



HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND
POUHERE TAONGA

12 December 2022

Timaru District Council
PO Box 522
Timaru

By email: pdp@timdc.govt.nz

Tēnā koe,

HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED TIMARU DISTRICT PLAN. HE PO. HE AO. KA AWATEA.

To: Timaru District Council
Name of submitter: Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)

Submitter details

1. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga is an autonomous Crown Entity with statutory responsibility under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 for the identification, protection, preservation and conservation of New Zealand's historic heritage.
2. HNZPT could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Submission details

3. HNZPT has considered the notified Proposed Timaru District Plan. This letter and associated Appendices form our submission.

The specific provision of the proposal that HNZPT's submission relates to is:

4. The specific provisions of the Plan that our submission relates to are those which have a direct or indirect effect on the identification, protection and management of heritage resources. Please refer to Appendix 1 for full details.

Our submission is:

5. Timaru district has a wealth of unique and important history. This plays a central role in generating a feeling of identity and wellbeing, as well as encouraging intergenerational connection. The identification and protection of important historic heritage items can enhance the value and appreciation of the district to those who live and work there as well as to those

who visit, in many cases also generating economic benefits. We therefore consider the appropriate management of the region's finite heritage resources to be essential.

6. HNZPT generally supports the heritage provisions of the Proposed District Plan and acknowledges the work undertaken to improve identification and protection of heritage items. We commend the use of a heritage expert to identify and assess items for inclusion in the Historic Heritage Schedules and we note that a number of historic places included on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero that were not identified in the Operative Plan, are now scheduled.
7. We support the use of hyperlinks throughout the Plan to aid the plan user with definitions. However, it is important that those links are both correct and relevant to the context when referring to historic heritage. We have noted several situations where a hyperlink for the word 'site' is used which is incorrect in the context of heritage items, archaeological sites and SASM as these do not necessarily align with the limits of a title or legally defined allotment. These are detailed in the submission table attached.
8. Please refer to Appendix 1 for our full submission. The submission is also accompanied by additional information in Appendices 2 and 3.

We seek the following decisions:

9. HNZPT seeks amendments to strengthen and clarify provisions within the Proposed Plan as they relate to the management and protection of historic heritage.
10. Please refer to Appendix 1 for full details of the specific relief sought.

Submission at the Hearing

11. HNZPT wishes to be heard in support of this submission.

Ngā mihi,



Dr Christine Whybrew
Acting Director Southern

Address for Service:

Arlene Baird
Heritage Advisor - Planning
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga
PO Box 4403
Christchurch 8140

Email: abaird@heritage.org.nz

Appendix 1: Submission of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga

Appendix 2: Suggested definitions for the criteria listed in Schedule One

Appendix 3: Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Archaeological Discovery Protocol

APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE NOTIFIED TIMARU DISTRICT PLAN - HE PO. HE AO. KA AWATEA

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
001	PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions / Description of the District / Heritage sites	Oppose	We note that there is an automatic link for the word ‘site’ within the wording of the section. This link provides an inaccurate definition of the word ‘site’ in relation to heritage. A heritage site does not necessarily align with the limits of a title or legally defined allotment. This misinterpretation could be avoided by removing the automatic link to the National Standards definition when relating to heritage resources.	Amend: HNZPT requests the automatic link be removed from the word ‘site’ within this section.
002	PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions / Interpretation / Definitions / Archaeological site	Oppose	The term ‘archaeological site’ is used within the Proposed Plan, but no definition is provided. To avoid misunderstanding or ambiguity, HNZPT recommends the inclusion of a definition for ‘archaeological site’.	Amend: HNZPT requests the inclusion of a definition for ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE: means a. any place in New Zealand, including any building or structure (or part of a building or structure), that i. was associated with human activity that occurred before 1900 or is the site of the wreck of any vessel where the wreck occurred before 1900; and ii. provides or may provide, through investigation by archaeological methods, evidence relating to the history of New Zealand; and b. includes a site for which a declaration is made under section 43(1) of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
003	PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions / Interpretation / Definitions / Heritage fabric	Support	HNZPT supports this definition of heritage fabric, which will help to widen Plan users understanding and avoid misunderstanding.	Retain as proposed.
004	PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions / Interpretation / Definitions / Historic heritage	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports the definition of ‘historic heritage’ but we note that there is an automatic link for the word ‘site’ within the wording of the definition. This link provides an inaccurate definition of the word ‘site’ in relation to heritage. A heritage site does not necessarily align with the limits of a title or legally defined allotment. This misrepresentation could be avoided by removing the automatic link to the National Standards definition.	Amend: HNZPT requests the automatic link be removed from the word ‘site’ within this definition.
005	PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions / Interpretation / Definitions / Historic heritage item	Support	HNZPT promotes the inclusion of interiors when recording a property but accepts the TDC’s approach of only including interiors where they are specifically identified in an addendum to the assessment report for that heritage item. We support clarification of this point in the definition of ‘historic heritage item.	Retain as proposed.
006	PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions / Interpretation / Definitions / Relocated building	Part Oppose	We note that this definition identifies a relocated building as one being moved from one site to another site and does not cover the relocation of a building within its own site. However, the relevant policy and rule refers to relocation of historic heritage Items within or beyond their heritage setting. For consistency and to	Amend: HNZPT requests the definition for relocated building be amended to: ‘means any building that is relocated, in whole or in part, from one site to another site, or repositioned within its own

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
			avoid confusion we recommend the definition is amended to include both within and beyond the site.	<u>site</u> , but excludes:...'
007	PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions / Interpretation / Definitions / Sensitive environment	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports the definition of sensitive environment but considers parts d and e should be reworded to align with terms defined in the Proposed Plan and linked to their definitions. This is to avoid any uncertainty for Plan users.	Amend: HNZPT requests parts d and e of the definition for sensitive environment be amended to: d. Historic heritage Item; and e. Heritage Item extent setting ; and
008	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Strategic Direction / SD-02	Support	HNZPT supports strategic direction objective SD-02 which recognises the contribution of historic heritage and seeks to protect it from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.	Retain as proposed.
009	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastructure and transport / Energy and Infrastructure / EI-02	Support	HNZPT supports objective EI-02 which seeks to avoid adverse effects of regionally significant infrastructure within sensitive environments, which includes heritage items, heritage item extents and sites and areas of significance to Māori overlays.	Retain as proposed.
010	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastructure and transport / Energy and Infrastructure / EI-03	Support	HNZPT supports objective EI-03 that seeks to avoid adverse effects of other infrastructure within sensitive environments, which includes heritage items, heritage item extents and sites and areas of significance to Māori overlays.	Retain as proposed.

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
011	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastructure and transport / Energy and Infrastructure / EI-P2	Support	HNZPT supports policy EI-P2 which seeks to provide for infrastructure requirements while avoiding adverse effects on historic heritage and sites of significance to Māori.	Retain as proposed.
012	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastructure and transport / Transport / TRAN-P4	Support	HNZPT supports policy TRAN-P4 which only promotes new land transport infrastructure where it protects identified characteristics and values of overlays it falls within, including heritage items, heritage item extents and sites and areas of significance to Māori overlays.	Retain as proposed.
013	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / Archaeological Authority Process	Part Oppose	<p>HNZPT supports this overview of the archaeological authority process at the start of the Historical and Cultural Values chapter. Plan users may not fully understand the definition of an archaeological site or that a resource consent does not automatically allow the activities to occur on such a site. This clarification is important to ensure that archaeological sites are not damaged through lack of understanding.</p> <p>However, we note that there is an automatic link for the word 'site' which provides an inaccurate definition of the 'site' in relation to archaeology. An archaeological site is one which was associated with human activity and may provide evidence relating to the history of New Zealand, regardless of title or legally defined allotments. This could be resolved by using the</p>	<p>Amend: HNZPT requests the automatic link be related to 'archaeological site' rather than 'site' within this overview, and linked to the definition for 'archaeological site' as proposed in point 002 of this submission.</p>

