

14 December 2022

Form 5

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency Submission on the notified Proposed Timaru District Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

Via online submission tool

Name of Submitter: Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency

PO Box 1479 Christchurch 8011

Address for Service: Attention: Stuart Pearson

Phone: (021) 052 5917

Email: stuart.pearson@nzta.govt.nz

This is a submission on the following plan:

Proposed Timaru District Plan

Introductory Comments:

The provisions of the draft of Proposed Timaru District Plan have the potential to have a direct effect on the ability of Waka Kotahi to operate, maintain, and improve the road network. This submission focuses on ensuring that the Waka Kotahi state highway assets are adequately provided for in the draft provisions, that the approach to the transport issues in the Timaru District align with the Waka Kotahi strategic direction, and that Waka Kotahi delivers on the mandate from Central Government to promote best practice transport solutions across the country. We thank Timaru District Council for the opportunity to engage in this process.

Waka Kotahi Statutory Functions, Powers and Responsibilities

- 1. The Statutory objective of Waka Kotahi under the Land Transport Management Act 2003 (LTMA) is to undertake its functions in a way that contributes to an effective, efficient, and safe land transport system in the public interest.
- 2. Waka Kotahi must carry out its functions in a way that delivers the transport outcomes set by the Government which are provided in the Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2021/22-2030/31 (GPS). It builds on the strategic direction set in the earlier GPS and has four strategic priorities: safety, better travel options, improving freight connections and climate change.
- 3. The 'Outcomes Framework' issued by the Ministry of Transport (MOT) defines the long-term strategic outcomes for New Zealand's transport system and explains how government and transport sector should work together toward these outcomes, being:
 - a. Inclusive Access
 - b. Economic Prosperity
 - c. Resilience and Security
 - d. Environmental Sustainability
 - e. Health and Safe People
- 4. Waka Kotahi supports planned development in appropriate areas and considers this should occur in a manner which does not compromise the effectiveness, efficiency, resilience and safety of the transport network. Therefore, Waka Kotahi seeks to participate in these proceedings to ensure that the plan change provisions do not adversely affect the transport network and contribute to improving environmental sustainability.
- 5. Overall, Waka Kotahi has an interest in the Proposed Timaru District Plan as a result of its role as a:
 - a. Transport investor to maximise effective, efficient and strategic returns for New Zealand;
 - Planner of land transport networks to ensure the integration of infrastructure and land use to support liveable communities and the development of an effective and resilient land transport network for customers;
 - c. Provider of access to, and the use of, the land transport system to shape smart, efficient, safe and responsible transport choices; and

- d. Manager of the state highway network to deliver efficient, safe and responsible highway solutions for customers.
- 6. Waka Kotahi could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 7. Waka Kotahi has reviewed the Proposed Timaru District Plan and has the following comments:

The Waka Kotahi feedback:

Matters have been identified through the review of the Proposed Timaru District Plan, which are either in support or are seeking relief in the form of amendments or clarity. The matters raised are summarised in Table 1, which forms the bulk of our feedback. Where a provision is not included in the appended table, Waka Kotahi supports the way it is drafted.

Our high level comments on the Proposed Timaru District Plan are as follows:

- Consistency between the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter and the Transport Chapter: there are many instances where there are exclusions for the regionally significant infrastructure when located in Significant Natural Areas (SNA), as they refer back to EI-P2. The state highway network is included in the definition of regionally significant infrastructure, but the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter states that transport matters are dealt with in the Transport Chapter. Therefore, the state highway does not get an equivalent exclusion when located in an SNA where it should be consistent. It is considered that amendments are made to either allow consideration of transport matters in the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter or provide for a separate exclusion for regionally significant infrastructure within the Transport Chapter.
- Alignment has been sought with Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency guidance when it relates to standards activities in or adjacent to the state highway network, for example transport, signage, and noise standards.

The following decision is sought from the local authority:

Amend the provisions of the Proposed Timaru District Plan as detailed in Table 1, including further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.

Waka Kotahi request to be heard in support of its submission. If others wish to make a similar submission, Waka Kotahi will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Signature of person authorised to sign on behalf of Waka Kotahi:

Mike Wood

Principal Planner - Poutiaki Taiao | Environmental Planning

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency

Se. Wood

Table 1: Decisions Sought on the Proposed Timaru District Plan

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought		
Part 1 – Introduct	tion and Genei	al Provision	s			
How the Plan Wor	rks					
Relationships bet	ween Spatial L	.ayers				
Relationship betw	veen Spatial	Support	Waka Kotahi supports that the plan acknowledges that there can be conflict	Amend as follows:		
Layers			between area-specific spatial layers, and it clarifies this by recognising the hierarchy of provisions in Figure 5. However, an amendment is sought to the wording to indicate that higher ranking provisions have precedent	When there is a conflict between the provisions of different spatial layers, the following principles apply:		
			rather than 'alters relevant provisions' as this provides clarity to plan users how the different spatial layers interact.	 When there is a conflict between overlays, or between an overlay and an area-specific spatial layer, the most stringent provision applies; 		
				 When there is a conflict between area-specific spatial layers, layers with a higher ranking in the below figure alters have precedent over the relevant provisions in the layers underneath it. 		
Interpretation						
Definitions						
Definitions	Accessway	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.		
	Active Transport	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.		
	Available Reticulated Stormwater Network	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as it outlines that reticulated stormwater networks must have acceptance of the stormwater from the network operator.	Retain as notified.		
	Glare	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.		

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	Land Transport Infrastructure	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Maintenance	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Network Utility Operator	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Official Sign	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Off-Site Sign	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Operational Need	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Public Transport	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Regionally Significant Infrastructure	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	Road Boundary	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the definition as worded.	Retain as notified.
	State Highway	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the definition of State Highway from the Land Transport Management Act, however an amendment is sought to remove grammatical error 'in ection 5'.	Amend as follows:

