spread of plant pests being one of the principal threats to ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity in Canterbury.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Hermann Frank	90.26	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	General	Further applicable Policies similar to the chapter 'Natural Character' in regard to wetlands need to be added.	Further applicable Policies similar to the chapter 'Natural Character' in regard to wetlands need to be added.	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.106	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	New	Considers there is no policy direction for clearance of indigenous vegetation outside of SNAs, sensitive areas, or the Bat Protection Area. It is important to maintain Indigenous vegetation / biodiversity across the district to meet objective ECO-O2. Considers this should also be accompanied with maps of improved pasture in line with the relief sought in regard to the definition of improved pasture.	Add a new policy to the ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter , as follows: <u>ECO-PX</u> <u>Outside of SNAs and sensitive areas provide for low impact activities that may have less than minor adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values, where these are of wider environmental or community benefit, or enable existing activities.</u> <u>Identify Areas of improved pasture and map these areas.</u>	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.107	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	New	Supports ECO-P4 in its addressing the protection of Bat Protection Areas, however consider another policy is required to address other fauna that requires protection.	Add a new policy to the ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter , as follows: <u>ECO-PX</u> <u>Protect threatened and at-risk species and their habitats by avoiding significant adverse effects and managing other adverse effects of activities on those species and their habitats.</u>	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.104	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Policies	New	Supports the priority to Significant Natural Areas in Rules ECO- P1, ECO-P2, and ECO-P5. Also supportive of many of the suggested non-regulatory tools but here are concerns that the policies do not provide for existing activities to continue. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	1. Add a new Policy to the ECO-Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter as follows: <u>ECO-PX</u> <u>Provide recognition for grazing and farming existing activities that have not increased in their scale or intensity of effects from commencement date of the plan.</u> AND 2. Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Alpine Energy Limited	55.5	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	It is unclear from the submission but it appears this point relates to ECO-R1. Submitter illustrates that 69 poles and 44 overhead conductors, and associated vehicle access tracks are located within the SNA's across Timaru (maps provided in original submission). Considers this rule ECO-R1.1 and rule ECO-R3, which permits clearance of indigenous vegetation by a road	Amend ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities) to allow a new permitted activity as follows: 1 Significant Natural Areas Overlay Activity Status: Permitted [...]	Accept in part

					<p>requiring authority and Transpower New Zealand, both result in the same environmental effects. Accordingly, the submitter, as an infrastructure provider, should be benefit from the same provisions to allow them the submitter to operate, maintain and repair the electricity distribution network for the health and wellbeing of the community.</p> <p>[Refer original submission for full reason].</p>	<p>PER-5</p> <p><i>The clearance is unavoidable in the course of removing pest plants and pest animals in accordance with any regional pest management plan or the Biosecurity Act 1993, or where this occurs as part of indigenous biodiversity restoration or enhancement; <u>or</u></i></p> <p>PER-X</p> <p><i><u>The vegetation clearance is to provide for the operation, maintenance or upgrading of the electricity distribution network, including maintenance of existing vehicle access tracks to electricity distribution support structures, and is carried out in accordance with advice from a suitably qualified arborist.</u></i></p>	
Hermann Frank	90.9	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	Requests an amendment.	<p>1. Amend ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities) as follows:</p> <p>1. Significant Natural Areas Overlay Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where PER-1</p> <p><i>The vegetation to be cleared is causing an imminent danger to human life, structures, or utilities and the clearance is undertaken in accordance with advice from a suitably qualified arborist <u>or ecologist</u>; or</i></p> <p>[...]</p> <p>2 Within 50m of any wetland</p> <p>In the Coastal Environment, within 20m of mean high water springs [...]</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted Where</p> <p>PER-1</p> <p><i>The vegetation to be cleared is causing an imminent danger to human life, structures, or utilities and the clearance is undertaken in accordance with advice from a suitably qualified arborist <u>or qualified ecologist</u>; or</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Amend ECO-R1.PER-3 to provide clearer conditions.</p>	Reject
Port Blakely Limited	94.7	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	Considers that the NES-PF provisions regarding this matter should prevail over the proposed district plan rules as there is no justification in the s.32 report in relation to the more stringent rules.	<p>Amend ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works for ECO-R3 for National Grid activities) as follows:</p> <p>1 Significant Natural Area Overlay Activity status: Permitted Where</p> <p>[...] PER-6</p> <p><i><u>This rule does not apply to plantation forestry activities and instead indigenous vegetation clearance within a SNA associated with plantation forestry activity is regulated under the NES-PF pursuant to Regulations 93(2)(d), (4) and (5)(c), and Regulation 94.</u></i></p> <p>2 Within 50m of any wetland, In the Coastal Environment, within 20m of mean high water springs, Within 20m of the bank of any waterbody, Within 20m of any waipuna (spring), At an altitude of 900m or higher, and Land with an average slope of 30o or greater</p>	Accept in part

						<p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>[...] PER-4</p> <p>The clearance is of indigenous vegetation that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. has been planted and managed specifically for the purpose of harvesting, or b.—has grown up under an area of lawfully established plantation forestry, or c. has been planted and/or managed as part of a domestic or public garden or has been planted for amenity purposes as a shelterbelt, or d. is within an area of improved pasture; or <p>PER-5</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>PER-6</p> <p><u>This rule does not apply to plantation forestry activities and instead indigenous vegetation clearance associated with plantation forestry activity is regulated under the NES-PF pursuant to Regulation 94 and Regulation 94.</u></p>	
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	132.17	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	<p>Supports ECO-R1(1) that allows for the clearance of indigenous vegetation for biosecurity purposes and the removal of pest plants and animals. It is noted that clearance of native vegetation is a permitted activity below 900 m and slope is less than 30 degrees.</p> <p>Supports ECO-R1(2) that allows for the clearance of indigenous vegetation for biosecurity purposes, the removal of pest plants and animals, grown up under plantation forestry or within an area of improved pasture.</p>	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	143.84	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	Seeks an amendment or new rule to include a standard which permits the clearance of indigenous vegetation within Significant Natural Areas Overlay where it is associated with the operation, maintenance and repairs of regionally significant transport infrastructure.	Amend ECO-R1 or insert new rule to provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation within SNAs for works associated with the operation, maintenance and repairs of regionally significant transport infrastructure.	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.109	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	<p>Supports ECO-R1.1.</p> <p>In relation to ECO-R1.2, if an area is assessed as Significant should be treated under ECO-R1. This relates to the related relief sought to the definition of SNAs.</p>	<p>1. Retain ECO-R1.1</p> <p>AND</p> <p>2. Amend ECO-R1.2 so non-compliance is discretionary.</p>	Accept in part