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
			term 'archaeological site' auto-linked to the definition as suggested in point 002 of this submission.	
014	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-01	Support	HNZPT supports objective HH-01 which promotes the identification of Historic Heritage items and the documentation of their heritage values.	Retain as proposed.
015	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-02	Support	HNZPT supports objective HH-02 which seeks to protect Timaru District's Historic Heritage Items and their settings from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development, in accordance with section 6(f) of the RMA 1991.	Retain as proposed.
016	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-03	Support	<p>The conservation of a heritage item is usually facilitated by the place serving a useful purpose and for this reason, HNZPT actively promotes adaptive reuse. Such development has potential to elongate the life of a heritage item, which may otherwise be unviable.</p> <p>HNZPT therefore supports objective HH-03 which promotes the active use of historic heritage items, including through adaptive re-use.</p>	Retain as proposed.
017	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-P1	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports the values identified in policy HH-P1. However we note that these values are not defined. Without definitions or explanation, it can prove difficult	Amend: HNZPT requests the inclusion of definitions for the historic heritage values, either within

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
			for owners or Plan users to understand what these Historic Heritage Values include or mean.	the Historic Heritage policies or at the start of SCHED3. Suggested definitions are attached as Appendix 2 of this submission.
018	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-P6	Part Oppose	<p>The ICOMOS NZ Charter advises that a structure or feature of cultural heritage value should remain on its original site as the on-going association value with its location is essential to its authenticity and integrity. It is acknowledged that in exceptional circumstances, a structure of cultural heritage value may be relocated if its current site is in imminent danger.</p> <p>HNZPT generally supports policy HH-P6 but requests the removal of reference to greater public appreciation of heritage values, as we do not consider this to be justification for relocation.</p>	<p>Amend: HNZPT requests the following wording be removed:</p> <p>2. the physical condition of the item and its heritage values will be enhanced and the greater public appreciation of those values will result; and</p>
019	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-P8	Oppose	<p>Under section (6)(f), the RMA identifies the protection of historic heritage as a matter of national importance. The impact of demolition of a heritage item is irreversible and as more heritage buildings are lost, we increasingly lose touch with the history and origins of our surroundings.</p> <p>Today's heritage items are tangible remains of the district's rich and unique history. HNZPT therefore recommends the strengthening of this policy to enable a greater level of protection.</p>	<p>Amend: HNZPT requests the wording be amended: 'Only allow demolition of a Category B Historic Heritage Item identified in SCHED3 – Schedule of Historic Heritage Items where it can be demonstrated that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. there is a threat to life and/or property which cannot be removed or reduced by interim protection measures; or 2. the extent of the work required to retain and/or repair the item is of such a scale

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
				<p>that the heritage values and integrity of the item would not be significantly compromised; or</p> <p>3. the cost of remedying any disrepair or threat to life and/or property is prohibitive; or</p> <p>43. the item can be demolished in part without adversely affecting the heritage values for which it was scheduled.</p>
020	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-R1	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports this rule but recommends PER-3 be reworded to use and link to the term ‘scheduled interior element’ defined within the Proposed Plan. This is to avoid any uncertainty for Plan users.	<p>Amend: HNZPT request the wording of PER-3 of HH-R3 be amended to: ‘PER-3 For internal alterations, the alterations do not modify, relocate or remove any scheduled interior element listed in SCHED3 - Schedule of Historic Heritage Items’.</p> <p>We also request the words ‘scheduled interior element’ be hyperlinked to the definition within the Plan.</p>
021	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-R3	Part Oppose	HNZPT considers the term ‘compatibility’ could be ambiguous. To avoid uncertainty, we recommend this be reworded.	<p>Amend: HNZPT requests the wording be amended: ‘Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. compatibility of the form, scale, design</p>