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
				has the same meaning as i&nbspsection in section 5 of the Land Transport Management Act 2003:
Part 2 – District V				
Strategic Directio				
SD - Strategic Dir				
Strategic Directions Objectives	SD-08	Support	The proposed objective appropriately recognises the importance of infrastructure in the district, including the continued operation, maintenance and upgrading of said infrastructure.	Retained as notified.
UFD – Urban Forn	n and Develop	ment		
Urban Form and Development Objectives	Development		While this objective seeks to achieve a consolidated and integrated settlement pattern; which Waka Kotahi supports, there is a contradiction between achieving this pattern and recognising the existing character of an area which is most likely to be low density residential development. As a result, Waka Kotahi seeks to remove the reference to the existing character and amenity. The objective seeks to achieve well designed good quality development that is attractive and functional which are important factors in achieving a consolidated and integrated settlement pattern.	Amend as follows: "A consolidated and integrated settlement pattern that: v. is well designed, of a good quality, recognises existing character and amenity and is attractive and functional to residents, business and visitors."
Energy, Infrastru	cture and Tran	nsport		
EI – Energy and I	nfrastructure			
General Comment		Support in part	The chapter provides for infrastructure and uses definitions that include the state highway network, but it states that transport related matters are dealt with in the Transport Chapter. There are many instances within the plan, such as in the Ecosystems and Biodiversity Chapter, that have an exclusion for Energy and Infrastructure activities to allow these to be permitted. As currently proposed, this would not provide for an equivalent exclusion for state highway infrastructure as regionally significant infrastructure.	Amend the chapter to allow for consideration of transport infrastructure where appropriate, Or, amend other chapters to provide for an exclusion for transport infrastructure as regionally significant infrastructure where there are exclusions for activities in the Energy and Infrastructure chapter.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			It is recommended that either transport infrastructure be considered under this chapter or an exclusion for transport infrastructure as regionally significant infrastructure that is equivalent to the exclusions used for activities under the Energy and Infrastructure chapter.	
Energy and Infrastructure Objectives	EI-O1	Support in part	The intent of the objective is generally supported as it describes Regionally Significant Infrastructure and Lifeline Utilities. However, we are unsure whether the objective is providing for, or recognising, or giving effect to Regional Significant Infrastructure as it appears more of a description. In addition, it is recommended that the objective be clarified as to what is being sought by this objective.	Amend the objective to provide clarification, such as follows: Provide for Eeffective, resilient, efficient and safe Regionally Significant Infrastructure and Lifeline Utilities that: 1. provides essential and secure services, including in emergencies; and 2. facilitates local, regional, national or international connectivity; and 3. contributes to the economy and supports a high standard of living; and 4. is aligned and integrates with the timing and location of urban development; and 5. enables people and communities to provide for their health, safety and wellbeing.
	EI-O2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the objective as it provides for Regionally Significant Infrastructure where there is a functional or operational need and where adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Retain as notified.
	EI-O4	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this objective as it recognises that the efficient operation, maintenance, repair, upgrading or development of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and Lifeline Utilities should not be constrained or compromised by the adverse effects of subdivision, use and development, including reverse sensitivity.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
Energy and Infrastructure Policies	EI-P1	Support	The policy is supported as it recognises the benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and Lifeline Utilities by enabling the operation, maintenance, repair, upgrade and development of this infrastructure. It also recognises the functional or operational needs of this infrastructure.	Retain as notified.
	EI-P2	Support	The policy is supported as it provides Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure where any adverse effects are appropriately managed, which includes avoiding adverse effects in areas with high natural importance. The policy also provides for the consideration of whether this infrastructure has a functional or operational need while having regard to other associated matters.	Retain as notified.
	EI-P3	Support	The policy is supported as it ensures new incompatible activities are appropriately located or designed to manage adverse effects on Regionally Significant Infrastructure and Lifeline Utilities.	Retain as notified.
Energy and Infrastructure Rules	EI-R22	Support in part	The rule is supported as it provides for a permitted pathway for the construction, maintenance, repair and upgrading of stormwater infrastructure when compliant with PER-1. However, it is considered that the matters of discretion for PER-1 should state whether there is a functional need or operational need, as these do not always occur together and as proposed it is inconsistent with EI-O2.	Amend as follows: The functional needs or and operational needs of, and benefits from, the activity, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken.
	EI-R23	Support	Waka Kotahi supports that stormwater connections to reticulated networks are a permitted activity.	Retain as notified.
	EI-R24	Support	The rule is supported by Waka Kotahi is it provides for a permitted activity status for rainwater collection systems for non-potable use if PER-1 is achieved.	Retain as notified.
	EI-R25	Support in part	The rule is supported as it provides a permitted pathway for the maintenance, repair and upgrading of existing underground and above ground stormwater infrastructure, open drains and channels, pipes, water reservoirs, and storage ponds. However, it is considered that the matters of discretion in for PER-1 or PER-2 should state whether there is a functional	Amend as follows: The functional needs or and operational needs of, and benefits from, the activity, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			need or operational need, as these do not always occur together and as proposed it is inconsistent with EI-O2.	
	EI-R26	Support in part	The rule is supported as it provides a permitted pathway for the construction of new underground and above ground stormwater infrastructure, open drains and channels, pipes, water reservoirs, and storage ponds in the rural zone. However, it is considered that the matters of discretion in for PER-1 and EI-R26.2 should state whether there is a functional need or operational need, as these do not always occur together and as proposed it is inconsistent with EI-O2.	Amend as follows: 1. The functional needs or and operational needs of, and benefits from, the activity, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken.
SW – Stormwate	r Management			
Stormwater Management Policies	SW-P2	Support in part	The policy requires that stormwater quality be maintained and enhanced, but it is not always possible to enhance the quality of stormwater. It is recommended that the policy be amended to state either maintain or enhance.	Amend as follows: Maintain or and enhance stormwater quality by requiring:
Stormwater Management Rules	SW-R1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule as it requires all developments, other than a road, with specified areas of impervious surfaces to be captured and directed into the Council's reticulated stormwater network, which does not include the state highway stormwater network. The associated matters of discretion also allow for consideration of adverse effects of stormwater on a neighbouring road. The rule will ensure that runoff from adjoining development is appropriately managed and will not undermine Waka Kotahi regional consents for stormwater.	Retain as notified.
	SW-R2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule as it requires all developments, other than a road, with specified areas of impervious surfaces to be captured and directed into the Council's reticulated stormwater network, which does not include the state highway stormwater network. The associated matters of discretion also allow for consideration of adverse effects of stormwater on a neighbouring road.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	SW-R3	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule as it requires all stormwater for non-residential activities that include impervious surfaces of 500m² or more for carparking to be captured and directed into the Council's reticulated stormwater network, which does not include the state highway stormwater network. The associated matters of discretion also allow for consideration of adverse effects of stormwater on a neighbouring road.	Retain as notified.
TRAN - Transport				
Transport Objectives	TRAN-O1	Support in part	The objective is supported as it identifies the outcomes for well-connected, integrated and accessible transport infrastructure, which aligns with the Waka Kotahi statutory functions. However, TRAN-01.1 should be amended to reflect the Land Transport Management Act 2003 by replacing sustainable with effective.	Amend objective as follows: Land transport infrastructure that is well-connected, integrated, and accessible, and which: 1. Is safe, efficient and effective sustainable for all transport modes;
	TRAN-O2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the objective to manage adverse effects on the environment from the use, construction, maintenance and development of land transport infrastructure to achieve the character and qualities of the underlying zone or overlay.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-O3	Support	The objective is supported by Waka Kotahi as it recognises that land transport infrastructure should not be compromised by incompatible activities that may result in conflict or reverse sensitivity effects. The objective supports the ongoing operation of the state highway infrastructure while managing effects from adjacent use and development.	Retain as notified.
Transport Policies	TRAN-P1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as it encourages active modes of transport, such as cycling and walking. Matters have been identified in this policy to achieve this, which include safe pedestrian access, footpaths and other active transport infrastructure, consolidated settlement patterns, etc.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-P2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as it supports the integrated public transport system in the region, which requires that new developments have convenient and safe walking links to public transport connections, and that	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			urban development is consolidated and adjoining the existing towns and urban areas.	
	TRAN-P3	Support in part	The policy is generally supported by Waka Kotahi as it enables the efficient use of existing land transport infrastructure by providing for its operation, maintenance and upgrading. However, it is recommended that the policy also enables the safe use of the land transport infrastructure as it is a key outcome for the transport network.	Amend as follows: Enable the <u>safe and</u> efficient use of the existing land transport infrastructure by providing for its operation, maintenance and upgrading.
	TRAN-P4	Support in part	The intent of the policy is supported as it provides for new land infrastructure. However, there are instances where strategic land infrastructure, such as the state highway, has a functional and/or operational need to be located within sensitive environment / overlays. It is recommended that the policy be amended to reflect this.	Only allow land transport infrastructure: 1. Within sensitive environments / overlays, where it can be demonstrated that: a. There is a functional or operational need; or b. The identified characters and values of the Overlay it is within will be protected; and c. The relevant objectives for the Overlay will be achieved; and
	TRAN-P5	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy that requires roads to be classified and built according to their anticipated function.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-P6	Support	The policy is supported as it requires subdivision, use and development to support the safe and efficient operation of land transport infrastructure.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-P7	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as it requires consideration high traffic generating activities to ensure they support the safe, efficient and effective use of the land transport infrastructure and encourage a range of transport modes, such as public transport and active transport.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-P8	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy for parking, loading and manoeuvring to be provided on site and that it maintains safety for pedestrians and cyclists.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-P9	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports that co-located network activities can be allowed within the road corridor. However, there is concern that non-transport related activities are encouraged if they can mitigate adverse effects and that are consistent with the character and qualities of the zone. It is not	Amend the policy as follows: Encourage the road (excluding any state highway) and railway corridor to be used for:

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			considered appropriate for non-transport related activities to be established within the state highway and this policy would encourage this.	 Other co-located network utilities; Non-transport related activities which contribute to public amenity values and well-being while: Mitigating any adverse effects on the safety, efficiency and functionality of the transport corridor, including in the future; and Being consistent with the character and qualities of adjoining zones.
Transport Rules	TRAN-R1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports that maintenance of existing land transport infrastructure is a permitted activity.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-R3	Support in part	The rule is generally supported, but it is recommended that the vehicle access way rule has a better link to the new vehicle crossings rules under TRAN-R4. A vehicle access way could be established where a vehicle crossing could not. The rule should require compliance with TRAN-S12, S14, S15, and S16, which should also be amended to reflect that they relate to vehicle access ways.	Amend the rule as follows: Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 TRAN-S9, TRAN-S10, TRAN-S12, TRAN-S14, TRAN-S15, TRAN-S16 and TRAN-S18 are complied with.
	TRAN-R4	Support	The rule and associated transport standards are supported, as they adequately provide for new vehicle crossings.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-R5	Support	The rule and associated transport standards are supported, as they adequately provide for loading and manoeuvring areas for all new activities.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-R8	Support	The rule and associated transport standards are supported, as they adequately provide for new private ways.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-R9	Support in part	The rule is supported by Waka Kotahi as electric vehicle charging facilities are encouraged. It makes this type of infrastructure more accessible, which supports lower emission vehicles.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	TRAN-R10	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule for high trip generating activities along with the matters of discretion for both basic and full Integrated Traffic Assessments (ITAs). The use of ITAs are critical assessment tools to allow for the appropriate consideration of effects on the transport network; including where upgrades or improvements are required for all modes of transport.	Retain as notified.
Transport Standards	TRAN-S3	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the use of the AS/NZS 1158 Lighting for Roads and Public Spaces standard for street lighting, which is consistent with parts of the street lighting within the state highway network.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-S5	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the inclusion of cycling parking provisions and the requirements identified, which are considered appropriate to encourage active transport.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-S6	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the technical standards for cycle parking, which encourages active transport.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-S10	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the vehicle access way requirements, which includes that any site with two road frontages requires access to be obtained from the secondary road frontage with the lower roading classification.	Retain as notified.
	TRAN-S12	Support in part	The standard provides for minimum sight distances for vehicle crossings onto roads with greater than a 60km/h posted and less than 60km/h, which requires compliance with Figure 12 and Figure 13, respectively. The diagram in Figure 12 provides for sight distances for 50km/h and 60km/h posted speed zones, but these are not required in Figure 13 where those speed limits are provided for. Waka Kotahi supports the diagram in Figure 12 but considers that this should be applied to all posted speed limits of 50km/h or greater, which would be consistent with the New Zealand Transport Agency Planning Policy Manual.	Amend the rule as follows: 1. Any vehicle crossing onto roads with equal to or greater than a 50km/h 60km/h posted speed must comply with the minimum sight distance in Figure 12.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought				
	TRAN-S14	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the standard as it provides a maximum of 1 vehicle crossing from a site to a National Route, which includes the state highway network.	Retain	as notified.			
	TRAN-S15	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the use of the standard as it provides minimum distances between vehicle crossings on the same side of the road based on the speed limit. However, the standards in Table 18 are inconsistent with the Waka Kotahi Policy Planning Manual – Appendix 5B (PPM) for vehicle crossings onto the state highway with a 70km/h posted speed or greater. As currently proposed, this could result in adverse safety effects on the state highway network.	Fronta road speed limit 70km, 80km, 90km,	betw d cross Colle Arter Arter Princ /h 40m /h 70m /h 85m	num distance een vehicle sing <u>on Local,</u> ctor, Regional ial, District ial and ipal.	Minimum distance between vehicle crossing on National Route 40m 100m 200m	
	TRAN-S16	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the standard with associated Table 19 and Figure 15, as they provide for appropriate standards for the minimum distances between vehicle crossings and intersections which are consistent with the Waka Kotahi PPM.	Retain as notified.				
	TRAN-S17	Support in part	The intent of the standard is generally supported by Waka Kotahi as it provides for vehicle crossing designs onto roads with a 70km/h or greater posted speed limit. The vehicle crossing design standards in Figure 16 and Figure 17 are consistent with the Waka Kotahi standards in the PPM. However, Table 20 incorrectly references the vehicle crossing standards on the state highway for 1-30 daily traffic volumes as it currently requires activities to meet Figure 17. However, it is considered that 1-30 daily traffic volumes on a state highway should only be required to meet the vehicle	Amend Table 20 as follows: Daily Traffic Is the vehicle crossing the vehicle crossing (ECMs*)	Figure to use for vehicle crossing design			