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.40	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	<p>Considers ECO-R1-1 provides no certainty that values within an SNA will be protected. The submitter understands small scale clearance may be required however has concerns regarding the absence of any thresholds. In line with the draft NPS-IB, the permitted activities within an SNA should occur within a much tighter threshold than outside of an SNA.</p> <p>Considers ECO-R1.1 PER-4: should define what 'unwanted organisms' could include for the Timaru District</p> <p>Considers ECO-R1-1 PER-5: should be more specific in terms of what pest plants and pest animals' removal would be permitted.</p> <p>Supports the non-complying activity status where compliance is not achieved under ECO-R1-1.</p>	<p>Amend ECO-R1.1 with the following changes:</p> <p>ECO-R1.1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation within Significant Natural Areas Overlay</p> <p>1. Include within the 'permitted activity' conditions to cover the following matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> appropriate area thresholds applying to all permitted works in SNAs. exclusions applying to a threatened species list; and excluding clearance within sensitive ecosystems (these could be listed within a schedule or determined by using a suitably qualified ecologist) <p>AND</p> <p>Clarify the term 'unwanted organisms' as used in PER-4; AND</p> <p>Within PER-5 make the rule specific to what is an allowable vegetation clearance within an SNA relating to the removal of pests;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>4. Retain the non-complying activity status where compliance is not achieved;</p>	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.41	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	<p>The Submitter supports the inclusion of rules that apply to indigenous vegetation clearance in areas next to waterbodies, in the coastal environment, on steep slopes, or at an altitude of 900m or higher. However, it is considered that the rule has missed the opportunity to provide protection for the indigenous vegetation remaining on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> threatened land environments. naturally rare ecosystems; and threatened ecosystems. <p>For example, these could be found within uncultivated dryland soils, tussock grasslands, shrublands, short and tall forest remnants, herbfields and any coastal or dune environments.</p> <p>ECO-R1-2 PER-5: This could be more specific in terms of what pest plants and pest animals' removal would be permitted.</p> <p>ECO-R1-2 Activity status where compliance not achieved: The Submitter supports the restricted discretionary status for activities that do not comply with these rules and matters of discretion however, application of the effects management hierarchy should be included in line with the draft NPS-IB (Clause 3.16). The principles for biodiversity offsetting and compensation provided within Appendix 3 and 4 of the draft NPS-IB could be referenced here to direct the user to these.</p> <p>It should also be clarified that if an area outside an already-mapped SNA is assessed as significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitat of indigenous fauna in accordance with the relevant SNA assessment criteria the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity should be managed as if the area were an SNA.</p>	<p>Amend ECO-R1.2 with the following changes:</p> <p>ECO-R1.2 Clearance of indigenous vegetation within 50m of any wetland, in the coastal environment[...]</p> <p>Include new rules to be consistent with the amended ECO-P3 (amendments above) to maintain and enhance indigenous biodiversity inside any ecosystems or land environments considered to be rare or threatened.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>List the threatened species and ecosystems for Timaru District in an attached Appendix. AND</p> <p>Amend to provide some exclusions for permitted vegetation clearance rules applying to a threatened species and ecosystem list; and excluding clearance within sensitive ecosystems (these could be listed within a schedule or determined by using a suitably qualified ecologist).</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend PER-5 to make the rule more specific to what is an allowable vegetation clearance within an SNA relating to the removal of pests.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>5. Amend the matters of discretion as follows:</p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> whether the indigenous vegetation is significant (when assessed against the APP5 - Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas) and the ability to retain any significant vegetation then <u>the adverse effects on the indigenous biodiversity in the area shall be assessed as if the area is an SNA; and</u> <u>the extent to which any adverse effect can be avoided, remedied or mitigated by applying the effects management hierarchy</u> 	Accept in part

						<p>2. [...].</p> <p>[...].and</p> <p>8. any potential for mitigation <i>or biodiversity offsetting</i> or compensation of <i>more than minor residual</i> adverse effects on biodiversity values <i>in accordance with the principles set out in Appendix 3 & 4 of the NPS-IB</i>; and</p> <p>9. [...].</p>	
Road Metals Company Limited	169.20	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	Requests amendments to provide for key activities relating to maintaining public safety and existing infrastructure. For clarity, we suggest making it clear that agents of the Road Requiring Authority are also able to work under this rule.	<p>Amend ECO-R1 as follows:</p> <p>ECO-R1 - Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted Where</p> <p>PER-1</p> <p>The vegetation to be cleared is causing an imminent danger to human life, <i>or is affecting the safe operation of</i> structures, or utilities, and the clearance is undertaken in accordance with advice from a suitably qualified arborist; or</p> <p>PER-2</p> <p>The clearance is carried out by the relevant Road Requiring Authority <i>or their agent</i>:</p> <p>to install, <i>repair, maintain or upgrade</i> road safety assets for the purpose of reducing traffic risk within the road corridor, and the clearance is less than 5m² within a single SNA; or</p> <p>2. to maintain existing roadside drainage; or</p> <p>[...]</p>	Accept in part
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.21	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	Requests amendments to provide for key activities relating to maintaining public safety and existing infrastructure.	<p>Amend ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities) as follows:</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where</p> <p>PER-1</p> <p>The vegetation to be cleared is causing an imminent danger to human life, <i>or is affecting the safe operation of</i> structures, or utilities, and the clearance is undertaken in accordance with advice from a suitably qualified arborist; or</p> <p>PER-2</p> <p>The clearance is carried out by the relevant Road Requiring Authority <i>or their agent</i>:</p> <p>to install, <i>repair, maintain or upgrade</i> road safety assets for the purpose of reducing traffic risk within the road corridor, and the clearance is less than 5m² within a single SNA; or</p> <p>to maintain existing roadside drainage; or [...]</p>	Accept in part

Silver Fern Farms	172.54	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	Supports the permitted activity status consenting framework, for indigenous vegetation clearance. An assessment matter should enable applicants and decision-makers to differentiate between remnant indigenous vegetation and vegetation that was planted as landscaping.	Amend ECO-R1 as follows: ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation [...] Activity status where compliance not achieved: Restricted discretionary Matters of discretion are restricted to: [...] <u>12. Whether the indigenous vegetation is landscaping for amenity or aesthetic purposes.</u>	Reject
Alliance Group Limited	173.57	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	Considers it is appropriate that the provision be made for unavoidable clearance of indigenous vegetation in the course of removing pest plants and animals as a permitted activity.	Retain ECO-R1 PER-5 as notified.	Accept
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.32	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	The submitter supports the rule, but considers another provision should be added to provide for the clearance for indigenous vegetation within the SNA overlay where the clearance is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.	Amend ECO- R1 as follows: ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO- R3 for National Grid activities) 1. Significant Natural Areas Overlay Activity status: Permitted Where [...] PER-X <u>Or the clearance is supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.</u>	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.105	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	Opposes the permitted clearance within 50m of any wetland. NESFW 2020 only requires 10m. Supports PER-2 and PER-4D, which does provide farmers the opportunity for clearance for improved pasture. Asks the council to be wary about other submissions to have mapped improved pasture as what has occurred in the Mackenzie District. It is expensive and unnecessary for councils.	1. Amend ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation by removing the 50m setback from any wetland from ECO-R1.2 so this rule does not apply to activities within 50m of any wetland; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.76	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	Opposes that the proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay. While the work done by TDC to identify and map SNAs in the District is applauded, there are SNAs that meet the criteria of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not protected. One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be	Amend the applicability of ECO-R1 so that it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of the criteria in Appendix 5. This could be done by using the same reference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a Significant Natural Area. AND Adopt the approach suggested in outgeneral submission on natural hazard mitigation works to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new rule that provides for all earthworks and vegetation clearance associated with existing public flood and erosion protection works operation, maintenance, repair, replacement and upgrading.	Reject (Note second aspect of request to be considered as part of Natural Hazards topic)