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
				<p>and materials of the new building,</p> <p>2. structure or sign with in relation to the historic heritage item, its identified values and its setting; and</p>
022	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-R4	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports rule HH-R4 but recommends that an Accidental Discovery Protocol is only adopted where an Archaeological Authority has not already been issued by HNZPT (as an authority supersedes an ADP).	<p>Amend: HNZPT requests the wording of HH-R4 be amended: 'Matters of discretion are restricted to: 4. the commitment to implementation of an Accidental Discovery Protocol, in accordance with a commitment form contained within APP4 – <i>Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol</i> <u>unless an Archaeological Authority has been issued by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga.</u></p>
023	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-R8	Oppose	<p>The ICOMOS NZ Charter advises that the on-going association of a structure or feature of heritage value with its location, site, curtilage and setting is essential to its authenticity and integrity.</p> <p>HNZPT considers the relocation of a heritage item from its original setting should be avoided. Rare instances may arise where the relocation of a heritage item is a</p>	<p>Amend: HNZPT requests that historic heritage items be provided with greater protection from inappropriate relocation beyond their original site, through amending the proposed activity status as follows: Relocating a heritage item to a new area or site: <u>Non-complying activity.</u></p>

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
			<p>last resort to avoid demolition or loss, such as from sea level rise or other imminent and unavoidable danger. In these instances, relocation may be a viable solution, but only when all other means of retaining the structure in its current location have been exhausted.</p> <p>HNZPT considers the adverse effects of relocating a heritage item to a new site is greater than repositioning a heritage item elsewhere on its original site. We therefore submit that the proposed status of discretionary activity is appropriate for relocation within its own site, but we consider it does not provide sufficient protection against relocation to another site.</p>	
024	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-04	Support	HNZPT supports the establishment of Historic Heritage Areas comprising inter-related groups of historic places, buildings, structures and/or sites that make a significant contribution to an understanding and appreciation of Timaru District’s history, identity and cultures.	Retain as proposed.
025	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-P13	Support	HNZPT supports policy HH-P13 which seeks to ensure that only appropriate development is permitted within Historic Heritage Areas.	Retain as proposed.
026	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-P16	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports policy HH-P16 which seeks to enable the removal or demolition of buildings that do not contribute to the values of the Historic Heritage Areas,	Amend: HNZPT requests further clarification as to how, and by who, this will be assessed.

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
			but without those buildings being identified, we question how this will be assessed.	
027	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-R13	Part Oppose	HNZPT considers the term ‘compatibility’ could be ambiguous. To avoid uncertainty, we recommend this be reworded.	Amend: HNZPT requests the wording be amended: ‘Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. compatibility of the form, design, scale and materials of the new building or structure <u>with in relation to</u> the historic heritage values and integrity of the Historic Heritage Area;
028	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / HH – Historic Heritage / HH-R14	Part Oppose	HNZPT considers the term ‘compatibility’ could be ambiguous. To avoid uncertainty, we recommend this be reworded.	Amend: HNZPT requests the wording be amended: ‘Matters of discretion are restricted to: 1. compatibility of the form, design, scale and materials of the alterations, additions or new building <u>with in relation to</u> the historic heritage values and integrity of the Historic Heritage Area
029	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / SASM – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Oppose	We note that there is an automatic link for the word ‘site’ within the wording of the SASM chapter. This link provides an inaccurate definition of the word ‘site’ in relation to sites and areas of significance to Māori. A SASM site does not necessarily align with the limits of a	Amend: HNZPT requests the automatic link be removed from the word ‘site’ within this chapter.