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Reli	ef Sought			
			crossing design in Figure 16, which is equivalent to a Waka Kotahi Diagram C in the PPM. The requirement for 31-100 daily traffic volumes on a state highway to meet Figure 17 is supported and is consistent with the Waka Kotahi PPM.	b.	1 – 30	Yes	Figure 16 Figure 17 (Vehicle crossing without shoulder widening)	
	TRAN-S18	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the standard as it requires there be no reverse manoeuvring onto the site or off the road from a National Road.	Retain as notified.				
	TRAN-S20	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the standard and associated Table 21, which identifies thresholds for high traffic generating activities that require either a basic ITA or a full ITA.	Retain as notified.				
Hazards and Risk NH - Natural Haz								
Natural Hazard Objectives	NH-O2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this objective which recognises that sometimes it is impractical to locate infrastructure outside of a high hazard area.	Reta	in as notified			
Natural Hazard	NH-P5	Support	Waka Kotahi largely supports this objective, however, requests that the	Ame	nd as follows:			
Policies		in part	operational needs of infrastructure are also recognised in this policy.	Require subdivision and Regionally Significant Infrastructure in Liquefaction Awareness Areas to apply appropriate measures to avoid or, where avoidance is not reasonably practicable due to the functional or operational needs of the activity, mitigate risks to people and property.				
	NH-P6	Support	Waka Kotahi largely supports this objective, however, requests that the	Amend as follows: Require subdivision and Regionally Significant Infrastructure in the Earthquake Fault Awareness Areas overlay to be designed or located way that avoids or, where avoidance is not reasonably practicable of the functional or operational needs of the activity, mitigates risks to and property.				
		in part	operational needs of infrastructure are also recognised in this policy. There are times where infrastructure does not have a functional need to only locate in a particular environment, but rather has an operational need to be located in a particular location as there are often no other reasonable alternatives.				ed or located in a racticable due to	

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	NH-P9	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this policy which recognises that natural hazard mitigation works do sometimes need to be undertaken by the Crown (of which Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency would be considered) to protect existing communities and that effects cannot be avoided but rather, shall be mitigated.	Retain as notified
	NH-P11	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this policy which recognises that Regionally Significant Infrastructure may have an operational or functional need to be located within a Natural Hazard Area. However, it would be more helpful if the policy specifically acknowledged that the linear nature of some infrastructure, such as roading, is one reason why it may not be practicable, or sometimes possible, to avoid locations subject to natural hazards.	Amend as follows: Only allow Regionally Significant Infrastructure in Natural Hazard Areas where: 1. it has an operational need or functional need for the location, including as a result of the linear nature of some infrastructure, and there are no feasible alternative locations; and 2. it is designed to maintain its integrity and function during and after a natural hazard event, or it is able to be readily re-instated after a natural hazard event; and 3. it is designed and located to ensure that it will not exacerbate the risks or potential adverse effects of the natural hazard on surrounding land.
Natural Hazard Rules	NH-R3	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally support Rule NH-R3, which provides for a limited scale of natural hazard mitigation works, however, if this rule cannot be met and resource consent is required as a Restricted Discretionary Activity, the potential effects on infrastructure should also be considered in addition to the risk for people, property and public spaces.	Amend the matters of discretion as follows: 1. the likely effectiveness of the natural hazard mitigation works and the need for them; and 2. the extent of any adverse social, cultural and environmental effects, including on any sensitive environments; and 3. any potential adverse effects of diverting or blocking overland flow path(s), including upstream and downstream flood risks; and 4. any increased flood risk for people, property, infrastructure or public spaces; and