					<p>noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.</p> <p>While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of SNAs.</p> <p>Adopting the approach suggested in the general submission on the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure greater clarity and certainty for plan users.</p>	<p>AND</p> <p>Make consequential changes to this Rule to ensure consistency.</p>	
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.56	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	<p>Supports ECO-R1.1 applying to within and with amendment to ensure vegetation clearance for the safe and efficient operation of the rail corridor is provided for as a permitted activity.</p> <p>The submitter supports ECO-R1.2.PER2 in its permitted activity status of vegetation clearance within 2m, and for the purpose, of maintenance, repair or replacement of existing lawfully established utilities, including the rail corridor.</p>	<p>Amend ECO-R1 as follows:</p> <p><i>ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)</i></p> <p><i>1 Significant Natural Areas Overlay Activity status: Permitted</i></p> <p><i>Where [...] PER-5</i></p> <p><i>The clearance is unavoidable in the course of removing pest plants and pest animals in accordance with any regional pest management plan or the Biosecurity Act 1993, or where this occurs as part of indigenous biodiversity restoration or enhancement.</i></p> <p><i>PER-6</i></p> <p><i>The vegetation clearance is carried out to maintain the safe and efficient operation of the rail network.</i></p> <p><i>2.</i></p> <p><i>Within 50m of any wetland In the Coastal Environment [...]</i></p>	Accept in part
GJH Rooney	191.32	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	<p>The submitter supports the rule, but considers another provision should be added to provide for the clearance for indigenous vegetation within the SNA overlay where the clearance is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.</p>	<p>Amend ECO- R1 as follows:</p> <p><i>ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO- R3 for National Grid activities)</i></p> <p><i>1. Significant Natural Areas Overlay Activity status: Permitted</i></p> <p><i>Where [...] PER-X</i></p> <p><i>Or the clearance is supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.</i></p>	Accept in part
Horticulture New Zealand	245.56	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-	<p>Supports the ability to undertake vegetation clearance to remove material infected by unwanted organisms.</p> <p>[refer to original submission for full reasons]</p>	<p>Retain as notified.</p>	Accept in part

				R3 for National Grid activities)			
Rooney Group Limited	249.32	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	The submitter supports the rule, but considers another provision should be added to provide for the clearance for indigenous vegetation within the SNA overlay where the clearance is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.	Amend ECO- R1 as follows: <i>ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO- R3 for National Grid activities)</i> 1. Significant Natural Areas Overlay Activity status: Permitted Where [...] <u>PER-X</u> <u>Or the clearance is supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.</u>	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.32	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	The submitter supports the rule, but considers another provision should be added to provide for the clearance for indigenous vegetation within the SNA overlay where the clearance is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.	Amend ECO- R1 as follows: <i>ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO- R3 for National Grid activities)</i> 1. Significant Natural Areas Overlay Activity status: Permitted Where [...] <u>PER-X</u> <u>Or the clearance is supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.</u>	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.32	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	The submitter supports the rule, but considers another provision should be added to provide for the clearance for indigenous vegetation within the SNA overlay where the clearance is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.	Amend ECO- R1 as follows: <i>ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO- R3 for National Grid activities)</i> 1. Significant Natural Areas Overlay Activity status: Permitted Where [...] <u>PER-X</u> <u>Or the clearance is supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.</u>	Accept in part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.32	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO-R3 for National Grid activities)	The submitter supports the rule, but considers another provision should be added to provide for the clearance for indigenous vegetation within the SNA overlay where the clearance is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.	Amend ECO- R1 as follows: <i>ECO-R1 Clearance of indigenous vegetation (except as provided for in ECO-R2 for flood protection works or ECO- R3 for National Grid activities)</i> 1. Significant Natural Areas Overlay Activity status: Permitted Where [...] <u>PER-X</u> <u>Or the clearance is supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.</u>	Accept in part

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.110	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R2 Clearance of indigenous vegetation for natural hazard mitigation works	Neutral position on this.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.42	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R2 Clearance of indigenous vegetation for natural hazard mitigation works	The submitter considers that this rule can be removed as it is already covered under Rule ECO-R1-2.	Delete Rule ECO-R2 .	Reject
Alliance Group Limited	173.58	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R2 Clearance of indigenous vegetation for natural hazard mitigation works	Considers it is unclear why clearance of indigenous vegetation for natural hazard mitigation work is permitted when undertaken by either of the Regional Council or Timaru District Council, but the most restrictive consenting pathway possible applies when undertaken by others as indicated by PER-2.	Amend ECO-R2 Clearance of indigenous vegetation for natural hazard mitigation works as follows: [...] Activity status when compliance is not achieved with PER-1 and PER-2: Controlled Activity status when compliance is not achieved with PER-2: Non-complying	Accept in part
Opuha Water Limited	181.62	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R2 Clearance of indigenous vegetation for natural hazard mitigation works	The submitter considers that (similar to its earlier submission on the Natural Hazards Chapter), clearance of indigenous vegetation for works by network utility operators of RSI should be enabled by way of a permitted activity under ECO-R2, if they are undertaken by a network utility operator of RSI in accordance with a rule in the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, resource consent or other approval (e.g., under the Flood Protection and Drainage Bylaw 2013) from the Canterbury Regional Council.	Amend ECO-R2 Clearance of indigenous vegetation for the natural hazard mitigation works as follows: [...] PER-3 <u>The activity is undertaken by or on behalf of a network utility operator of regionally significant infrastructure in accordance with a rule in the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan or a resource consent and/or approval granted by the ... Council.</u>	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.106	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R2 Clearance of indigenous vegetation for natural hazard mitigation works	Supports this rule.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.77	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R2 Clearance of indigenous vegetation for natural hazard mitigation works	Opposes that the proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay. While the work done by TDC to identify and map SNAs in the District is applauded, there are SNAs that meet the criteria of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not protected. One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.	1. Amend the applicability of ECO-R2 so that it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of the criteria in Appendix 5. This could be done by using the same reference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a Significant Natural Area. AND 2. Adopt the approach suggested in our general submission on natural hazard mitigation works to either amend NH-R3 or to create a new rule that provides for all earthworks and vegetation clearance associated with existing public flood and erosion protection works operation, maintenance, repair, replacement and upgrading. AND 3. Make consequential changes to this Rule to ensure consistency.	Reject (Note second aspect of request to be considered as part of Natural Hazards topic)

