

# Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

Name of submitter:

RUSSELL KENNETH BRADIE  
[State full name]

This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):

GRU2-R14 PER-3  
[State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].

I ~~could~~/could not\* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

[\*Select one.]

~~\*I am/am not~~ directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

[\*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.]

[†Select one.]

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]

THE PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN SHOULD NOT AFFECT THE CURRENT STATUS FOR ANY SUBURBS OR UNINCORPORATED AREAS, ON PRIVATE LANDS

My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

[If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]

I OPPOSE THE PLAN TO LIMIT SUBURBS OR UNINCORPORATED AREAS ON PRIVATE LANDS WITHIN THE DISTRICT

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

**I seek the following decision from the local authority:** *[Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]*

I SEEK THAT THE LOCAL AUTHORITY DO NOT CHANGE THE CURRENT STATUS AND AND DO NOT LIMIT THE NUMBER OF AIRCRAFT OR HELICOPTER TAKEOFFS OR LANDINGS

**I wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of my submission.**

*[\*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.]*

*[†Select one.]*

**\*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.**

*[\*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]*

**Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)**

*[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]*

Date 15.12.2022

Electronic address for service of submitter: oca.alextra.a-nz

Telephone:

Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act): 1 BRADIC ROAD

RD 26, TEMUKA  
Contact person: *[name and designation, if applicable]* RUSSELL BRADIC 027 276 7911

**Note to person making submission**

1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
  - It is frivolous or vexatious:
  - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
  - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
  - It contains offensive language:
  - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.