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
				 5. the extent to which alternative locations and options for the natural hazard mitigation works have been considered and the merits of those; and 6. any positive effects of the proposal on the community.
	NH-R5	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of this rule which provides for infrastructure maintenance, replacement and upgrade activities provided that the infrastructure is within 5m of the existing alignment and the above ground footprint is not increased by more than 10%. If works do not meet these thresholds consent is triggered as a Restricted Discretionary Activity of which the matters of discretion include the functional or operational need of the activity, which is also supported by Waka Kotahi.	Retain as notified
	NH-R6	Support	This rule provides a permitted activity status for new above ground Regionally Significant Infrastructure, provided it meets the criteria set out in the rule. Waka Kotahi is supportive of this rule which does not cover new structures within the road reserve.	Amend the rule as follows: Regionally Significant Infrastructure – New NH-R6.1 does not apply if: 1. the infrastructure is below ground; or 2. above ground infrastructure is less than 10m² and is not located within a high hazard area as determined under NH-S1; or 3. the structure is located within a road corridor.
Historical and Cu				
HH – Historic Her	ritage			
Historic Heritage Objectives	HH-O3	Support	Waka Kotahi has principles to maintain heritage items, which is consistent with the outcomes sought by the objective. Therefore, Waka Kotahi supports the objective as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Historic Heritage Policies	HH-P7	Support	The policy is supported to only allow subdivision, earthworks or new buildings within the settings of Historic Heritage Items, where alternatives have been considered, there is sufficient land around the item to maintain	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			heritage items, and there are measures to minimise obstruction of views of the heritage item from adjoining may result from any proposed building, future land use or development.	
TREES - Notable	Trees			
Notable Trees Policies	TREE-P3	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of providing for new activity and development within the root protection area where there is necessary for the maintenance of regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain as notified.
	TREE-P4	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of providing for the removal of notable trees where there is an imminent threat to the safety of people or property or where this is required to maintain regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain as notified.
Notable Trees Rules	TREE-R1	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of providing for the pruning and trimming of branches of Notable Trees. This allows for Waka Kotahi to maintain the Notable trees to ensure the continued safe and efficient function of the State Highway networks.	Retain as notified.
	TREE-R3	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of providing for new activity and development within the root protection area where there is necessary for the maintenance of regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain as notified
SASM – Sites and	Areas of Sign	ificance to M	āori	
Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori Rules	SASM-R1.1	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of providing for earthworks associated with the maintenance of roads within the Wāhi Tūpuna Overlay. This allows for Waka Kotahi to maintain the safe and efficient function of the State Highway networks.	Retain as notified.
	SASM-R1.2	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of providing for earthworks associated with the maintenance of roads within the Wāhi Taoka and Wai Taoka Overlay. This allows for Waka Kotahi to maintain the safe and efficient function of the State Highway networks.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	SASM-R3 – PER-2	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of providing for the removal of indigenous vegetation where there is an imminent threat to the safety of people, structures or utilities.	Retain as notified.
	SASM-R3 – PER-2	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of providing for the removal of indigenous vegetation where this is for the purpose of maintenance repair or replacement of road or network utilities.	Retain as notified.
Natural Environm ECO – Ecosystem		ous Biodivers	sity	
Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Policies	ECO-P2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy, which provides for the clearance of indigenous vegetation in Significant Natural Areas where it's appropriate for health and wellbeing or customary reasons. In particular we support clearance where the indigenous vegetation causes imminent danger to human life, structures or utilities; and the operation, maintenance or repair of public roads.	Retain as notified.
	ECO-P5	Support in part	This policy is largely supported by Waka Kotahi as it seeks to avoid clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks in Significant Natural Areas (SNAs) unless these activities are undertaken in a way that protects identified ecological values and are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2. This policy should be amended to include a provision for regionally significant infrastructure as the provisions in EI do not apply to transport.	It is sought that EI-P2 should be amended to recognise transport infrastructure or to amend this policy as follows: Avoid the clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks within SNAs, unless these activities: 1. can be undertaken in a way that protects the identified ecological values; and 2. are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with EI-P2 Managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other infrastructure.; or 3. are for transport related regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Rules	ECO-R1	Support in part	Waka Kotahi seeks an amendment or new rule to include a standard which permits the clearance of indigenous vegetation within Significant Natural Areas Overlay where it is associated with the operation, maintenance and repairs of regionally significant transport infrastructure.	Amendment or new rule sought to provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation within SNAs for works associated with the operation, maintenance and repairs of regionally significant transport infrastructure.
NATC – Natural C	haracter			
Natural Character Objectives	NATC-O1	Support	The objective is supported which recognises the natural character of Timaru District's wetlands and rivers and their margins need to be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, and where possible enhanced. It is particularly supported in that it acknowledged that wetlands and rivers can only be enhanced where possible.	Retain as notified.
Natural Character Policies	NATC-P4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi seeks an amendment to the policy to add an additional provision to provide for the subdivision, use and development associated with works for regionally significant infrastructure, where there is an operational or functional need.	Amend policy to include the following provision: 5. is for the operation, maintenance and repair of regionally significant infrastructure where there is an operational or functional need.
	NATC-P5	Support in part	Waka Kotahi seeks an amendment to the policy as noted to recognise there may be an operational or functional need to undertake works within riparian margins.	Amend policy to include the following provision: 6. is for the operation, maintenance and repair of regionally significant infrastructure where there is an operational or functional need.
Natural Character Rules	NATC-R1	Support in part	The rule is supported in that it provides for permitted vegetation clearance. However, an additional amendment is requested to provide for vegetation clearance that is for the maintenance, repair or operation of regionally significant infrastructure.	Amend rule to provide for vegetation clearance associated with maintenance, repair or operation of regionally significant infrastructure.
	NATC-R3	Support in part	The rule is supported in that it provides for earthworks within riparian margins of a river not in a HNWB. An additional provision is requested in 1 to provide for earthworks that are associated with the maintenance, repair or operation of regionally significant infrastructure outside of the HNWB.	Amend rule to as follows: PER-5 The earthworks are required for the maintenance, repair or operation of regionally significant infrastructure.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			The notified provision 2. is supported for earthworks required for the maintenance and operation of existing roads within HNWB.	
NFL - Natural Fea	atures and Lan	dscapes		
Natural Features and Landscapes Policies	NFL-P2	Support in part	Waka Kotahi seeks an amendment to the policy. It is considered that the policy should provide for the upgrade, maintenance and operation of regionally significant infrastructure, such as the state highway, within the areas identified in SCHED8 and SCHED9. The policy should also recognise that there are operational or functional needs for regionally significant infrastructure to be within these areas.	Amend the policy as follows: Enable certain activities in Visual Amenity Landscapes, Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, including existing non-intensive primary production, small scale earthworks, maintenance of existing tracks and fences, upgrade, maintenance and the operation of regionally significant infrastructure, and underground utilities, that are consistent with: 1. protecting the identified values and characteristics of the Outstanding Natural Landscapes and Outstanding Natural Features described in SCHED8 – Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes and SCHED9 – Schedule of Outstanding Natural Features unless there is an operational or functional need; and
	NFL-P4	Support in part	The intent of the policy is supported. However, the policy should recognise that there is a functional or operational need for regionally significant infrastructure to be within SCHED8 or SCHED9. There are instances where there are no suitable alternatives, and the infrastructure must be located within these areas and they will likely have some impact on the landscapes or features.	Amend the policy as follows: Avoid subdivision, use and development within outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes that area not provided in NFL-P2, unless it: 4. will maintain natural landforms, natural processes and vegetation areas and patterns, or 5. is regionally significant infrastructure that has a functional or operational need to be located within outstanding natural landscapes and outstanding natural features described in SCHED8 — Schedule of outstanding natural landscapes and SCHED9 — Schedule of outstanding natural features.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
VS – Versatile Soi	il			
Versatile Soil Rules	VS-R1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports excluding the widening, upgrading and sealing of existing roads from the maximum coverage provision within the existing extent of the road reserve.	Retain as notified.
Subdivision	'	<u>'</u>		
SUB – Subdivision	n			
Subdivision Objectives	SUB-O1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports allowing for subdivision where this will have minimal adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain as notified.
	SUB-O2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports allowing subdivision where infrastructure has been provided in an integrated, efficient and co-ordinated manner.	Retain as notified.
Subdivision Policies	SUB-P5	Support	Waka Kotahi supports allowing for subdivision where this will not result in reverse sensitivity effects on regionally significant infrastructure	Retain as notified.
	SUB-P6	Support	 Waka Kotahi supports allowing for subdivision where: a) the infrastructure network has capacity to accommodate development or appropriate upgrades are completed to support this, b) new infrastructure is provided in an efficient and integrated way with existing or proposed infrastructure, c) multi-nodal and active transport links are appropriately considered; and, d) there is sufficient legal and physical access to each allotment 	Retain as notified
	SUB-P10	Support	Waka Kotahi supports allowing for subdivision where: a) vehicle crossing proliferation is minimised, b) the resulting road and access environment is safe and accessible, c) this provides for multi-modal travel options,	Retain as notified.
Subdivision Rules	SUB-R1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports including matters of control relating to:	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			 a) roading, accessways and right of ways, vehicle crossings and the associated connectivity, b) the provision, location, design, specification, construction, connection and timing of infrastructure, transport links, c) infrastructure capacity, d) legal and physical access arrangements; and, e) measures to manage effects. 	
	SUB-R2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports providing for new allotments to be created as a Controlled Activity for the purpose of roading.	Retain as notified.
	SUB-R3	Support	 Waka Kotahi supports including matters of discretion relating to: a) roading, accessways and right of ways, vehicle crossings and the associated connectivity, b) the provision, location, design, specification, construction, connection and timing of infrastructure, transport links, c) infrastructure capacity, d) legal and physical access arrangements; and, e) measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on infrastructure and measures to manage adverse effects. 	Retain as notified.
Subdivision Standards	SUB-S2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports requiring the alignment with the stormwater chapter and requiring written approval in regard to the acceptance of stormwater. Waka Kotahi holds regional consent to manage stormwater in the state highway network and need to ensure that these are not impacted from stormwater discharge from adjacent properties.	Retain as notified.
	SUB-S6	Support	Waka Kotahi supports not providing for vehicular access to a state highway without consultation with Waka Kotahi. This will ensure that appropriate consideration is given to achieve safe access to the state highway network.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
General District-				
EW - Earthworks	EW-O1	Support	EW-O1 seeks to recognise the requirement for earthworks that enable	Amend as follows:
Objectives		in part	subdivision and land development in the District. In the Introduction, reference is made to wider development and states that the effects from earthworks are also dealt with in other chapters, including the Infrastructure Chapter. However, EI-O2: Adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and EI-P2: managing adverse effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and other Infrastructure do not make any specific reference to earthworks required for infrastructure (operation, maintenance, upgrades or new infrastructure). Therefore, Waka Kotahi suggests that the need for earthworks related to regionally significant infrastructure is inserted into Objective EW-O1 as per the suggested wording, or alternatively (or as well as) in the Energy and Infrastructure chapter where consideration for transport as regionally significant infrastructure is sought with associated exclusions for other rules in the Plan. Furthermore, EW-P1 recognises the necessity of earthworks for the provision of utilities. This should be made more explicit in proposed EW-O1.	Earthworks facilitate subdivision and the use and development, including regionally significant infrastructure, of the District's land resource, while ensuring that its adverse effects on the surrounding environment are avoided or mitigated.
Earthworks Policies	EW-P1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports proposed EW-P2 as the policy recognises the benefits and necessity of earthworks for utility purposes. However, this should also be reflected in the Earthworks and Infrastructure and Energy objectives for consistency.	Retain as notified.
	EW-P4	Support	Waka Kotahi support proposed EW-P4 which seeks to protect Regionally Significant Infrastructure (of which the state highway is included) from the potential adverse effects from third parties undertaking earthworks.	Retain as notified.
Earthworks Rules	EW-R1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports proposed EW-R1 which provides an exemption for earthworks that are for infrastructure activities – as provided for in the Infrastructure and Energy chapter.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
FDA – Future Dev	elopment Area	a		
Future Development Area Objectives	FDA-02	Support	Waka Kotahi supports that the Future Development Areas shall not be developed until the land is rezoned and a comprehensive Development Area Plan is approved. This ensures that effects on infrastructure and the transport network are appropriately considered and managed through the process.	Retain as notified.
Future Development Area Policies	FDA-P4	Support	The policy is supported by Waka Kotahi as it requires that Development Area Plans address matters such as supporting quality compact urban form, future servicing needs, integration with the surrounding area, provision for multi-modal transport and connected transport networks. Spelling error: P4.8 'multi-nodal' to be replaced with 'multi-modal'.	Retain the policy as notified but amend the spelling error to replace with `multi-modal'.
LIGHT - Light				
General comment	General comments Support in part		Waka Kotahi seeks further consideration of the terminology used within the Light Chapter. 'Obtrusive light' addresses more types of lighting beyond just spill light, e.g. glare, upward light, luminous intensity and luminance of surface areas. The term obtrusive light aligns with AS/NZS4282; 2019.	Further consideration of including 'obtrusive light' terminology from the AS/NZS4282;2019.
Light Objectives	LIGHT-O2	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the objective, which recognises the benefits of artificial lighting, but an amendment is sought to replace road safety with 'transport and public areas'.	Amend objective: The benefits of artificial lighting are recognised while any adverse effects generated do not compromise the health and safety of people and communities, including road safety. the transport network and public areas.
Light Policies	LIGHT-P1	Support in part	An amendment is sought to change the word 'road' to transport and public area, and to change 'sky glow and light spill' to obtrusive light because sky glow is a cumulative area resultant from a combination of natural sky glow and artificial sky glow from varied light sources. Obtrusive light addresses more types of lighting beyond just spill light, e.g. glare, upward light, luminous intensity and luminance of surface areas.	Amend the policy to: 3. supports the social, cultural and economic wellbeing and health and safety of people and communities, including road safety the transport network and public areas; and