					<p>While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of SNAs.</p> <p>Adopting the approach suggested in submission on the rule for natural hazard mitigation works would ensure greater clarity and certainty for Plan users.</p> <p>[See original submission for full reasons]</p>		
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.111	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation associated with the National Grid	<p>Considers there should be some constraints on the proximity of the clearance to the National infrastructure.</p>	<p>Amend ECO-R3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation associated with the National Grid as follows:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>PER-1</p> <p><i>The vegetation clearance is within 2 metres and is to provide for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid, including maintenance of existing access to National Grid support structures; and.....</i></p> <p>[...]</p>	Reject
Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.72	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation associated with the National Grid	<p>Supports that the rule enables indigenous vegetation clearance for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid. However, considers that the policy should provide for the upgrade of the National Grid, consistent with the NESETA and to give effect to the NPSET.</p>	<p>Amend ECO-R3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation associated with the National Grid as follows:</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>PER-1</p> <p><i>The vegetation clearance is to provide for the operation, maintenance, or repair or upgrade of the National Grid, including maintenance of existing access to National Grid support structures; and</i></p> <p>[...]</p>	Reject
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.43	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation associated with the National Grid	<p>Considers that it should be clarified that this rule only applies to the maintenance or repair of the existing National Grid and not for an extension.</p> <p>The matters of discretion should include the application of the effects management hierarchy when assessing the effects in line with the draft NPS guidance</p>	<p>Amend the rule ECO-R3 as follows:</p> <p>ECO-R3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation associated with the National Grid</p> <p>Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where PER-1</p> <p><i>The vegetation clearance is to provide for the operation, maintenance or repair of the National Grid (but not extension), including maintenance of existing access to National Grid support structures; and[...]</i></p> <p>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</p>	Reject

						1. any adverse effects on indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna and proposed mitigation measures and the extent to which any adverse effect can be avoided, remedied or mitigated by applying the effects management hierarchy.	
Federated Farmers	182.107	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation associated with the National Grid	Supports this rule.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.78	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation associated with the National Grid	<p>Opposes that the proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay. While the work done by TDC to identify and map SNAs in the District is applauded, there are SNAs that meet the criteria of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not protected.</p> <p>One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.</p> <p>While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of SNAs.</p> <p>[See original submission for full reasons]</p>	<p>Amend the applicability of ECO-R3 so that it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of the criteria in Appendix 5.</p> <p>This could be done by using the same reference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a Significant Natural Area</p>	Reject
Maze Pastures Limited	41.4	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Supports that there is no restriction on rural land use or rural industry in the Bat Protection area overlay.	Retain as notified with no restriction on rural land use or rural industry in the Bat Protection area overlay.	Accept
Port Blakely Limited	94.8	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Considers the criteria does not align with expert advice and known long-tailed bat behaviours and bat habitat. Also the requirement for a 'Specialist assessment by a suitably qualified ecologist which may only be carried out during October to April when bats are not hibernating' is extremely restrictive and we believe will have an adverse effect on public engagement. Concerned this rule doesn't align with what was agreed between DoC and the protection group.	<p>Amend ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bats Area with the following changes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. amend the matters of discretion to include the possibility to use an Automatic Bat Monitor instead of an ecological assessment. 2. amend the matters of discretion to include the possibility of a person deemed competent by the Department of Conservation to carry out an assessment. 	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird	156.112	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Not specified.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

Protection Society							
Zolve Environmental	164.2	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Considers that the current requirements for an ecological assessment do not align with the current Department of Conservation Protocols and processes.	Amend ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Protection Area as follows: [...] Activity status where compliance not achieved: Restricted discretionary Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. <i>whether, upon specialist assessment by a suitably qualified ecologist person as deemed competent by the Department of Conservation', the tree/s proposed to be removed is habitat for long-tailed bats; and</i> 2. [...]	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.44	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	The submitter supports ECO-R4, ECO R4 PER-1, PER-2 and Matters of Discretion the rules relating to the clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area.	None specified in relation to ECO-R4. (Note related submission on the Planning Maps (in relation to amending the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area Overlay to align with the ECAN bat habitat map).	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.33	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Oppose ECO-R4. The rules means that minor clearance of some trees would not be a permitted activity. Considers landowners should be encouraged to work with the Department of Conservation to protect existing bat habitat without the need for the additional regulatory requirement of needing a resource consent. [Refer original submission for full reason	Amend ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area to provide for any vegetation clearance to be a permitted activity where consultation with the Department of Conservation has been undertaken in advance of the clearance.	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.108	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Supports this rule.	1. Retain as notified; OR Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.79	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Supports the need to have a suitably qualified ecologist make this assessment but consider that this should be possible through a permitted activity rule that requires a written statement to confirm the ecologist's findings. Additionally, sometimes a tree(s) within the Bat Protection Overlay may impact on the effective operation of a public flood or erosion protection scheme. If the tree(s) are not roosting habitat for bats, it should be possible to remove them in these circumstances.	1. Consider amending ECO-R4 as follows: Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 <i>The trees being cleared:</i> 1. <i>were planted for timber production (plantation forest and woodlots); or</i> 2. <i>are within a domestic garden; or</i> 3. <i>are causing an imminent danger to human life, structures, or utilities and the clearance is undertaken in accordance with advice from a suitably qualified; or</i> 4. <i>are impacting the effective operation of a public flood or erosion protection scheme administered by the Regional Council or Timaru District Council, AND agreement has been provided by a suitably qualified ecologist that the tree(s) are not currently utilised by roosting bats;</i> OR	Reject

						2. alternative words to this effect.	
GJH Rooney	191.33	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Oppose ECO-R4. The rules means that minor clearance of some trees would not be a permitted activity. Considers landowners should be encouraged to work with the Department of Conservation to protect existing bat habitat without the need for the additional regulatory requirement of needing a resource consent. [Refer original submission for full reason	Amend ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area to provide for any vegetation clearance to be a permitted activity where consultation with the Department of Consultation has been undertaken in advance of the clearance.	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.33	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Oppose ECO-R4. The rules means that minor clearance of some trees would not be a permitted activity. Considers landowners should be encouraged to work with the Department of Conservation to protect existing bat habitat without the need for the additional regulatory requirement of needing a resource consent. [Refer original submission for full reason	Amend ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area to provide for any vegetation clearance to be a permitted activity where consultation with the Department of Consultation has been undertaken in advance of the clearance.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.33	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Oppose ECO-R4. The rules means that minor clearance of some trees would not be a permitted activity. Considers landowners should be encouraged to work with the Department of Conservation to protect existing bat habitat without the need for the additional regulatory requirement of needing a resource consent. [Refer original submission for full reason	Amend ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area to provide for any vegetation clearance to be a permitted activity where consultation with the Department of Consultation has been undertaken in advance of the clearance.	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.33	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Oppose ECO-R4. The rules means that minor clearance of some trees would not be a permitted activity. Considers landowners should be encouraged to work with the Department of Conservation to protect existing bat habitat without the need for the additional regulatory requirement of needing a resource consent. [Refer original submission for full reason	Amend ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area to provide for any vegetation clearance to be a permitted activity where consultation with the Department of Consultation has been undertaken in advance of the clearance.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.33	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area	Oppose ECO-R4. The rules means that minor clearance of some trees would not be a permitted activity. Considers landowners should be encouraged to work with the Department of Conservation to protect existing bat habitat without the need for the additional regulatory requirement of needing a resource consent. [Refer original submission for full reason	Amend ECO-R4 Clearance of trees in the Long-Tailed Bat Protection Area to provide for any vegetation clearance to be a permitted activity where consultation with the Department of Consultation has been undertaken in advance of the clearance.	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.113	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	Supports the restricted discretionary rule however, where compliance is not achieved it seems to go to just another restricted discretionary activity. This seems to be a mistake and the activities relating to the national grid and flood protection works may need to be another rule. The default for all sets of rules in ECO-R5 should remain non-complying.	1. Clarify whether there should be a reference to two different sets of restricted discretionary rules in the two columns or whether there should be two sets of rules; AND 2. Retain matters of discretion for all rules; AND 3. Retain non-compliance with ECO-5 as non-complying.	Accept in part