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
			title or legally defined allotment. This misinterpretation could be avoided by removing the automatic link to the National Standards definition when referring to sites and areas of significance to Māori.	
030	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / SASM – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / SASM-03	Support	HNZPT supports objective SASM-03 which seeks to identify and protect areas and sites of significance to Kāti Huirapa.	Retain as proposed.
031	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / SASM – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / SASM-P5	Support	HNZPT supports policy SASM-P5 which seeks to protect the identified values of the sites and areas of significance listed in SCHED6.	Retain as proposed.
032	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / SASM – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / SASM-P8	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports policy SASM-P8 but recommends that an Accidental Discovery Protocol is only adopted where an Archaeological Authority has not already been issued by HNZPT (as an authority supersedes an ADP).	Amend: HNZPT requests the wording of part 2 of SASM-P8 be amended: '2. an accidental discovery protocol is prepared and adopted for any earthworks <u>unless an Archaeological Authority has been issued by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga</u> ; and'
033	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / SASM –	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports rule SASM-R1 but recommends that an Accidental Discovery Protocol is only adopted where an	Amend: HNZPT requests the wording of (1)PER-2 and

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / SASM-R1		Archaeological Authority has not already been issued by HNZPT (as an authority supersedes an ADP).	(2)PER-4 of SASM-R1 be amended: <u>'Unless an Archaeological Authority has been issued by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga,</u> the Accidental Discovery Protocol commitment form, contained within APP4 - <i>Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol</i> , has been completed and submitted to Council, at least 2 weeks prior to the commencement of any earthworks.'
034	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Historical and Cultural values / SASM – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / SASM-R5	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports rule SASM-R5 but recommends that an Accidental Discovery Protocol is only adopted where an Archaeological Authority has not already been issued by HNZPT (as an authority supersedes an ADP).	Amend: HNZPT requests the wording of (1)PER-2 of SASM-R5 be amended: <u>'Unless an Archaeological Authority has been issued by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga,</u> the Accidental Discovery Protocol commitment form, contained within APP4 - <i>Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol</i> , has been completed and submitted to Council, at least 2 weeks prior to the commencement of any earthworks.'
035	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Subdivision / SUB-P2	Support	HNZPT supports policy SUB-P2 which seeks to ensure that subdivision in sensitive environments, including heritage items, settings and sites of significance to Māori, does not compromise identified cultural values.	Retain as proposed.

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
036	PART 2 – District Wide Matters / Earthworks / EW-R1	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports rule EW-R1 but recommends that an Accidental Discovery Protocol is only adopted where an Archaeological Authority has not already been issued by HNZPT (as an authority supersedes an ADP).	<p>Amend: HNZPT requests the wording of PER-2 of EW-R1 be amended: <u>'Unless an Archaeological Authority has been issued by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga,</u> the Accidental Discovery Protocol commitment form, contained within APP4 - <i>Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol</i>, has been completed and submitted to Council, prior to the commencement of any earthworks.'</p>
037	PART 3 – Area Specific Matters / Zones / RESZ – Residential Zones MRZ – Medium Density Residential Zone / MRZ-R2	Oppose	<p>HNZPT understands the direction that Central Government is taking with requiring district plans to be more facilitating of housing intensification. We agree that many areas are well suited to provide for that need but at the same time, we strongly advocate for robust provisions to be established to ensure that this will not be at the expense of historic heritage.</p> <p>HNZPT is particularly concerned about the cumulative impact of permitted intensification in the vicinity of a heritage item. We acknowledge that the rules within the Historic Heritage chapter provide protection for the heritage item itself; but the cumulative effect of intensification in the vicinity of a heritage item (e.g., its wider site beyond the identified setting, or</p>	<p>Amend: HNZPT requests further consideration as to the impact of intensification adjacent to historic heritage items, and promotes an alternative approach which provides relevant controls to enable development where appropriate without diminishing Timaru's valuable heritage resources.</p>