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			The term obtrusive light aligns with AS/NZS4282; 2019.	4. minimises sky glow and light spill <u>obtrusive light</u> , and
	LIGHT-P3	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the policy, which seeks to avoid all artificial outdoor lighting that does not meet the intensity, type, and direction requirements for light sensitive areas unless it is critical for health and safety reasons.	Retain as notified.
Light Rules	LIGHT-R1	Support in part	Waka Kotahi seeks further clarification on the criteria of PER-2, as it considered that PER-3 will sufficiently specifies the requirements of lighting adjoining Light Sensitive Areas. The use of 'is visible' from a Light Sensitive Area could require assessment from an extended distance.	Further clarification on the different requirements of PER-2 and PER-3.
Light Standards	LIGHT-S1	Support in part	The standard is generally supported. While it is acknowledged that the standard requires calculations to be made by a person who is professionally qualified and competent in the discipline, further clarification is sought on the parameters of measurements e.g. 1.5m above finished ground level with no influences such as fences, hedges or trees or moon light, night sky, etc.	Further clarification is sought on the parameters of measurements required under LIGHT-S1.
	LIGHT-S2	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the standard to manage outdoor artificial lighting on any site between sunset and sunrise on any state highway, arterial or principal road and that all exterior lighting shall be orientated away from any state highway or road or oncoming traffic.	Retain as notified.
NOISE - Noise				
Noise Objectives	NOISE-O2	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the intent of the policy as it recognises that the state highway should not be constrained by reverse sensitivity effects. However, protecting human health is considered to be the primary approach for managing reverse sensitivity effects. It is recommended that either the rule be amended to explicitly set out to protect human health for the noise sensitive activities in high noise environments.	Amend the objective as follows: Noise sensitive activities shall avoid reverse sensitivity effects to protect human health from noise generating activities such as Tthe Airport, Raceway, State Highway, railway lines and the Port and activities located within commercial, mixed use and Industrial zones are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities.
Noise Policies	NOISE-P5	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as it seeks to address reverse sensitivity by controlling new and altered noise sensitivity activities through location and	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			design. This is an appropriate approach for managing effects of noise on human health.	
Noise Rules	NOISE-R9	Oppose in part	Waka Kotahi is comfortable with the intent of such a rule but seeks amendments to address concerns with the state highway distances, PER-1, and parts of PER-2. State Highway Distances: The 40m and 80m distances from the state highway when there is a posted speed limit of 50km/h or less or greater than 50km/h, respectively, is appropriate for State Highway 8, State Highway 79 and 50km/h sections of State Highway 1. It is not appropriate for State Highway 1 when the speed is greater than 50km/h, as a 100m distance would provide better control to ensure human health is protected from noise effects from the state highway. The rule should be updated to provide for a 100m distance area from State Highway 1 where the speed is greater than 50km/h. An alternative option to consider is that variable noise contours are being developed by Waka Kotahi, which could be implemented as a state highway noise control overlay. If the shapefiles for these are available then Waka Kotahi would also support this approach, with associated amendments to the rule. It is anticipated that these will be available by the further submission stage. PER-1 The approach that PER-1 appears to be taking is that it specifies how much noise reduction the building has to provide. This approach can result in a deficiency in sound insulation is some houses (and parts of houses) and some with too much insulation due to the variable external traffic noise. The preference to Waka Kotahi is that the rule should specify the resulting noise inside of a habitable space as it is an effects based approach.	Amend the rule to increase the distance from the state highway in posted speeds of greater than 50km/h to 100m for State Highway 1 or use the variable noise contour approach which we expect to introduce to Council as part of the further submission process. Exclude road noise from PER-1.2. Amend PER-2 to replace '20m' with '50m'.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			PER-2 This permitted standard appears to provide for alternative pathways for compliance. There is concern that PER-2.b does not provide for an equivalent standard to the other pathways om PER-2.a and in PER-1. It is recommended that the distance in PER-2.b be amended from 20m to 50m.	
Noise Standards	NOISE-S3	Support in part	As per the submission point on NOISE-R9 – PER-1, there is concern over the approach used. It is recommended that the standard be updated to reflect the resulting noise inside of a habitable space as it is an effects based approach. There is also concern that the matters of discretion provide allowance for non-compliance without addressing the adverse effect. In addition to above, vibration and outdoor noise have not been recognised within this standard. These additional factors that could have an impact on human health, unless reverse sensitivity is appropriately addressed.	It is recommended that the standard be amended as follows: Remove road-traffic from NOISE-S3.1 and add a new section pas NOISE-S.3 requiring internal levels in habitable rooms of 40 dB LAeq(24h), external levels of 57 dB LAeq(24h) in outdoor living spaces, and within 20m of a state highway vibration limit of 0.3 mm/s vw95. Compliance to be demonstrated by design certificate. Delete matters of discretion for NOISE-S3.3 and replace with a single matter being the effects of exceedances.
	NOISE-S4	Support in part	The standard is generally supported. However, it is recommended that it be amended to recognise and provide for thermal comfort and cooling requirements for all habitable rooms. There is also concern that the matters of discretion provide allowance for non-compliance without addressing the adverse effects.	It is recommended that the standard be amended as follows: 1. The minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-S3 must be achieved at the same time as the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be provided within all habitable rooms any study or bedroom unless an acoustic design certificate signed by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer is provided that states the design of any bedroom or any study as proposed will comply with the NOISE-S3 acoustic insulation standards with windows open. 2. Ventilation systems where installed must generate sound levels and temperatures not exceeding: a. 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
				 b. provide an adjustable airflow rate of up to at least 6 air changes per hour; and. c. Maintain a temperature that does not exceed 25°C
SIGN - Signs				
Signs Policies	SIGN-P1	Oppose in part	Waka Kotahi supports this policy as it identifies permitted signs that are compatible with the purpose, character and qualities of the zone in which they are located. However, it is unclear whether Traffic Control Devices (Road Signs) are identified within this policy.	It is recommended that this policy is amended to include official signs which while may not be in keeping with the zone purpose or qualities are required for health and safety reasons.
	SIGN-P2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as notified to manage road safety.	Retain as notified
	SIGN-P3	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as notified which seeks to limit off-site commercial advertising signs.	Retain as notified
Signs Rules	SIGN-R1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule as it provides for a permitted activity status for official signs.	Retain as notified.
Signs Standards	SIGN -S1	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally support SIGN-S1, however, request that clause 3 be amended to cover all signs visible from a road. Council should also consider whether additional standards should be included within this standard next to Table 27 & 28. This could include sight distances based on posted/operating speeds, setbacks from Traffic Control Devices, or maximum number of words/elements. The Traffic Control Devices Manual – Part 3 Advertising Signs (Appendix 1) gives direction to these standards to reduce potential traffic safety effects.	Amend as follows: 3. All signs within 10 horizontal metres of visible from a road must comply with the minimum lettering sizes in Table 27 – Minimum lettering size.
	SIGN-S2	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports this standard (clause 8) which states that no digital billboard shall be located adjoining a state highway. However, it is sought that the standard also provides for discretion of adverse effects on traffic safety, which is a key consideration when assessing digital billboards as they have the ability to distract the attention of road users and result in traffic safety effects.	Waka Kotahi request that the matters of discretion be amended to include traffic safety. Suggested wording is as follows: *Matters of discretion are restricted to* 1. the frequency and intensity of flashing and/or image change; and

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	SIGN-S5	Oppose in part	Waka Kotahi supports this standard which limits the number of temporary signs per site, however, if this standard is not met, Waka Kotahi suggest that the matters of discretion should be extended to include potential effects on traffic safety.	 extent of illumination when visible from a public place or neighbouring property; and impact on surrounding activities; and impacts on the amenity and character of the surrounding environment; and whether the sign would result in any direct light overspill onto a residential property or the road network; and any adverse effects on traffic safety; and any positive effects of the sign. Waka Kotahi request that the matters of discretion be amended to include traffic safety. Suggested wording is as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to: any impact on the character and amenity values of the surrounding area; and whether the sign contributes to visual clutter; and any adverse cumulative effects; and any adverse effects on traffic safety; and
	SIGN-S6	Oppose in part	Waka Kotahi supports this standard which limits the number of signs (excluding official and temporary signs) per site, however, if this standard is not met, Waka Kotahi suggest that the matters of discretion should be extended to include potential effects on traffic safety.	 5. any positive effects of the sign. Waka Kotahi request that the matters of discretion be amended to include traffic safety. Suggested wording is as follows: Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. any impact on the character and amenity values of the surrounding area; and 2. whether the sign contributes to visual clutter; and 3. any adverse cumulative effects; and 4. any adverse effects on traffic safety; and 5. any positive effects of the sign.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/R	easons			Relief Sought
	Table 27	Oppose in part	depending on the the Traffic Contro advertising signs Waka Kotahi sug Table 6.2 of the	e speed limit and ol Devices Manua gest that Table 2 TCD Manual. The	main/secondary n I provides recomm 7 should be amen table is provided	num lettering size nessaging. Table 6.2 of nended letter sizing for ded to better reflect below: badside advertising	Amend Table 27 to better reflect the minimum lettering size as set out in Table 6.2 of the TCD Manual.
			Posted speed Letter height				
			limit (km/h)	Main message	Property name	Secondary message	
			50	150	100	75	
			60	175	125	90	
			70	200	150	100	
			80	250	175	125	
			100	300	200	150	
	Table 28	Oppose in part	suggest that Tab distance set out i below:	le 28 should be a in Table 5.3 of th	mended to better e TCD Manual. Th	eed limit. Waka Kotahi reflect the separation e table is provided adside advertising signs	Clarification is requested from the Council regarding the separation distances and how these should apply. In addition, consideration of the recommended separation distances as set out in Table 5.3 of the TCD Manual should be considered.
			Posted speed lin		n recommended	Desirable spacing	
			(km/h)	spacing	(m)	(m)	
			50	50		80	
			60	55		100	

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons			Relief Sought
			70	60	150	
			80	70	200	
			100	80	250	
TEMP – Tempora	ry Activities					
Temporary Activities Policies	TEMP-PX	Support in part	TEMP-P1: Benefits of temporary activities acknowledges the benefits of temporary activities as a whole, but it does not give consideration to potential adverse effects. While Waka Kotahi do not have concerns with the policy as proposed, it is suggested that a new policy is inserted which seeks to manage the potential adverse effects of temporary activities. Policies TEMP-P2, TEMP-P3 and TEMP-P4 all recognise the potential adverse effects of the activities they relate to, however, there are temporary activities outside of those covered by P2, P3 and P4. Therefore, Waka Kotahi suggest that a new policy is inserted which covers the management of effects for any temporary activity.			Insert a new policy as follows: TEMP-PX — Managing adverse effects of temporary activities Provide for temporary activities while managing adverse effects on the surrounding environment, including regionally significant infrastructure.
	TEMP-P2	Support	recognises the important	roposed policy TEMP-P2, spece of ensuring temporary acciency of the transport netwo	tivities do not adversely	Retain as notified
Part 3 – Area Spe	ecific Matters					
Zones						
RESZ - Residentia	al Zones					
GRZ – General Re	esidential Zone	•				
General Residential Zone Policies	GRZ-P2	Support in part	that there are adverse of people and vehicles f	is generally supported. How effects beyond amenity valu- for non-residential activities. to broaden the scope of adv	es from the movement It is considered that	Amend the policy as follows: Enable home business, small-scale non-residential activities where: 1. they are compatible with the character and qualities of the surrounding area; and 2. any home-based business is ancillary to a residential activity; and