Transpower New Zealand Limited	159.73	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	Supports the consenting pathway for earthworks for the operation, maintenance, repair, upgrade and development of the National Grid. Considers that a permitted activity status is provided in situations where land is likely to have been disturbed and cleared in the past, to provide upgrading of the National Grid.	Amend ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area as follows: Activity status: Restricted discretionary Permitted Where: RDIS-PER-1 The earthworks are within 2m, and for the purpose, of the maintenance, repair, or replacement or upgrade of existing lawfully established vehicle tracks, roads, walkways, firebreaks, drains, ponds, dams, waterlines, waterway crossings, or utilities. [...]	Accept in part
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.45	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	The submitter supports the rules relating to earthworks in a SNA and restricted discretionary status. However, it is recommended that it is clarified that the rule does not apply to any new extension of the existing structures listed and the matters of discretion are extended to be more consistent with the draft NPS-IB and CRPS. The Activity status for where compliance is not achieved should be amended to Discretionary status.	Amend ECO-R5 as follows: ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: RDIS-1 <i>The earthworks are within 2m, and for the purpose, of the maintenance, repair or replacement (but not extension) of existing lawfully established vehicle tracks, roads, walkways, firebreaks, drains, ponds, dams, waterlines, waterway crossings, or utilities.</i> Matters of discretion are restricted to: <ol style="list-style-type: none">any adverse effects on indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna <u>and the extent to which any adverse effect can be avoided, remedied or mitigated by applying the effects management hierarchy</u> andthe necessity for the earthworks and any alternate options that have been consideredthe mitigation measures proposed to ensure that the values of the SNA are maintained; andany adverse effects on the mauri of the site, mahika kai, wāhi tāpu or wāhi taoka; andopportunities for enhancement <u>and restoration</u>, of indigenous vegetation or habitats of indigenous species; and[...]. [...]. Activity status where compliance not achieved: Restricted Discretionary DIS-1 [...]	Accept in part
Silver Fern Farms	172.55	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	Considers that the permitted activity status for indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs where the clearance is for SNA rehabilitation (rule ECO-R1 PER-5) contradicts the non-complying resource consent to be obtained for earthworks undertaken in the course of ecological restoration. Vegetation clearance would be permitted, but the earthworks would face the most onerous consenting pathway available.	Amend ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Areas to provide a permitted activity rule for earthworks in an SNA where these are required as part of SNA restoration.	Accept in part

Alliance Group Limited	173.59	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	<p>This rule will require a non-complying resource consent to be obtained for earthworks undertaken in the course of ecological restoration.</p> <p>This stance contradicts the permitted activity status provided for indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs where the clearance is for SNA rehabilitation (rule ECO-R1 PER-5).</p> <p>The vegetation clearance would be permitted, but the earthworks would require the most onerous consenting pathway available, therefore an amendment is sought.</p>	Amend ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area to provide a permitted activity rule for earthworks in an SNA where these are required as part of SNA restoration.	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.34	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	<p>Opposes ECO-R5. Considers a permitted activity rule should be inserted at ECO-R5 to provide for earthworks within the SNA overlay where the earthworks is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. This would support the submitter's submission in relation to ECO- R1(1) regarding indigenous vegetation clearance.</p>	<p>Amend ECO-R5 Earthworks to create a permitted activity rule for earthworks within a Significant Natural Area where the earthworks are supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation.</p> <p>Any consequential amendments as a result of the change.</p>	Accept in part
Federated Farmers	182.109	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	<p>Considers that routine maintenance, repair and replacement for all existing lawful activities which should be permitted, not restricted discretionary. This rule also has a typo, which states 'Activity status where compliance not achieved: Restricted discretionary'. The submitter assume this should be discretionary to align with the two activities stated in the rule.</p>	<p>1. Delete ECO-R5 Earthworks; AND</p> <p>2. Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.</p>	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.80	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	<p>Opposes that the proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay. While the work done by TDC to identify and map SNAs in the District is applauded, there are SNAs that meet the criteria of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not protected.</p> <p>One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation.</p> <p>While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of SNAs.</p> <p>[See original submission for full reasons]</p>	<p>Amend the applicability ECO-R5 so that it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of the criteria in Appendix 5.</p> <p>This could be done by using the same reference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a Significant Natural Area.</p>	Reject

KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.57	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	Supports the restricted discretionary activity status for earthworks within 2m, and for the purpose, of maintenance, repair or replacement of existing lawfully established utilities, including the rail corridor.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
GJH Rooney	191.34	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	Opposes ECO-R5. Considers a permitted activity rule should be inserted at ECO-R5 to provide for earthworks within the SNA overlay where the earthworks is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. This would support the submitter's submission in relation to ECO-R1(1) regarding indigenous vegetation clearance.	Amend ECO-R5 Earthworks to create a permitted activity rule for earthworks within a Significant Natural Area where the earthworks are supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. Any consequential amendments as a result of the change.	Accept in part
Rooney Group Limited	249.34	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	Opposes ECO-R5. Considers a permitted activity rule should be inserted at ECO-R5 to provide for earthworks within the SNA overlay where the earthworks is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. This would support the submitter's submission in relation to ECO-R1(1) regarding indigenous vegetation clearance.	Amend ECO-R5 Earthworks to create a permitted activity rule for earthworks within a Significant Natural Area where the earthworks are supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. Any consequential amendments as a result of the change.	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.34	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	Opposes ECO-R5. Considers a permitted activity rule should be inserted at ECO-R5 to provide for earthworks within the SNA overlay where the earthworks is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. This would support the submitter's submission in relation to ECO-R1(1) regarding indigenous vegetation clearance.	Amend ECO-R5 Earthworks to create a permitted activity rule for earthworks within a Significant Natural Area where the earthworks are supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. Any consequential amendments as a result of the change.	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.34	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	Opposes ECO-R5. Considers a permitted activity rule should be inserted at ECO-R5 to provide for earthworks within the SNA overlay where the earthworks is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. This would support the submitter's submission in relation to ECO-R1(1) regarding indigenous vegetation clearance.	Amend ECO-R5 Earthworks to create a permitted activity rule for earthworks within a Significant Natural Area where the earthworks are supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. Any consequential amendments as a result of the change.	Accept in part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.34	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R5 Earthworks in a Significant Natural Area	Opposes ECO-R5. Considers a permitted activity rule should be inserted at ECO-R5 to provide for earthworks within the SNA overlay where the earthworks is supported by QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. This would support the submitter's submission in relation to ECO-R1(1) regarding indigenous vegetation clearance.	Amend ECO-R5 Earthworks to create a permitted activity rule for earthworks within a Significant Natural Area where the earthworks are supported by the QEII National Trust or the Department of Conservation. Any consequential amendments as a result of the change.	Accept in part
Milward Finlay Lobb	60.25	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Considers the rule is too broad with no measurable parameters.	Amend ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area as follows: Activity status: Discretionary-Restricted Discretionary with some measurable rules established.	Reject
Bruce Speirs	66.49	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	When we consider that subdivision is given considerable prominence and significance in resource management, it makes sense to have all rules involving subdivision in one place in the plan	1. Delete ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area . AND If necessary, consider developing appropriate objectives, policies, rules, standards, activity status, matters of control and discretion, for subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area, in the Subdivision Chapter of the plan.	Consider as part of Subdivision Topic