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
			<p>neighbouring sites) has the potential to be irreparably detrimental.</p> <p>We therefore submit that further thought is required as to the impact of intensification adjacent to historic heritage items, and an alternative approach considered which enables development where appropriate but does not diminish Timaru's valuable heritage resources.</p>	
038	PART 4 – Appendices and Schedules / Schedules / SCHED3 – Schedules of Historic Heritage Items	Part Support	HNZPT supports the work that has been undertaken to prepare a statement of significance for each heritage item. We submit that these statements should be linked to the schedule to promote greater understanding for owners and plan users.	Amend: HNZPT requests the statement of significance be linked to SCHED3 the Schedule of Historic Heritage Items.
039	PART 4 – Appendices and Schedules / Schedules / SCHED4 – Schedules of Historic Heritage Areas	Part Support	HNZPT supports the work that has been undertaken to prepare a statement of significance for each heritage area. We submit that these statements should be linked to the schedule to promote greater understanding for owners and plan users.	Amend: HNZPT requests the statement of significance be linked to SCHED4 the Schedule of Historic Heritage Areas.
040	PART 4 – Appendices and Schedules / Schedules / SCHED3 – Schedule of Historic Heritage Items	Support	HNZPT supports the protection, through inclusion in SCHED3, of listed items that are currently either unscheduled, or not fully scheduled, in the Operative Timaru District Plan:	Retain as proposed.

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
			HHI-93 - J.R. Bruce Flourmill (Former) (List # 3157) HHI-43 - Brick Tunnel and Railway Siding (List # 7307) HHI-155 - Corner Shop (List # 9946) HHI-175 - Claremont (List # 7379) HHI-203 - Orari Gorge Station Farm buildings (List #7763)	
041	PART 4 – Appendices and Schedules / Schedules / SCHED3 – Schedule of Historic Heritage Items	Support	HNZPT supports the identification, in SCHED3, of any specific interior elements of importance. This will assist owners and Plan users to better understand the important features of their property and encourage them to contact Council prior to undertaking any works.	Retain as proposed.
042	PART 4 – Appendices and Schedules / Schedules / SCHED3 – Schedule of Historic Heritage Items	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED3 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p> <p>The following items are entered on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero, but not proposed for inclusion in the District Plan schedule:</p>	<p>Amend: HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED3 be amended to include:</p> <p>List # 9072 Caroline Bay Memorial Wall List # 1978 Cob Stable List # 3156 Finch House List # 2068 Otumarama List # 9825 Te Kāmaka o Arowhenua List # 3163 Tekapo Buildings</p>

HNZPT Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support / Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
			List # 9072 Caroline Bay Memorial Wall List # 1978 Cob Stable List # 3156 Finch House List # 2068 Otumarama List # 9825 Te Kāmaka o Arowhenua List # 3163 Tekapo Buildings	
043	Part 4 – Appendices / Appendices APP4 – Form confirming a commitment to adhering to an Accidental Discovery Protocol	Part Oppose	HNZPT supports the principle of inclusion of an Accidental Discovery Protocol (ADP) in Appendix four, but consider the wording provided does not cover all requirements. We therefore request the wording of the HNZPT ADP be used.	Amend: HNZPT requests the wording of the HNZPT ADP, attached in Appendix 3 of this submission, be used.

APPENDIX 2 – SUGGESTED DEFINITIONS FOR THE CRITERIA LISTED IN SCHEDULE 3

Historical and social significance value:

Historical and social significance values that demonstrate or are associated with a particular person, group, organisation, institution, event, phase or activity; the continuity and/or change of a phase or activity; social, historical, traditional, economic, political, or other patterns.

Cultural and spiritual value:

Cultural and spiritual values that demonstrate or are associated with the distinctive characteristics of a way of life, philosophy, tradition, religion, or other belief, including: the symbolic or commemorative value of the place; significance to Tangata Whenua; and/or associations with an identifiable group and esteemed by this group for its cultural values.

Architectural and aesthetic value:

Architectural and aesthetic values that demonstrate or are associated with a particular style, period or designer, design values, form, scale, colour, texture, and material of the place.