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	GRZ-P5	Oppose in part	It is considered that there are adverse effects beyond amenity values from the movement of people and vehicles for non-residential activities. Therefore, an amendment is sought to the policy to consider safety of the transport network to capture appropriate effects that can result from incompatible activities with the General Residential zone.	 they do not result in adverse effects on the amenity values of adjoining sites arising from the movement of people and vehicles associated with the activity that cannot be mitigated; and the hours of operation are compatible with residential amenity. Amend as follows: Avoid activities that are likely to be incompatible or inconsistent with the character, qualities and purpose of the General residential zone, unless: the activity is such a small scale that it will not have any adverse effects on residential amenity or safety of the transport network; or the site adjoins a zone that permits that activity and the activity will not have any adverse effects on residential amenity; or GRZ-P4 is complied with.
General Residential Zone Rules	GRZ-R2	Support	The rule and the associated matters of discretion where PER-1 is not complied with are generally supported as they provide for consideration on the design of the access.	Retain as notified.
	GRZ-R4	Support	The rule is supported as it requires that home business requires compliance with GRZ-S11, which has consideration for traffic generation.	Retain as notified.
	GRZ-R12	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule for emergency service facilities in the general rural zone, as it provides for matters of discretion that consider access and signage.	Retain as notified.
General Residential Zone Standards	GRZ-S11	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the standards for home business with the matters of discretion that relate to traffic generation.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
MRZ – Medium De	ensity Reside	ential Zone		
Medium Density Residential Zone Policies	MRZ-P4	Support in part	The policy is generally supported by Waka Kotahi. However, home business activities should consider effects beyond amenity values. It is recommended that the policy be amended to ensure that home business activities do not comprise the safety of pedestrians and cyclists or on the transport network.	Amend the policy to include: <u>x. does not compromise the safety of pedestrians, cyclists or on the transport network.</u>
	MRZ-P6	Support in part	The policy is generally supported by Waka Kotahi. However, non-residential activities should consider effects beyond amenity values. It is recommended that the policy be amended to ensure that home business activities do not comprise the safety of pedestrians and cyclists or on the transport network.	Amend the policy to include: <u>x. does not compromise the safety of pedestrians, cyclists or on the transport network.</u>
	MRZ-P7	Support in part	The intent of the policy is generally supported. However, it is considered that there are adverse effects beyond amenity values from the movement of people and vehicles for non-residential activities. It is considered that the policy be amended to broaden the scope of adverse effects.	Amend as follows: Avoid activities that are likely to be incompatible or inconsistent with the character, qualities and purpose of the General Medium Density residential zone, unless: 4. the activity is such a small scale that it will not have any adverse effects on residential amenity or safety of the transport network; or
Medium Density Residential Zone Rules	MRZ-R2	Support	The rule and the associated matters of discretion where PER-1 is not complied with are generally supported as they provide for consideration on the design of the access.	Retain as notified.
	MRZ-R4	Support	The rule is supported as it requires that home businesses require compliance with MRZ-S8, which considers the maximum number of vehicle trips and traffic generation.	Retain as notified.
	MRZ-R14	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule for emergency service facilities in the general rural zone, as it provides for matters of discretion that consider access and signage.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
Medium Density Residential Standards	MRZ-S8	Support	The standard is supported as it sets out appropriate standards for the maximum number of vehicle trips for a home business per site. It also sets out that traffic generation is a matter of discretion, which ensures that transport effects can be considered when the standard is not achieved.	Retain as notified.
RURZ – Rural Zor				
GRUZ – General F	Rural Zone			
General Rural Zone Objectives	GRUZ-O5	Support in Part	The objective is generally supported; however, Waka Kotahi supports allowing mining and quarrying where it can be demonstrated that this will not impact on the safe and efficient function of the state highway networks. It is considered that the objective requires amending to align with GRUZ-P6.	Amend as follows: Mining and quarrying occurs in the General Rural Zone where the resource exists and where it will have no or minimal adverse effects on the transport network, sensitive environments and sensitive activities.
General Rural Zone Policies	GRUZ-P6	Support	Waka Kotahi supports allowing mining and quarrying activities where there is suitable and safe vehicle access, and the road network can accommodate the activity safely and efficiently.	Retain as notified.
	GRUZ-P7	Support	Waka Kotahi supports allowing rural industries and other activities where there is adequate infrastructure and where the scale location and intensity will not compromise the safety and efficiency of the roading network.	Retain as notified.
General Rural Zone Rules	GRUZ-R12	Support in Part	The rule is generally supported; however, Waka Kotahi seeks to control rural produce retail on the state highway in speed environments with a speed limit greater than 50km/h. Rural retail activities can result in additional vehicle movements and vehicle manoeuvring in locations that may not be suitable for these to occur. It is considered that the speed limit for permitted rural retail activities be amended from 80km/h to 50km/h to ensure that the safe and efficient function of the state highway is maintained.	Amend as follows: PER-3 The access to the retail area is from a road, except where the road is a state highway with a speed limit greater than \(\frac{80km/h}{50km/h}\); and
	GRUZ-R21	Support	Waka Kotahi supports only allowing rural industry activities where the activity does not adversely impact on the safe and efficient operation of the road network.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	GRUZ-R23	Support in Part	The rule is generally supported; however, Waka Kotahi seeks that for any quarry expansion activity this must demonstrate that this will not impact on the safe and efficient function of the state highway networks. It is considered that the matters of discretion need to relate to the effects on the road network.	Amend the matters of discretion to include: the extent of adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network
RLZ – Rural Lifes	tyle Zone			
Rural Lifestyle Zone Rules	RLZ-R12	Support in part	The rule is generally supported; however, Waka Kotahi seeks to control rural produce retail on State Highway's in speed environments with a speed limit greater than 50km/h. Rural retail activities can result in additional vehicle movements and vehicle manoeuvring in locations that may not be suitable for these to occur. It is considered that the speed limit for permitted rural retail activities be amended from 80km/h to 50km/h to ensure that the safe and efficient function of the state highway is maintained.	Amend as follows: PER-4 Access to the retail area is not from a state highway with a speed limit greater than 80km/h 50km/h; and
SETZ – Settlemer	nt Zone			
Settlement Zone Rules	SETZ-R9	Support in part	The rule is generally supported; however, Waka Kotahi seeks that community facilities this must demonstrate that this will not impact on the safe and efficient function of the state highway network. It is considered that the matters of control need to relate to the effects on the road network.	Amend the matters of control to include: the extent of adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network
	SETZ-R10	Support in part	The rule is generally supported; however, Waka Kotahi seeks that cafés must demonstrate that this will not impact on the safe and efficient function of the state highway networks. It is considered that the matters of control need to relate to the effects on the road network.	Amend the matters of control to include: the extent of adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network
	SETZ-R11	Support in part	The rule is generally supported; however, Waka Kotahi seeks those industrial activities within existing industrial buildings must demonstrate that this will not impact on the safe and efficient function of the state	Amend the matters of control to include: the extent of adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			highway networks. It is considered that the matters of control need to relate to the effects on the road network.	
	SETZ-R13	Support in part	The rule is generally supported; however, Waka Kotahi seeks industrial activities must demonstrate that this will not impact on the safe and efficient function of the state highway networks. It is considered that the matters of discretion need to relate to the effects on the road network.	Amend the matters of discretion to include: the extent of adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network
CMUZ – Commerc	cial and Mixed	Use Zones		
NCZ - Neighbour	hood Centre Z	one		
Neighbourhood Centre Zone Objectives	NCZ-O2	Support in part	The objective is generally supported as it ensures that Neighbourhood Centres are established in locations that are easily accessible by walking and cycling, and that there are well designed parking areas that are easily accessible from the road network. However, it should also recognise that these connections must be safe.	Amend as follows: The character and qualities of the Neighbourhood centre zone comprise: 4. well-designed parking areas that are easily accessible and safe from the road network and integrate with the design of the site.
Neighbourhood Centre Zone Policies	NCZ-P1	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the policy, which seeks to enable a range of small-scale commercial activities, that serve the surrounding neighbourhood but are of a scale that do not detract from the Local Centre or City Centre Zones. The Neighbourhood Centre zone promotes walkable catchments and promotes multi-modal transport.	Retain as notified.
Neighbourhood Centre Zone Standards	NCZ-S5	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the standard that requires any outdoor storage areas, except for the display of goods for retail sale, to be fully screened by a fence of not less than 2m in height so that is not visible from adjoining sites and roads. This is supports as it will reduce distraction from users of the transport network. An amendment is sought that any fence posts required by this standard adjacent to a state highway, which has a legal speed limit that exceeds 70km/hr shall have a maximum diameter of 100mm to ensure frangibility of an errant vehicle.	Amendment sought to require any fencing adjacent to a State Highway, where the speed limit exceeds 70km/hr, must not have a maximum diameter that exceed 100mm.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
LCZ – Local Centr	e Zone			
Local Centre Zone Policies	LCZ-P3	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy, particularly as it seeks to ensure local centres are integrated with streets and public spaces and provides a high quality pedestrian experience.	Retain as notified.
Local Centre Zone Standards	LCZ-S4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the standard that requires any outdoor storage areas, except for the display of goods for retail sale, to be fully screened by a fence of not less than 2m in height so that is not visible from adjoining sites and roads. This is supports as it will reduce distraction from users of the transport network. An amendment is sought that any fence posts required by this standard adjacent to a state highway, which has a legal speed limit that exceeds 70km/hr shall have a maximum diameter of 100mm to ensure frangibility of an errant vehicle.	Amend sought to require any fencing adjacent to a State Highway, where the speed limit exceeds 70km/hr, must not have a maximum diameter that exceed 100mm.
LFRZ – Large Form	nat Retail Zon	ie		
Large Format Retail Zone Policies	LFRZ-P4	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the policy, which seeks to avoid land-use activities being open for business and available to the public within the Large Format Retail Zone prior to the construction and operation of a signalized intersection at Grants Road and State 1 and the zone being fenced along the rail corridor.	Retain as notified.
Large Format Retail Zone Rules	LFRZ-R9	Support	The rule is supported to as new buildings and structures within the Large Format Retail Zone is restricted discretionary, and the matters of discretion include public transport and vehicle and pedestrian access.	Retain as notified.
Large Format Retail Zone Standards	LFRZ-S4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the standard that requires any outdoor storage areas, except for the display of goods for retail sale, to be fully screened by a fence of not less than 2m in height so that is not visible from adjoining sites and roads. This is supports as it will reduce distraction from users of the transport network.	Amend sought to require any fencing adjacent to a State Highway, where the speed limit exceeds 70km/hr, must not have a maximum diameter that exceed 100mm.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			An amendment is sought that any fence posts required by this standard adjacent to a state highway, which has a legal speed limit that exceeds 70km/hr shall have a maximum diameter of 100mm to ensure frangibility of an errant vehicle.	
	LFRZ-S6	Support	The standard is supported that requires land use activities not to open for business prior to the signalised intersection at Grants Road and State Highway 1 being constructed and operation, and the 1.8m fence being installed along the rail corridor.	Retain as notified.
MUZ – Mixed Use	Zone			
Mixed Use Zone Objectives	MUZ-O2	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the objective, which seeks to accommodate large numbers of people, and is well integrated with public transport, walking and cycling connections and which provides a safe and functional working and residential environment that is consistent with the activities provided for within the Zone.	Retain as notified.
Mixed Use Zone Rules	MUZ-R10	Support	The rule is supported as new buildings and structures within the Mixed Use Zone are controlled, and the matters of control include consideration of pedestrian and traffic safety.	Retain as notified.
Mixed Use Zone Standards	MUZ-S4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the standard that requires any outdoor storage areas, except for the display of goods for retail sale, to be fully screened by a fence of not less than 2m in height so that is not visible from adjoining sites and roads. This will reduce distraction from users of the transport network. An amendment is sought that any fence posts required by this standard adjacent to a state highway, which has a legal speed limit that exceeds 70km/hr shall have a maximum diameter of 100mm to ensure frangibility of an errant vehicle.	Amendments sought to require any fencing adjacent to a State Highway, where the speed limit exceeds 70km/hr, must not have a maximum diameter that exceed 100mm.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought		
TCZ – Town Centr	e Zone					
Town Centre Zone Rules	TCZ-R6	Support	TCZ-R6.2 is supported as it identifies that buildings and structures in the Town Centre Zone – Temuka are a controlled activity. The matters of control considers how development should address the street frontage by providing a pedestrian-focused environment, and for Vine Street, that the design and layout of car parking is at the rear of buildings but has adequate access and manoeuvring space. The matters of control also consider the practicality and adequacy of existing or proposed connections to King Street.	Retain as notified.		
	TCZ-R8	Support	The rule is supported for emergency service facilities as a controlled activity. In particular the matters of control as they include the location access, the design and layout of on-site pedestrian connections, traffic generation and impact on transport network.	Retain as notified.		
Town Centre Zone Standards	TCZ-S4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the standard that requires any outdoor storage areas, except for the display of goods for retail sale, to be fully screened by a fence of not less than 2m in height so that is not visible from adjoining sites and roads. This will reduce distraction from users of the transport network. An amendment is sought that any fence posts required by this standard adjacent to a state highway, which has a legal speed limit that exceeds 70km/hr shall have a maximum diameter of 100mm to ensure frangibility of an errant vehicle.	Amendments sought to require any fencing adjacent to a State Highway, where the speed limit exceeds 70km/hr, must not have a maximum diameter that exceed 100mm.		
GIZ – General Ind	lustrial Zone					
GIZ – General Ind	GIZ – General Industrial Zone					
General Industrial Zone Objectives	GIZ-O3	Support in part	Waka Kotahi requests that this objective be amended so that it is recognised that the industrial zone shall not compromise the safe and efficient operation of the transport network. An amendment to this effect is suggested.	Amend as follows: Use and development in the General Industrial Zone:		