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.114	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Considers that unless the subdivision is to make an allotment specifically for the SNA the activity should be non-complying.	Amend to non-complying.	Accept
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.46	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	The submitter supports the discretionary status of the subdivision of land containing a SNA.	Retain as notified.	Accept
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.35	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Oppose ECO-R6 as subdivision of land containing a SNA should not be a discretionary activity simply because the site has a SNA within it. The SNA is unlikely to be affected by the subdivision unless the boundary change dissects the SNA.	Amend the heading of ECO-R6 as follows: <i>ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area <u>where a new boundary intersects a Significant Natural Area.</u></i> AND Insert a new policy to support the requested change to this rule.	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.110	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Supports this rule.	1. Retain as notified; OR 2. Wording with similar effect; AND 3. Any consequential amendments.	Accept
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.81	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Opposes that the proposed Plan only provides rules for SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay. While the work done by TDC to identify and map SNAs in the District is applauded, there are SNAs that meet the criteria of Appendix 5 but that have not been identified or mapped. Because they have not been mapped, they are not protected. One of the criteria is "Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently." Roosting habitat for species such as pied shag has not been identified. It should be noted that these roosting areas are not necessarily on indigenous vegetation. While a desire to complete the mapping exercise and consider the work done is understandable, the nature of the criteria means that will not be possible. With Climate Change distribution limits for species are likely to change, as is their ecological status of whether they are threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district. These are examples of changes that will affect classification of SNAs. [See original submission for full reasons]	Amend the applicability of ECO-R6 so that it not only covers SNAs that are mapped in the SNA Overlay and are set out in ECO-SCHED2, but covers all areas that meet one or more of the criteria in Appendix 5. This could be done by using the same reference as used in ECO-R6: Sites containing a Significant Natural Area.	Reject

GJH Rooney	191.35	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Oppose ECO-R6 as subdivision of land containing a SNA should not be a discretionary activity simply because the site has a SNA within it. The SNA is unlikely to be affected by the subdivision unless the boundary change dissects the SNA.	Amend the heading of ECO-R6 as follows: <i>ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area <u>where a new boundary intersects a Significant Natural Area.</u></i> AND Insert a new policy to support the requested change to this rule.	Reject
Rooney Group Limited	249.35	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Oppose ECO-R6 as subdivision of land containing a SNA should not be a discretionary activity simply because the site has a SNA within it. The SNA is unlikely to be affected by the subdivision unless the boundary change dissects the SNA.	Amend the heading of ECO-R6 as follows: <i>ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area <u>where a new boundary intersects a Significant Natural Area.</u></i> AND Insert a new policy to support the requested change to this rule.	Reject
Rooney Farms Limited	250.35	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Oppose ECO-R6 as subdivision of land containing a SNA should not be a discretionary activity simply because the site has a SNA within it. The SNA is unlikely to be affected by the subdivision unless the boundary change dissects the SNA.	Amend the heading of ECO-R6 as follows: <i>ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area <u>where a new boundary intersects a Significant Natural Area.</u></i> AND Insert a new policy to support the requested change to this rule.	Reject
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.35	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Oppose ECO-R6 as subdivision of land containing a SNA should not be a discretionary activity simply because the site has a SNA within it. The SNA is unlikely to be affected by the subdivision unless the boundary change dissects the SNA.	Amend the heading of ECO-R6 as follows: <i>ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area <u>where a new boundary intersects a Significant Natural Area.</u></i> AND Insert a new policy to support the requested change to this rule.	Reject
Timaru Developments Limited	252.35	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area	Oppose ECO-R6 as subdivision of land containing a SNA should not be a discretionary activity simply because the site has a SNA within it. The SNA is unlikely to be affected by the subdivision unless the boundary change dissects the SNA.	Amend the heading of ECO-R6 as follows: <i>ECO-R6 Subdivision of land containing a Significant Natural Area <u>where a new boundary intersects a Significant Natural Area.</u></i> AND Insert a new policy to support the requested change to this rule.	Reject
Hermann Frank	90.10	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R7 Planting of potential pest species	Considers ECO-R7.NC1 needs to include all cotoneaster species.	Amend ECO-R7 to include all cotoneaster species e.g., <i>Cotoneaster glaucophyllus</i> , <i>C. franchetii</i> , <i>C. simonsii</i> , <i>C. lacteus</i> , <i>C. harrovianus</i> , and <i>C. parneyi</i> . State Cotoneaster, all species	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.11	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R7 Planting of potential pest species	Considers not clear why ECO-R7 / NC2 is needed as it relates to planting these species.	In ECO-R7 Planting of potential pest species the list in NC2 should be included in NC1, at least <i>Lupinus polyphyllus</i> (Russell lupin) and <i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> (rowan)	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird	156.115	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R7 Planting of potential pest species	Not specified.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part


Protection Society							
Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.47	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R7 Planting of potential pest species	<p>The submitter generally supports the proposed plant list of pest species, but considers that there are other species which should also be included given their potential for wilding spread.</p> <p>The Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan identifies any wilding conifer as:</p> <p>Wilding conifers are any introduced conifer tree, including (but not limited to) any of the species listed in Table 3, established</p> <p>by natural means, unless it is located within a forest plantation, and does not create any greater risk of wilding conifer spread to adjacent or nearby land, other than the forest plantation that it is a part of.</p>	<p>Amend the list of pest species under ECO-R7 Planting of potential pest species to include:</p> <p><i>ECO-R7 Planting of potential pest species</i></p> <p><u><i>Wilding conifers including (but not limited to): Bishops pine, Contorta (lodgepole) pine, Corsican pine, Douglas Fir, Larch, Maritime pine, Mountain pine and dwarf mountain pine, Ponderosa Pine, Radiata Pine, Scots Pine.</i></u></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Include a definition for wilding conifers in line with the Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan, which clarifies the difference between planting by natural means and use for forest plantation.</p>	Reject
Federated Farmers	182.111	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	ECO-R7 Planting of potential pest species	Supports this rule.	<p>1. Retain as notified; OR</p> <p>2. Wording with similar effect; AND</p> <p>3. Any consequential amendments.</p>	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.108	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	General	Oppose all rules that have the Coastal Environment Overlay within 20 metres area. The NZCPS applies to all aspects of the Coastal Environment not just the 20m from MHWS.	Amend all rules of the ECO chapter to give effect to the submitter's relief sought in regard to the spatial area of the Coastal Environment. This rule should apply to all of the coastal environment that is not SNA.	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.116	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	New	<p>Supports the identification and mapping of SNAs. Although considers this is an ongoing exercise. There needs to be triggers through resource consents for further identification of SNAs. As future SNAs are identified the Plan should incorporate these SNAs into the Plan through plan changes. Work by others can be ground truthed and utilised.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason].</p>	<p>Add a new rule/s to the ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter, regarding general indigenous vegetation clearance that maintains indigenous biodiversity outside of sensitive areas and SNAs.</p> <p>The development of this rule should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Utilise and include maps of improved pasture / fully converted farmland in the plan. - Permit vegetation clearance in those areas and everywhere else have some sort of controls on indigenous vegetation clearance. <p>As these maps are ground-trothed they should be implemented into the plan either through this plan review process or through a variation and then brought up to align with the process.</p>	Accept in part

