



Form 5

Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan, Change or Variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Timaru District Council

Name of submitter:

Warren John Bloxham
[State full name]

This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):

Proposed District Plan - Sites and areas of significance to Maori
[State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation].

I ~~could~~ could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
[*Select one.]

~~*I am/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that--~~

- ~~(a) adversely affects the environment; and~~
- ~~(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.~~

~~[*Delete or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.]~~
~~[†Select one.]~~

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: [Give details]

Wai Taaka SASM 12 Otipua Salt Water Creek
as relates to property ID 21254 747 Hallow Road
RD 4 Timaru

My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

[If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan should be modified.]

Amendment required: Insufficient quantum. The proposed plan states Wai Taaka lines, presumably referring to a stream bed. In particular this area is just a contour - there is no water. How wide is the Wai Taaka line? It should be noted that particular to this property the area indicated is a gentle rolling contour and has been cultivated, planted in crops & pasture and grazed by sheep and cattle for over 100 years. There is neither indigenous vegetation nor water.

Existing land use rights: Requires greater definition. In several meetings regarding this matter I have been advised some comfort lies in the fact that this land has been traditionally farmed for many years and accordingly we should be able to continue to do so. I can not find written definition around this in the proposed plan. In the very areas indicated as Wai Taaka lines we have for decades, cultivated, planted pasture, planted crops

(including for intensive winter grazing), applied fertiliser and grazed sheep and cattle. Am I to assume I may continue to do this under existing use rights.

I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give precise details as this is the only part of your submission that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]

Clarity and definition of the width of areas designated as Wai Taaka lines

Consideration should be given to simply excluding Wai Taaka lines status where water does not normally exist or flow as applies to our property. Notwithstanding all valleys and low land contours flow with some water during successive days of heavy rain as it does across the whole of South Canterbury.

Increased definition: Permitted activities in Wai Taaka lines where water does not normally flow should include: Cultivation, pasture and crop planting, fertiliser application and live stock grazing. Also removal / maintenance of exotic vegetation.

I wish (or do not wish) ⁺ to be heard in support of my submission.

[*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.]

[+Select one.]

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

[*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]

Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means]

Date 12-12-2022

Electronic address for service of submitter: warren.bloxham@shadowcontracting.co.nz

Telephone: 027 433 0306

Postal address (or alternative method of service under s352 of the Act):

PO Box 57 Timaru 7940

Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable]

Warren John Bloxham (Settler)

Note to person making submission

1. If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - It is frivolous or vexatious;
 - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
 - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
 - It contains offensive language;
 - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.