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
				 is located so that it can be appropriately serviced by infrastructure and does not compromise the safe operation of existing infrastructure; and is not compromised by the establishment of sensitive activities; and does not compromise the strategic role and function of any of the Commercial and Mixed Use Zones; and maintains the amenity values of adjacent Residential and Open Space and Recreation Zones.
General Industrial Zone Policies	GIZ-P1	Support in part	Waka Kotahi request that this policy be amended to acknowledge the potential effects industrial activities can have on the transport network. As such, Waka Kotahi have suggested an amendment to the policy to include this.	 Enable a range of industrial activities and associated activities where: ancillary activities are conducted on the same site as the primary industrial activity; and does not include residential activities; and do not adversely affect the safe and efficient operation of the transport network; and they are compatible and complementary to the purpose, character and qualities of the General Industrial Zone.
	GIZ-P2	Support in part	Off-site industrial activities ancillary to the primary activity has the potential to adversely affect the safe and efficient operation of the transport network such that Waka Kotahi requests an amendment to this policy to address the potential effects.	Only allow industrial ancillary activities on a different site of the primary industrial activity where: 1. they are conducted on an adjoining or adjacent site as the primary industrial activity; and 2. they do not undermine the purpose, viability and function of any of the Commercial and Mixed Use Zones; and 3. they do not adversely affect the safe and efficient operation of the transport network; and 4. they are compatible and complementary to the purpose, character and qualities of the General Industrial Zone.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	GIZ-R2	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the matters of discretion listed when PER-3 is not complied with, as the potential effects on the roading network and road safety are acknowledged. However, as per the above comments on the objectives and policies of the zone, these effects should be included in the policy framework.	As above.
OSRZ – Open Spa	ce and Recrea	tion Zones		
OSZ – Open Space	e Zone			
Open Space Zone Objectives	OSZ-O2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports that the Open Space Zone should be safe and accessible users, which includes pedestrians, cyclists, motorists, and other active modes of transport.	Retain as notified.
SARZ – Sport and	Active Recrea	ntion Zone		
Sport and Active Recreation Zone Objectives	SARZ-O2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports that the Sport and Active Recreation Zone should be safe and accessible users, which includes pedestrians, cyclists, motorists, and other active modes of transport.	Retain as notified.
Development Are	as			
DEV1 – Broughs (Gully Resident	ial Developm	ent Area	
DEV1 Objectives	DEV1-O1	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the objective, which seeks to ensure development is established in a comprehensive manner, particularly as it recognises that residential development is integrated and coordinated with infrastructure and the road and pedestrian network is efficient, connected and safe.	Retain as notified.
DEV1 Standards	DEV1-S1	Support	The standard is supported, which requires developers to establish new roads to be constructed in general accordance with the Development Area Plan prior to the land use, subdivision or development and prior to any new buildings being occupied.	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
DEV2 – Gleniti Re	sidential Deve	elopment Are	a	
DEV2 Objectives	DEV2-O1	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the objective, which seeks to ensure development is established in a comprehensive manner, particularly as it recognises that residential development is integrated and coordinated with infrastructure and the road and pedestrian network is efficient, connected and safe.	Retain as notified.
DEV2 Standards	DEV2-S1	Support	The standard is supported, which requires developers to establish new roads to be constructed in general accordance with the Development Area Plan prior to the land use, subdivision or development and prior to any new buildings being occupied.	Retain as notified.
DEV3 – Washdyke	Industrial De	evelopment A	Area	
DEV3 Objectives	DEV3-O1	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the objective, which seeks to ensure development is established in a comprehensive manner, particularly as it recognises that residential development is integrated and coordinated with infrastructure and the road and pedestrian network is efficient, connected and safe.	Retain as notified.
DEV2 Standards	DEV3-S1	Support	The standard is supported, which requires developers to establish new roads to be constructed in general accordance with the Development Area Plan prior to the land use, subdivision or development and prior to any new buildings being occupied.	Retain as notified.
DEV4 – Temuka N	lorth West Res	sidential Dev	elopment Area	
DEV4 Objectives	DEV4-01	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the objective, which seeks to ensure development is established in a comprehensive manner, particularly as it recognises that residential development is integrated and coordinated with infrastructure and the road and pedestrian network is efficient, connected and safe.	Retain as notified.
DEV4 Standards	DEV4-S1	Support	The standard is supported, which requires developers to establish new roads to be constructed in general accordance with the Development Area	Retain as notified.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
			Plan prior to the land use, subdivision or development and prior to any new buildings being occupied.	
Designations				
NZTA - New Zeala	and Transport	Agency		
Part 3 — Area Specific Matters Designations	Purpose of the designation NZTA-1 NZTA-2 NZTA-3 NZTA-4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the purpose of the NZTA-1, NZTA-2, NZTA-3 and NZTA-4 designations as notified. However, the designation purpose as notified is inconsistent with the purpose of our designation as recorded in district plans across New Zealand. To achieve national consistency and clarity, Waka Kotahi recommends Council amend the designation purpose for NZTA-1, NZTA-2, NZTA-3 and NZTA-4 as per the relief sought.	Amend as follows: <u>To construct, operate, maintain, and improve a state highway and associated infrastructure.</u>
	Site identifier NZTA-1	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the site identifier description for designation NZTA-1 as notified. However, to provide greater clarity and consistency it is recommended that minor amendments are made to the site identifier text.	Amend as follows: State Highway 1 From the Ashburton District Council boundary in the north to the Waimate District Council boundary in the south.
	Site identifier NZTA-2	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the site identifier description for designation NZTA-2 as notified. However, to provide greater clarity and consistency it is recommended that minor amendments are made to the site identifier text.	Amend as follows: State Highway 8 From the Mackenzie District Council boundary in the west to the intersection with State Highway 1, Washdyke in the east.
	Site identifier NZTA-3	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the site identifier description for designation NZTA-3 as notified. However, to provide greater clarity and consistency it is recommended that minor amendments are made to the site identifier text.	Amend as follows: State Highway 78 From the Intersection with State Highway 1 ₂ at Timaru in the west to Marine Parade, Timaru in the east.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	Site identifier NZTA-4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the site identifier description for designation NZTA-4 as notified. However, to provide greater clarity and consistency it is recommended that minor amendments are made to the site identifier text.	Amend as follows: State Highway 79 From the Mackenzie District Council boundary in the west to the intersection with State Highway 1 at Rangitata in the east.
	Lapse date or Identification the designation has been given effect to NZTA-1 NZTA-2 NZTA-3 NZTA-4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the lapse date descriptions as notified. However, to provide greater clarity and consistency it is recommended that minor amendments are made to the lapse date descriptions for designations NZTA-1, NZTA-2, NZTA-3 and NZTA-4.	Amend as follows: *Designation has been given effect to (i.e. no lapse date) *Given effect to* *Given effect effet effect effect effect effect effect effect effect effect effet effect effect effect effet
	Designation Hierarchy NZTA-1 NZTA-3 NZTA-4	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the inclusion of designations NZTA-1, NZTA-3 and NZTA-4. For clarity it is recommended the designation hierarchy be amended from 'primary' to 'varies' as there are sections of state highway designation that overlap with KiwiRail's designations.	Amend as follows: Primary Varies
	Designation Hierarchy NZTA-2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the designation hierarchy for designation NZTA-2 as notified.	Retain as notified
	Conditions (p) and (r)	Oppose	The designation schedule for NZTA-1 excludes reference to the recommended conditions dated 8 January 2003 on the Notice of Requirement to realign State Highway 1 at Normanby. Transit New Zealand	Amend as follows: <u>Traffic noise effects</u>