Road Metals Company Limited	169.21	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	New	Considers a new rule be added to the plan to recognise the policy direction provided by the exposure draft of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity and to provide for quarrying activities.	Add a new rule as follows: <u>ECO-RX Clearance of indigenous vegetation for quarrying activities Activity status: Restricted discretionary</u> <u>The matters of discretion are:</u> <u>The effects that the vegetation alteration or removal will have on ecological values, including on threatened systems and ecosystems.</u> <u>The effects that vegetation removal will have on soil conservation, water quality and hydrological function of the catchment</u> <u>3. Methods to offset and compensate for the adverse effects of vegetation alteration and removal.</u> <u>4. Methods to contain and control plant pathogens and diseases, and pest plants.</u>	Reject
Fulton Hogan Limited	170.22	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Rules	New	As noted in our comments on ECO-P5, the NPSIB recognises the importance of aggregate extraction, which is locationally based. To reflect the policy direction provided by the NPSIB, a new rule should be added to reflect this policy direction and provide for quarrying activities.	Add a new rule as follows: <u>ECO-RX Clearance of indigenous vegetation for quarrying activities Activity status: Restricted discretionary</u> <u>The matters of discretion are:</u> <u>The effects that the vegetation alteration or removal will have on ecological values, including on threatened systems and ecosystems.</u> <u>The effects that vegetation removal will have on soil conservation, water quality and hydrological function of the catchment</u> <u>3. Methods to offset and compensate for the adverse effects of vegetation alteration and removal.</u> <u>4. Methods to contain and control plant pathogens and diseases, and pest plants.</u>	Reject
David and Judith Moore	100.1	General	General	General	Concerned the roadside SNA's as grass is growing very high and became a potential fire risk.	None specified.	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.3	General	Significant Natural Areas	General	Considers the Council's SNA program is one to take pride in. But also concerned that the current list of SNA is incomplete and some SNAs have been identified by desktop only and still need to be ground trothed. Consider continuing with a district wide survey to ensure that all the District's SNAs are included. Concerned that the vegetation clearance rules are not adequate to protect SNA and to maintain indigenous biodiversity. The policy and rule framework should provide mechanism to continue to identify, map and protect SNAs.	Request the following amendments: a. The PDP needs to contain provisions to identify further SNAs; b. The PDP needs to contain provisions to maintain biodiversity, such as general clearance rules and mapping improved pasture; c. Ensure that all chapters in the PDP give the appropriate level of protection to SNAs, whether in Schedule Four or not; Ensure all chapters are subject to compliance with the ECO chapter objectives, policies, and rules.	Accept in part
Zolve Environmental	164.3	Planning Maps	Bat Protection Area Overlay		Considers that the current Long-tailed Bat overlay does not include some newly found colonies or allow for the discovery of new colonies and the provisions needed to protect Pekapeka at their most vulnerable maternal roosting period.	Extend the Bat Protection Area overlay to include all known colonies and surrounding areas. AND Include a more extensive buffer on the Bat Protection Area to trigger ECO-R4 rules during maternal roosting timeframes.	Accept

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.37	Planning Maps	Bat Protection Area Overlay		The submitter requests that the Bat Protection Area overlay is extended to cover the areas identified on the Canterbury maps bat habitat map at Canterbury Maps Viewer.	Amend the Planning Maps to extend the Bat Protection Area Overlay to match the Canterbury maps bat habitat area.	Accept
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.102	Planning Maps	Bat Protection Area Overlay		Oppose the Bat Protection Overlay. Considers the BPO is a SNA as it is for the protection of habitation of significant indigenous fauna and should be labelled as such if it is to remain. Supports the identification of bat habitat and landowners being encouraged to protect bat habitat but opposes a regulatory approach.	Amend Bat Protection Overlay title as follows: Bat Protection Overlay <u>Bat Habitat Identification Area</u>	Accept in part
GJH Rooney	191.102	Planning Maps	Bat Protection Area Overlay		Oppose the Bat Protection Overlay (BPO). Considers the BPO is a SNA as it is for the protection of habitation of significant indigenous fauna and should be labelled as such if it is to remain. Supports the identification of bat habitat and landowners being encouraged to protect bat habitat but opposes a regulatory approach.	Amend Bat Protection Overlay title as follows: Bat Protection Overlay <u>Bat Habitat Identification Area</u>	Accept in part
Rooney Group Limited	249.102	Planning Maps	Bat Protection Area Overlay		Oppose the Bat Protection Overlay (BPO). Considers the BPO is a SNA as it is for the protection of habitation of significant indigenous fauna and should be labelled as such if it is to remain. Supports the identification of bat habitat and landowners being encouraged to protect bat habitat but opposes a regulatory approach.	Amend Bat Protection Overlay title as follows: Bat Protection Overlay <u>Bat Habitat Identification Area</u>	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.102	Planning Maps	Bat Protection Area Overlay		Oppose the Bat Protection Overlay (BPO). Considers the BPO is a SNA as it is for the protection of habitation of significant indigenous fauna and should be labelled as such if it is to remain. Supports the identification of bat habitat and landowners being encouraged to protect bat habitat but opposes a regulatory approach.	Amend Bat Protection Overlay title as follows: Bat Protection Overlay <u>Bat Habitat Identification Area</u>	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.102	Planning Maps	Bat Protection Area Overlay		Oppose the Bat Protection Overlay (BPO). Considers the BPO is a SNA as it is for the protection of habitation of significant indigenous fauna and should be labelled as such if it is to remain. Supports the identification of bat habitat and landowners being encouraged to protect bat habitat but opposes a regulatory approach.	Amend Bat Protection Overlay title as follows: Bat Protection Overlay <u>Bat Habitat Identification Area</u>	Accept in part
Timaru Developments Limited	252.102	Planning Maps	Bat Protection Area Overlay		Oppose the Bat Protection Overlay (BPO). Considers the BPO is a SNA as it is for the protection of habitation of significant indigenous fauna and should be labelled as such if it is to remain. Supports the identification of bat habitat and landowners being encouraged to protect bat habitat but opposes a regulatory approach.	Amend Bat Protection Overlay title as follows: Bat Protection Overlay <u>Bat Habitat Identification Area</u>	Accept in part
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.21	Planning Maps	New		Considers that Improved pasture (fully converted pasture) should be mapped and understands that maps of NZ agricultural land is available. These will still need to be ground trothed in the district.	Add Improved Pasture to the Planning Maps. [see related submission point on definition of improved pasture]	Reject