Technological and craftsmanship value:

Technological and craftsmanship values that demonstrate or are associated with the nature and use of materials, finishes, and/or technological or constructional methods which were innovative, or of notable quality for the period.

Contextual value:

Contextual values that demonstrate or are associated with a relationship to the environment (constructed and natural), a landscape, setting, group, precinct or streetscape; a degree of consistency in terms of type, scale, form, materials, texture, colour, style, and/or detail; recognised landmarks and landscape which are recognised and contribute to the unique identity of the environment.

Archaeological and scientific significance value:

Archaeological or scientific values that demonstrate or are associated with the potential to provide information through physical or scientific evidence and understanding about social, historical, cultural, spiritual, technological, or other values of past events, activities, structures, or people.



Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Archaeological Discovery Protocol

In the event that an unidentified archaeological site is located during works, the following applies;

1. Work shall cease immediately at that place and within 20m around the site.
2. The contractor must shut down all machinery, secure the area, and advise the Site Manager.
3. The Site Manager shall secure the site and notify the Heritage New Zealand Archaeologist. Further assessment by an archaeologist may be required.
4. If the site is of Maori origin, the Site Manager shall notify the Heritage New Zealand Archaeologist and the appropriate iwi groups or kaitiaki representative of the discovery, and ensure site access to enable appropriate cultural procedures and tikanga to be undertaken, as long as all statutory requirements under legislation are met (*Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act, Protected Objects Act*).
5. If human remains (koiwi) are uncovered, the Site Manager shall advise the Heritage New Zealand Archaeologist, NZ Police and the appropriate iwi groups or kaitiaki representative and the above process under 4 shall apply. Remains are not to be moved until such time as iwi, NZ Police and Heritage New Zealand have responded.
6. Works affecting the archaeological site and any human remains (koiwi) shall not resume until Heritage New Zealand gives written approval for work to continue. Further assessment by an archaeologist may be required.
7. Where iwi so request, any information recorded as the result of the find such as a description of location and content, is to be provided for their records.
8. Heritage New Zealand will advise if an archaeological authority under the *Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014* is required for works to continue.

It is an offence under S87 of the *Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014* to modify or destroy an archaeological site without an authority from Heritage New Zealand irrespective of whether the works are permitted, or a consent has been issued under the Resource Management Act.

Heritage New Zealand Archaeologists contact details:

Frank van der Heijden
Senior Archaeologist
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga
64 Gloucester Street, Christchurch
PO Box 4403, Christchurch 8140

Phone (03) 363 1884
Email ArchaeologistCW@heritage.org.nz

Gwen Hoopmann
Archaeologist
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga
64 Gloucester Street, Christchurch
PO Box 4403, Christchurch 8140

(03) 363 1893
AsstArchaeologistCW@heritage.org.nz

Michelle Reeves

From: Arlene Baird <ABaird@heritage.org.nz>
Sent: Monday, 12 December 2022 2:33 pm
To: PDP
Cc: Fiona Wykes
Subject: HNZPT submission on the notified Timaru Proposed District Plan
Attachments: HNZPT submission on the notified Timaru Proposed District Plan - letter.pdf; HNZPT submission on the notified Timaru Proposed District Plan - Appendix 1.pdf; HNZPT submission on the notified Timaru Proposed District Plan - Appendix 2.pdf; HNZPT submission on the notified Timaru Proposed District Plan - Appendix 3.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Kia ora,

Please find attached the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga submission on the Proposed District Plan.

Ngā mihi,

Arlene

Arlene Baird | Heritage Advisor - Planning | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | PO Box 4403 Christchurch | Ph (64 3) 363 1880 | DDI 03 363 1886 | Mobile 027 223 1646

Tairangahia a tua whakarere; Tatakihia nga reanga o amuri ake nei - Honouring the past; Inspiring the future

This communication may be a privileged communication. If you are not the intended recipient, then you are not authorised to retain, copy or distribute it. Please notify the sender and delete the message in its entirety.

Please note that I am not available on Thursday afternoons or Fridays.