Form 5

Submission on Notified Proposal for Plan Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Manageme	n, Change or Variation
To: Timaru District Council	1 5 DEC 2022
Name of submitter:	GERALDINE
ANNA LOUISE MORTEN [State full name]	I seek to volueide debision brom i
This is a submission on the following proposed plan or on a change p the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the follow to an existing plan) (the 'proposal'):	
[State the name of proposed or existing plan and (where applicable) change or variation	
Lcould/could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through the [*Select one.]	his submission.
*Lam/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competed or strike through entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade [*Select one.]	ompetition. (N/A)
The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to	
Refer app. #1: attached submis	2100
I cand Availability for Housing a around geraldine, in particular veral	evelopment in and lifestyle zone:
My submission is: [Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions for your views] [If your submission relates to a proposed plan prepared or changed using the collaborations.	
following: • Where you consider that the proposed plan or change fails to give effect to a	
 should be modified; or In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative how that provision in the plan should be modified.] 	e group did not reach a consensus position,
Roter app 1: attached submission.	
	neusknove grinken nozev az praki
TO VALUE OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	111 (1) 11 890.5(p.; 5 paykara 2015 oowis
and the second of the second o	aguzaro disimbar pili barballa mortina.
	TO CLEVE WAY OF DOWNSON MARKET TO STREET
	var za ozi a sanga sherio

Doc # 636102

. 2011년 : 11 H. 12 H. H. H. 12 H.	
La calle della la	
Maybe to subgrouped gath	
	1-40/9
I seek the following decision from the local authority: [Give that will be summarised in the summary of decisions requested]	e precise details as this is the only part of your submission
on both the West to Washi River	ne, along the main north roa
Pefer appendix I for details	
I wish (or do not wish) † to be heard in support of my sub [*In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies tha [†Select one.]	that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need
*If others make a similar submission, I will consider prese [*Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.]	enting a joint case with them at a hearing.
4es. Soc si dical isno isno	Suglat.
Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on b	ehalf of submitter)
[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic r	
Date 15 · 12 · 22	
Electronic address for service of submitter: Snaw	bans e gmail com
Telephone: 0211322 (65	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under s3	52 of the Act): 15 George Street
ANILVA	MORTER /
Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable]	MORIEN
Note to person making submission	
 If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protect person who could gain an advantage in trade competition th 	
F This search Barrian advantage in trade competition th	- 5-0 The subilitission, four right to make a subilitission

- may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 2. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - It is frivolous or vexatious:
 - It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - It contains offensive language:
 - It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialist knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

The following is a Submission from the following party:

Name:

Ms Anna Morten

Address:

15 George Street, Geraldine

Phone:

021 132 2765

Email:

snawbans@gmail.com

Trade competition:

The Submitter cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through this

submission.

Submission relates to:

 The lack of land available for housing development in and around Geraldine which is holding back our community and will limit future prosperity.

Submission:

The wider issue:

I submit as a resident of Geraldine that not enough land is being made available for housing development (residential and rural lifestyle) and overall this is holding our community back and will reduce the future prosperity of the town.

Coming from Christchurch, I have observed that many potential new residents of Geraldine, who would bring wealth, business opportunities and diversified demographics with them, are choosing to settle in other high amenity rural communities in different districts to achieve the lifestyle that they are seeking.

I note that a number of these potential migrants to Geraldine are "Digital Nomads", who can settle where-ever they choose. They often have higher incomes, innovative business ideas and acumen and therefore are assets to the communities they settle.

In communities which we like to compare ourselves with such as Waimate, Tekapo, Methven, Hanmer Springs, Wanaka and Hawea where District Councils are proactively investing in community development, encouraging innovation and making more land available for housing opportunities they are seeing distinct improvements in the overall prosperity and growth of their districts.

The current 'rear-view' approach of TDC's planning for Geraldine is out of step with demand leaving Geraldine at a crossroads. It is at a point where it meets the expectations of both current and prospective residents with housing and land choices or it will very likely lag behind other high-amenity rural towns.

The age demographic is already skewed towards retirement aged people and we need to encourage younger people with families to settle here. Without them, who will be the people who care for this

aging population, keep the rolls of our schools viable and ensure the sports and cultural activities thrive?

The specific issue:

In particular, we submit that not enough land has been zoned for Rural Lifestyle Zone (RLZ) close to Geraldine township.

TDC proposes to rezone the area along Waitui Drive and up the Geraldine Downs for RLZ, but with a lot size of 2 ha minimum. This is too large for many people to manage, and neither do all purchasers due to mobility and other considerations wish to be living on the Geraldine Downs.

In addition, the land to be rezoned as RLZ on the Downs will probably not be readily available for a long time as people who live on the Downs are defensive of their space. Much of the land to be rezoned is un-serviced, inaccessible or unavailable and it would be disappointing to see the land currently operating as a deer farm become RLZ when other, easier options appear to exist.

Relief:

The area to the north of the township along the east and west of the Main North Road, from Templer Street to Bennett Road and Woodbury Road, should be zoned RLZ with smaller, more manageable lots consented. Clearly water, power and services are installed there as it is being used already for semi-urban uses.

This area has good linkages with the township and is within easy walking and biking access to town which is important in these times of increasing fuel costs but also the climate crisis. This area has been overlooked.

I submit that Council should rezone for Rural Lifestyle the area to the north of Geraldine, along the Main North Road, on both the west to Waihi River (where infill has already occurred) to Woodbury Road, and east from Templer Street to Bennett Road.