Graeme and Margaret King	62.3	Planning Maps	Significant Natural Areas overlay		Opposes the application of the Significant Natural Areas Overlay on the submitter's property as considers the implication of this overlay and associated provisions are against sovereign rights and were created without consultation with private landowners. [see original submission for full reasons]	Request Council to seek legal precedent for classification and limitations of private land owners rights: 1. Legal precedent to limit private landowners right under rule of law ... 2. No Private land owners has agreed to this process, it is not mandatory. Every affected party shall be consulted personally by council/runanga and a register held that is publicly available if both parties agree.	Reject
Stephanie and Peter McCullough	137.2	Planning maps	Significant Natural Areas overlay		Opposes the PDP mapping of 94 John Talbot Road, the SNA and SASM and any protected wetlands should be deleted from the planning maps. The consultation process and lack of lawful process to inform and involve private property owners is heavily criticised.	Delete any SNA overlays and any protected wetlands from 94 John Talbot Road.	Reject
Kenneth James and Rose Esther Tarrant	158.2	Planning Maps	Significant Natural Areas overlay		Opposes the application of the Significant Natural Areas Overlay on the submitter's property as considers the implication of this overlay and associated provisions are against sovereign rights and were created without consultation with private landowners. [see original submission for full reasons]	Request Council to seek legal precedent for classification and limitations of private landowners' rights: Legal precedent to limit private landowners right under rule of law ... No Private landowners has agreed to this process, it is not mandatory. Every affected party shall be consulted personally by council/runanga and a register held that is publicly available if both parties agree.	Reject
Silver Fern Farms	172.50	Planning Maps	Significant Natural Areas overlay		Supports mapping and scheduling of SNA's in the proposed Plan.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Alliance Group Limited	173.53	Planning Maps	Significant Natural Areas overlay	ECO-P2 Appropriate indigenous vegetation clearance in significant natural areas	Supports mapping and scheduling of SNA's in the proposed Plan.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Hermann Frank	90.17	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Support Schedule 7, but the statement "In addition, there are likely to be a range of other areas not yet assessed but containing significant values" needs to be added.	Add the below statement to SCHED7 Schedule of significant Natural Areas <i><u>In addition, there are likely to be a range of other areas not yet assessed but containing significant values.</u></i>	Reject
Cassandra Roa Jamieson and Hamish Allan Jamieson	129.2	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	The submitter never consented to the SNA on 278 Pareora Ford Road and it is not an SNA as it's an operating sheep and beef farm.	No relief specified.	Reject
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	156.181	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Support the inclusion of all the SNAs and add more SNAs as they become known to the schedule.	1. Retain SCHED7 as notified; AND 2. add to as new SNAs become known.	Accept in part

Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation Tumuaki Ahurei	166.49	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	The submitter supports all of the SNAs listed within SCHED7.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
Rooney Holdings Limited	174.101	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Considers that SCHED7 should refer to the names of landowners under the column "Survey Reference". Apart from the issue of protecting privacy, properties may change ownership over time and the name reference will be incorrect.	Amend SCHED7 as follows: SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas Unique Survey Location Document Identifier Reference Number	Accept in part
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	183.169	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Acknowledge the effort that TDC has made in identifying SNAs and congratulates them for doing this. Inclusion of this Schedule is consistent with supporting CRPS Objectives 9.2.1 & 9.2.3 and Policy 9.3.1. However, not all SNAs that fit the criteria in Appendix 5 have been identified. There should be some recognition that the listed sites will be added to over time.	Retain SCHED7 and add a sentence to make it clear that this is not a definitive list. If an area meets the criteria in APP5, it should be treated as a SNA. More sites will be added as they are identified.	Accept in part
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	185.38	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Support the schedule, however request minor changes to improve clarity and ensure that all cultural values are given the appropriate weight.	Amend SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas so the attributes/ values of these areas cross reference the SASM references to ensure that the cultural values are fully recognised and protected as required by case law for landscape assessments.	Reject
GJH Rooney	191.101	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Considers that SCHED7 should refer to the names of landowners under the column "Survey Reference". Apart from the issue of protecting privacy, properties may change ownership over time and the name reference will be incorrect.	Amend SCHED7 as follows: SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas Unique Survey Location Document Identifier Reference Number	Accept in part
K J Rooney Limited	197.7	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	The submitter notes there is no ECO-SCHED2 in the PDP, and it is unclear whether this is to be read together with SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas.	Amend ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter so that ECO-SCHED2 is made available or reference corrected to SCHED7 if included in error.	Accept in part
Rooney Group Limited	249.101	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Considers that SCHED7 should refer to the names of landowners under the column "Survey Reference". Apart from the issue of protecting privacy, properties may change ownership over time and the name reference will be incorrect.	Amend SCHED7 as follows: SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas Unique Survey Location Document Identifier Reference Number	Accept in part
Rooney Farms Limited	250.101	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Considers that SCHED7 should refer to the names of landowners under the column "Survey Reference". Apart from the issue of protecting privacy, properties may change ownership over time and the name reference will be incorrect.	Amend SCHED7 as follows: SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas Unique Survey Location Document Identifier Reference Number	Accept in part
Rooney Earthmoving Limited	251.101	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Considers that SCHED7 should refer to the names of landowners under the column "Survey Reference". Apart from the issue of protecting privacy, properties may change ownership over time and the name reference will be incorrect.	Amend SCHED7 as follows: SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	Accept in part

						Unique Survey Location Number Document Identifier Reference	
Timaru Developments Limited	252.101	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	General	Considers that SCHED7 should refer to the names of landowners under the column "Survey Reference". Apart from the issue of protecting privacy, properties may change ownership over time and the name reference will be incorrect.	Amend SCHED7 as follows: SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas Unique Survey Location Number Document Identifier Reference	Accept in part
Pye Group Ltd, Dialan Dairy Ltd, Grantlea Dairy Ltd, South Park Farm Ltd, South Stream Dairy Ltd	35.3	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	New	Considers the area identified on the map contains lizard habitat and kanuka and should be identified as a SNA to ensure biodiversity values are protected long-term. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Add the area highlighted in blue on the attached map to SCHED7 Significant Natural Areas : 	To be confirmed following assessment
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187.89	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SCHED7 - Schedule of Significant Natural Areas	SNA-116b Rangitata Island SNA-823 Penguin habitat SNA-851 Ōpihi River SNA-852 Ōrāri River SNA-853 Rangitata River	The submitter supports these Significant Natural Areas that are extended within the rail corridor being SNA-116b Rangitata Island; SNA-823 Penguin habitat; SNA-851 Ōpihi River; SNA- 852 Ōrāri River; SNA-853 Rangitata River. [refer to original submission for full reason]	Retain the following SNA's as notified: SNA-116b Rangitata Island SNA-823 Penguin habitat SNA-851 Ōpihi River SNA-852 Ōrāri River SNA-853 Rangitata River	Accept