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Rel	ief Sought
	NZTA-1		as the requiring authority accepted these conditions on 11 March 2003. The construction works to realign this section of State Highway 1 have been completed so the designation has been given effect to. Waka Kotahi continues to maintain this section of State Highway 1 in accordance with conditions (p) and (r). However, because this designation has been given effect to Waka Kotahi recommends Council update conditions (p) and (r) as recommended through the relief provided. Waka Kotahi therefore opposes the conditions within the schedule for designation NZTA-1 and recommends Council insert updated conditions (p) and (r). We also recommend Council update the number of these conditions at the same time.	(p)	A 300 m length of "Open Graded Porous Asphalt (OGPA)" quiet road surfacing, or an alternative form of quiet road surfacing that will achieve at least the equivalent traffic noise level, will be applied within 12 months of completion of the realignment and maintained between meterage points 5900 and 6200 shown on the aerial photos attached in Appendix 3 of the Addendum, to ensure traffic noise levels at the McGlinchy, Donaldson and Paul properties meet or remain within the levels recommended by the Transit Guidelines. A 400 m length of OGPA quiet road surfacing, or an alternative form of quiet road surfacing that will achieve at least the equivalent traffic noise level, be applied within 12 months of completion of the realignment and will be maintained between meterage points 6400 and 6800 shown on the aerial photos attached in Appendix 3 of the November 2002 Addendum, so as to ensure traffic noise levels at the Monson, Sturgeon and Barrett properties remain within the 1999 Transit Guidelines.
	Condition (o) NZTA-1	Oppose	The designation schedule for NZTA-1 excludes reference to the conditions on the Notice of Requirement to realign State Highway 1 at Normanby. The construction works to realign this section of State Highway 1 have been completed. The Waka Kotahi network maintenance contract for State Highway 1 includes a requirement for our contractor to mow the road reserve including the section referenced in condition (o). Our day to day network maintenance therefore complies with condition (o) Retaining this condition consequently creates an unnecessary administrative task for Council. Waka Kotahi recommends Council remove condition (o) from designation NZTA-1.		Alongside the highway, where fences are placed 13 m from the edge of the road in fill and 9 m from the edge of the road in cut, the areas between the road edge and fences are to be mown at intervals not greater than 3 monthly with particular attention being given to weed control in such areas. Mowing requirements may be reduced through the use of slower-growing grasses.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	Conditions (a)-(n), (q), (s)-(v) NZTA-1	Oppose	The designation schedule for NZTA-1 excludes reference to the conditions on the Notice of Requirement to realign State Highway 1 at Normanby. The works to realign this section of State Highway 1 have been completed. Consequently, conditions (a)-(n), (q), (s)-(v) have been given effect to, as they are primarily construction conditions. Waka Kotahi therefore recommends Council remove conditions (a)-(n), (q), (s)-(v) from designation NZTA-1.	Remove the following conditions: Construction noise (a) Transit will comply with the requirement of the New Zealand Standard NZS 6803:1999 "Acousties — Construction Noise". Dust emissions during construction, and potential short term adverse landscape/visual effects (b) Vegetation removal is to be kept to the minimum necessary for construction purposes. (c) Dust nuisance is to be mitigated during construction, excavation, and the movement of soil and machinery by dampening soil with water. (d) The extent of any stockpile areas is to be kept to a minimum, and such areas shall be reinstated for appropriate use following the conclusion of construction. (e) Cuts and fills are to be re vegetated. Areas that are re sown in grass will be used for grazing or mown as appropriate and will be kept stock free until the grass can support grazing. Potential long term landscape/visual effects (f) Cut slopes are to be reduced to a gradient of 4:1. (g) Fill slopes are to be at a gradient of 3:1 or, if possible 4:1. (h) Vegetation clearance will be kept to the minimum necessary for construction purposes. (i) Cuts and fills are to be re vegetated. Sown areas that are intended for grazing will be kept stock free until the grass can support grazing.

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief So	ought
				the stute the control of the control	ase sections of the existing State Highway 1 that will not provide a perty access or access to the new section of highway are to be a proved and re-instated as may be appropriate in each case. The pay where the new alignment parts from the existing highway is to screened with a small stand of trees to mark the change of road anment and mask the remnant line of the old highway. Those actions of the existing State Highway 1 that will remain in place to exide property access or access to the new section of highway will we their status as "state highway" uplifted, and responsibility for use sections of road will thereafter transfer to the Timaru District sancil. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of fill are to be restoured and re-vegetated. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of fill are to be restoured and re-vegetated. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of fill are to be restoured and re-vegetated. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of fill are to be restoured and re-vegetated. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of fill are to be restoured and re-vegetated. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of fill are to be re-vegetated. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of fill are to be re-vegetated. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of fill are to be re-vegetated. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of fill are to be re-vegetated. The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of the batter than a crib wall indicated along the cut at the base of the batter than a crib wall). The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of the batter than a crib wall shall constructed along the cut at the base of the batter than a crib wall). The sused for disposal of soil or borrow of the batter than a crib wall shall constructed along the cut at the base of the batter than a crib wall the less and the Barretts, so as to screen the highway as viewed from a struction commencing. Following such verification, the plans will implemented as part of the works and shall include provision of o

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
				Traffic noise effects (q) For the Ellen's house, in addition to the quiet surfacing to be applied and maintained between meterage points 5900 and 6200, Transit
				will undertake measurements of traffic noise levels at this property within a period of three months after construction of the revised alignment is completed, to determine whether further noise mitigation is needed. Should such mitigation be required, Transit shall thereafter give effect to the most appropriate further traffic noise mitigation method, which may include either sound insulation, and/or relocation of the house on site, or removal of the house.
				(s) For the Fosters' property, Transit will undertake measurements of traffic noise levels at this property within three months following the completion of construction of the deviation, to determine whether any noise mitigation is required in order to bring the existing dwelling within Transit guidelines. If shown to be necessary, Transit shall give effect to such mitigation. Mitigation may include sound insulation of the dwelling or quiet surfacing to be applied and maintain to the State Highway.
				(t) For the Barretts' property, Transit will undertake measurements of traffic noise levels at this property within three months following the completion of construction of the deviation. Such measurements are to determine whether the proposed application of OGPA as per condition (r) has brought the existing dwelling within Transit guidelines, or whether sound insulation of the dwelling is required. If such insulation is shown to be necessary, it shall be provided by Transit so as to bring the existing dwelling within Transit guidelines.
				<i>Watercourses</i>

Proposed Plan Amendment Section	Item	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought			
	Additional Information NZTA-1 NZTA-2 NZTA-3 NZTA-4	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the additional information for designations NZTA-1, NZTA-2 NZTA-3 and NZTA-4 as notified.	(u) Any water flow in the ephemeral watercourses crossed by the proposed new section of highway is to be maintained through the construction process. Cultural and heritage values (v) Appropriate cultural and heritage protocols are to be included in the construction contract documentation and subsequently observed during construction works, should any artefacts or other items of archaeological, cultural or spiritual significance be discovered during site works. Retain as notified			
Designations	Planning maps	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the inclusion of the state highway designations shown on the planning maps as notified.	Retain as notified			
Part 4 – Appendices and Schedules							
Schedules							
SCHED15 – Schedule of Future Development Areas							
FDA1, FDA2, FDA4		Oppose in part	Waka Kotahi recognises that the land identified for residential development that are identified as Future Development Areas (FDA) 1, 2 and 4 are adjacent to existing urban areas and that it will subject to addressing matters under FDA-P4. However, there are several considerations that need to be given to determine whether this land is appropriate to be rezoned to residential land, such as:	Consider the matters described to determine whether these FDAs are appropriate to be rezoned to residential zoning.			

Proposed Plan Item Amendment Section	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
		 Development of this land will occur on the outskirts of the existing Timaru urban environment. This land will open up additional capacity which may hinder demand within the existing urban environment, such as infill within the Medium Density Zoning adjacent to the Timaru town centre. Intensification of existing areas would achieve better transport outcomes compared to greenfield development. It is understood that the Housing Capacity Assessment has set that this land should be developed to achieve a minimum density of 12 households per hectare. This is inconsistent with the agreed approach from the Grierson Greenfield Density Analysis Technical Report, which is to achieve a minimum density of 15 householders per hectare unless there are demonstrated constraints, then a minimum of 12 households per hectares shall apply. The FDAs would need to be assessed against Government Policy, such as the National Policy Statements on Urban Development (NPS-UD) and Highly Productive Land (NPS-HPL). How will these FDA's consider Central Government direction on climate change implications and how will it achieve transport outcomes sought under the Emissions Reduction Plan (ERP) to reduce vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT's) and transport related emissions. The growth direction demonstrated by these FDAs would make it difficult to provide high quality and frequent public transport to serve these new areas. A whole life transport costs assessment should be undertaken to determine whether it is feasible. 	
FDA8, FDA9, FDA10, FDA11	Oppose in part	Waka Kotahi recognises that the land identified for rural-lifestyle development that are identified as FDAs 8, 9, 10 and 11 are adjacent to existing urban areas and that it will subject to addressing matters under FDA-P4. However, there are several considerations that need to be given to	Consider the matters described to determine whether these FDAs are appropriate to be rezoned to rural lifestyle zoning.

Proposed Plan Item Amendment Section	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
		 determine whether this land is appropriate to be rezoned to rural lifestyle land, such as: The land is dispersed living away from amenities or public transport and multi-modal transport routes. It is likely that these will be reliant on private vehicle use as the only way to travel. How will these FDAs consider Central Government direction on climate change implications and how will it achieve transport outcomes sought under the ERP to reduce VKT's and transport related emissions. 	
FDA14	Oppose	 Waka Kotahi opposes this FDA for the following reasons: The land does not appear to be well integrated with existing urban areas and would not achieve good transport outcomes. There is a lack of connectivity, poor public transport provision, limited multi-modal transport options (walking, cycling, etc) and it would be private vehicle oriented. The area should achieve a compact form that is accessible to local amenities and job opportunities. The site will unlikely achieve a reduction in VKT's due to the reliance on private vehicle use, which would not achieve the outcomes sought for the transport network as per the ERP. The site is adjacent to both State Highway 1 and 8, which have high posted speed environments (70km/h+) and are both Limited Access Roads under the Government Roading Powers Act 1989. Access to the site will likely be via the intersections with Kennels Road and may require significant improvements to ensure safety outcomes. It is considered that this site would not achieve objectives of the NPS-UD and is disconnected from the rest of the planned activities within Timaru. 	Delete FDA14.

Proposed Plan Item Amendment Section	Support / Support in Part/ Oppose / Oppose in Part	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
		 The site would not achieve the outcomes sought in Chapter 5.1.2 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement. Based on above, it is considered that this land is not appropriate as a FDA and should be removed from the Proposed Timaru District Plan. 	