

Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

Name of submitter: Roselyne Yeandle

.....
[State full name]

This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):

SASM- SNA

.....
[State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].

I ~~could~~/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

[*Select one.] ~~I could not-~~ please delate I could

*I am/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) ~~does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.~~ DELETE

[*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.]

[†Select one.]

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]

SASM and SNA

My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

[If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]

I do not consent to the rule changes proposed/ imposed in your Timaru District council proposed district plan I believe these proposed rule changes are unfair and a kick in the face to rate payers. The lack of consultation regarding this imposed land grab is appalling.

I find the lack of explanation by the Runanga dismal and the blanket coverage of the wider Temuka area unacceptable.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

I seek the following decision from the local authority: *[Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]*

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

I wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of my submission.

*[*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.]*
[†Select one.]

***If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.**

*[*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]*

Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]

Date 14/12/2022

Electronic address for service of submitter: ra.yeandle@ farmside.co.nz

Telephone: 027 6221780

Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act):

Contact person: *[name and designation, if applicable]*

Note to person making submission

1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - It is frivolous or vexatious:
 - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - It contains offensive language:
 - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.